Structural and Biochemical Characterization of AidC, a Quorum-Quenching Lactonase With Atypical Selectivity
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ABSTRACT

Quorum-quenching catalysts are of interest for potential application as biochemical tools to interrogate interbacterial communication pathways, as anti-biofouling agents, and as anti-infective agents in plants and animals. Herein, the structure and function of AidC, an N-acyl-L-homoserine (AHL) lactonase from Chryseobacterium, is characterized. Steady-state kinetics show that zinc-supplemented AidC is one of the most efficient wild-type quorum-quenching enzymes characterized to date, with a $k_{cat}/K_M$ value of approximately $2 \times 10^6$ $\text{M}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$ for N-heptanoyl-L-homoserine lactone. The enzyme has stricter substrate selectivity and significantly lower $K_M$ values (ca. 50 $\mu$M for preferred substrates) than typical AHL lactonases (ca. > 1 mM). X-ray crystal structures of AidC alone, and with the product N-hexanoyl-L-homoserine were determined at resolutions of 1.09 and 1.67 Å, respectively. Each structure displays as a dimer, and dimeric oligomerization was also observed in solution by size-exclusion chromatography coupled with multi-angle light scattering. The structures reveal two atypical features as compared to previously characterized AHL lactonases: a ‘kinked’ $\alpha$-helix that forms part of a closed binding pocket which provides affinity and enforces selectivity for AHL substrates, and an active-site His substitution that is usually found in a homologous family of phosphodiesterases. Implications for the catalytic mechanism of AHL lactonases are discussed.
One of the most prominent social behaviors displayed by bacteria is quorum-sensing, the ability to coordinate gene expression in response to population density through the production and detection of interbacterial signaling molecules such as the N-acyl-L-homoserine lactones (AHLs).\(^1\) Enzymes capable of blocking these signaling pathways, called quorum-quenching enzymes, are important biochemical tools for probing quorum-sensing pathways.\(^2\) They also hold considerable promise as reagents to prevent marine and membrane biofouling, as treatments to prevent costly infections of plants and fish, as potential protein therapeutics, and possibly as tools to manipulate interactions between diverse microbes.\(^3\) Some of the quorum-quenching enzymes most widely used for such applications are AHL lactonases, which use a dinculear zinc center to hydrolyze a wide range of AHL substrates (Figure 1).\(^8\)

The gene encoding an AHL lactonase with unusual properties has been previously identified: \textit{aidC} an autoinducer degrading gene isolated from a \textit{Chryseobacterium} sp. strain StRB126 originally associated with potato roots.\(^9\) The encoded protein, AidC (Genbank Protein: BAM28988; EC: 3.1.1.81), catalyzes the hydrolytic ring opening of multiple N-acyl homoserine lactone (AHL) substrates. Analysis of protein sequence alignments revealed that AidC is homologous to other AHL lactonases found in the metallo-hydrolase / oxidoreductase superfamily, and shares a conserved dinuclear metal binding motif. However, AidC is phylogenetically distant from the other AHL lactonase clusters.\(^9\) For example, optimal global pairwise alignment\(^10\) of AidC with two other homologous dizinc AHL lactonases, AiiA (autoinducer inactivator A from \textit{Bacillus} sp. 240B\(^{11}\)) and AiiB (autoinducer inactivator B from \textit{Agrobacterium tumefaciens} C58\(^{12}\)) show only ~20% and ~17% amino acid identity, respectively. Additionally, these sequence alignments predict that active-site Asp and Tyr residues, conserved in other AHL lactonases due to their roles in zinc-binding and catalytic turnover, are possibly
replaced in AidC by Leu and Ser, respectively.\textsuperscript{9,13,14} However, the most striking feature of AidC is its reported $K_M$ values. All previously characterized wild-type AHL lactonases have $K_M$ values $\geq 1.4$ mM\textsuperscript{14-16}, with the exception of MomL (440 \textmu M; \textit{Muricauda olearia} marine AHL lactonase).\textsuperscript{17} In contrast, the reported $K_M$ values for AidC are approximately $\geq 24$-fold lower: 46 - 72 \textmu M.\textsuperscript{9} The lower $K_M$ values of AidC are of interest since a better understanding of how quorum-quenching enzymes recognize and process their substrates can enable their appropriate selection and optimization as biochemical tools and help in the proposed development of this class of enzymes as therapeutic, anti-infective, and anti-biofouling proteins.\textsuperscript{3-7} Toward these ends, we report here the characterization of purified AidC alone, and with a bound product. Two aspects of the active site are atypical compared to previously characterized AHL lactonases: a novel substrate-binding pocket defined, in part, by an unusual ‘kinked’ $\alpha$-helix containing an internal proline residue, and an active site His substitution that is usually found in more distant superfamily members that belong to a different family, the phosphodiester hydrolases.
MATERIALS AND METHODS

Materials. Unless otherwise noted, all chemicals were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Chemical col (St. Louis, MO), and all enzymes used for cloning were purchased from New England BioLabs (Beverly, MA). The lactones assayed as substrates, γ-butyrolactone (GBL) and tert-butyl(tetrahydro-2-oxo-3-furanyl)carbamate (t-BOC-HSL), were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Chemical Co. (St. Louis, MO). N-Butyryl-L-homoserine lactone (C4-HSL) and N-3-oxo-octanoyl-L-homoserine lactone (3-oxo-C8-HSL) were from Cayman Chemical Co. (Ann Arbor, MI). N-Pentanoyl-(S)-homoserine lactone (C5-HSL), N-hexanoyl-(S)-homoserine lactone (C6-HSL), N-heptanoyl-(S)-homoserine lactone (C7-HSL), N-octanoyl-(S)-homoserine lactone (C8-HSL), N-decanoyl-(S)-homoserine lactone (C10-HSL), N-dodecanoyl-(S)-homoserine lactone (C12-HSL), and N-cinnamoyl-(S)-HSL (C-HSL) were synthesized from (S)-α-amino-γ-butyrolactone hydrochloride and the corresponding acyl chloride similar to the methods described previously.14,18 Substrate stock solutions were prepared in methanol, with the final assay mixtures containing 1% methanol cosolvent.

Cloning, expression and purification of AidC. The coding sequence for AidC from Chryseobacterium sp. Strain StRB126, was codon optimized for expression in Escherichia coli; a sequence encoding the cleavage site for tobacco etch virus (TEV) protease (ENLYFQG) was inserted at the 5’ end of the AidC coding region; restriction sites EcoRI and NdeI were added to the 5’ and 3’ ends, respectively; and the resulting sequence was ordered from Integrated DNA Technologies, Inc. (Figure S1). A shuttle vector carrying the synthesized gene and a commercial protein expression vector, pMAL-C5X (New England Biolabs, Beverly, MA), were both
digested using restriction enzymes EcoR1 and Nde1, and the resulting insert containing aidC was ligated into the expression vector using T4 DNA Ligase (New England Biolabs, Beverly, MA) to yield a protein expression plasmid encoding an N-terminal maltose binding protein (MBP) linked through a TEV cleavage sequence to the full length AidC enzyme. The resulting vector (pMAL-t-AidC) was used to transform E. coli DH5α cells for plasmid storage and amplification. The entire coding region in pMAL-t-AidC was verified by DNA sequencing to determine that there were no unintended mutations (CRC DNA Sequencing, University of Chicago).

For protein production and purification, pMAL-t-AidC was used to transform E. coli BL21(DE3) cells. The resulting E. coli BL21(DE3)(pMAL-t-AidC) cells were incubated at 37 °C while shaking in Luria-Bertani (LB) medium supplemented with 100 µg/mL ampicillin. When the culture OD_{600} value reached 0.6-0.8 absorbance units, expression of the MBP-t-AidC fusion protein was induced by addition of 0.3 mM IPTG. The LB medium was supplemented with 0.5 mM ZnSO₄, and expression was continued for an additional 16-18 h at 25 °C after induction. Cells were harvested by centrifugation at 12400 × g, washed with Wash Buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl buffer with 200 mM NaCl at pH 7.4), and stored at -80 °C after flash freezing in liquid nitrogen. The frozen cell pellet was thawed, sonicated in Wash Buffer, and centrifuged at 40000 × g to pellet cell debris, which was discarded. The resulting supernatant was loaded onto an amylose affinity column (16 × 25 mm Dextrin Sepharose – MBL Trap HP, GE LifeSciences, preequilibrated with Wash Buffer). The column was washed with Wash Buffer and the MBP-t-AidC fusion protein was eluted from the column using Wash Buffer supplemented with maltose (10 mM). Fractions were evaluated using coomassie-stained SDS-PAGE, and those fractions containing MBP-t-AidC were combined and treated batch-wise with TEV protease, following previously published protocols.¹⁹ The resulting cleaved proteins were exchanged into Ion
Exchange Buffer (20mM Tris-HCl, 5mM NaCl at pH 7.5) and loaded onto a column (XK 16/20 GE LifeSciences) loaded with diethylaminoethanol (DEAE)-sepharose ion exchange resin to separate MBP, TEV protease and the untagged AidC. The column was equilibrated with Ion Exchange Buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl, 5 mM NaCl at pH 7.5) and after loading, the protein eluted by a linear gradient between Ion Exchange Buffer and the same buffer supplemented by 1 M NaCl. Fractions containing untagged AidC protein were pooled, concentrated using a 10,000 molecular weight cut off (MWCO) Amicon-Ultra centrifugal filter device (Millipore, MA), and further purified by size exclusion chromatography using a HiLoad Superdex-200PG column, 16 × 600 mm (GE Lifesciences, CA). The column was equilibrated and the protein was purified using Size Exclusion Buffer (50 mM HEPES buffer, 300 mM NaCl, pH 7.5). During the purification, fractions were assayed for the presence of MBP-t-AidC or AidC at each step by using 12% SDS-PAGE, followed by staining with EX-Run Gel Staining Solution (Fisher BioReagents) to detect bands at ~ 75 or ~ 32 kDa, respectively. The final purified untagged AidC protein appeared homogenous when characterized on a Coomassie-stained 12% SDS-PAGE gel. Protein concentrations in solution were measured using bovine serum albumin (BSA) standards and the Bradford assay (BioRad). This purification procedure typically results in a yield of 10 mg of purified untagged AidC / L culture media.

Determining the zinc dependence, steady-state kinetic parameters, and zinc content of purified AidC. Substrate hydrolysis rates were monitored using a previously described continuous spectrophotometric assay in which the pH indicator phenol red acts as part of the Assay Buffer (1 mM Hepes at pH 7.5), and results in a change in colorimetric signal upon protonation by the net release of a proton upon lactone hydrolysis. The optimal Assay Buffer
zinc concentration was determined by monitoring hydrolysis of saturating concentrations of C6-HSL (1 mM) as catalyzed by AidC (27 nM) to determine the maximum observed initial rates upon varying the zinc concentration (0 – 100 μM). To determine the relationship between AidC concentration and $k_{\text{cat}}$, the substrate $t$-BOC-HSL (5 mM) was used at saturating concentrations while the enzyme concentration was varied (0 – 250 nM). The concentration of AidC was determined using a calculated$^{20}$ extinction coefficient ($\varepsilon_{280} = 29160 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{cm}^{-1}$). The stoichiometry of bound zinc ions to protein in purified AidC was determined by dividing the total zinc concentration determined using the colorimetric chelator 4-(2-pyridylazo)resorcinol under denaturing conditions, as described previously,$^{21}$ by the concentration of AidC.

**Crystallization.** Purified AidC was concentrated to 20 mg/mL using a 10,000 molecular weight cut off (MWCO) Amicon-Ultra centrifugal filter device (Millipore, MA), and the concentrated protein was buffer exchanged into Crystallization Screening Buffer (50mM HEPES, pH 7.0). Crystallization screens (Crystal Screen 1 & 2, Crystal Screen Cryo 1 & 2, PEG Ion 1 & 2 and Index1 & 2 from Hampton Research and Wizard 1 & 2 from Emerald BioSystems) were prepared using a Crystal Gryphon (ArtRobbins) crystallization robot using a ratio of 1:1 for Well Solution: AidC stock solution (20 mg/mL). Crystals appeared in the well solution containing MgCl$_2$•6H$_2$O (0.2 M), Bis-Tris (0.1 M), pH 6.5, 25 % (w/v) PEG 3350 after a week when incubated at room temperature. Crystallization was repeated and optimized using a 1:2 ratio of Well Solution:AidC (20 mg/mL) in sitting drops, and seeded on day 3 with AidC crystals obtained from previous trials. Crystallization was done in 24 well Cryschem Plates (Hampton Research). For co-crystallization of AidC and substrate, 4 µL of AidC (20 mg/mL) was mixed with 1 µL of Well Solution and 1 µL of 10 mM C6-HSL (dissolved in 50 % methanol). Crystals formed within a week after seeding, during which C6-HSL likely hydrolyzed to the ring-opened
product N-hexanoyl-L-homoserine (C6-Hse). AidC and AidC:C6-Hse crystals with the best morphology were transferred into a cryo-protecting solution (Well Solution supplemented with 25 % (v/v) glycerol) and then into liquid nitrogen.

**Data collection and processing.** Monochromatic data sets were collected at the 19-BM beamline at the Structural Biology Center (SBC), Advanced Photon Source (APS) at Argonne National Laboratory (ANL). Diffraction data was collected at a wavelength of 0.98 Å at 100 °K using a Quantum 210r Charge Coupled Device (CCD) detector from Area Detector Systems Corporation (ADSC). All collected data sets were indexed and integrated using iMosflm and scaled using Scala in the CCP4 program suite. The best data sets were processed at resolutions of 1.09 Å and 1.67 Å for AidC and AidC:C6Hse, respectively. Data collection statistics are summarized in Table 1.

**Structure determination, model building and refinement.** The AidC structure was solved by molecular replacement using PHASER in the Phenix software suit. The initial search model was a poly-alanine model created based on a previously published structure of the organic phosphotriesterase OPHC2 (PDB Code: 4LE6; Organophosphate hydrolase C2 from *Pseudomonas pseudoalcaligenes*), because this enzyme shares 26 % amino acid sequence identity with AidC. The program Phenix.Autobuild was used to build the residue side chains based on the phases obtained from running PHASER; Phenix.Autobuild uses iterative cycles of model building and refinement until no more side chains can be built in automatically. The AidC:C6Hse structure was solved by molecular replacement using PHASER in the CCP4
software suit; the search model was the unliganded AidC structure.\textsuperscript{26} Both models were rebuilt and refined using the program Phenix\textsuperscript{24} and analyzed using the programs COOT\textsuperscript{27} and UCSF Chimera.\textsuperscript{28} Final refinement statistics are presented in Table 1. Structural figures were made using UCSF Chimera.

**Determining oligomeric states of AidC and AiiA.** To determine the molecular mass of AidC oligomers in solution, we used size-exclusion chromatography coupled with multi-angle light scattering (SEC-MALS). Our experimental setup included an AKTA FPLC (GE Healthcare Biosciences) with a silica-based size-exclusion chromatography column (WTC-030S5; Wyatt Technology) as a liquid chromatography unit. Downstream of the column is a refractive index detector (Optilab T-rEX; Wyatt Technology), followed by a multi-angle light scattering detector (Dawn Heleos II; Wyatt Technology) used for determining protein concentration and particle size, respectively. As a control sample, we analyzed the related AHL lactonase AiiA, which is of similar monomeric size and has previously been demonstrated by analytical ultracentrifugation to be a monomer in solution.\textsuperscript{29} Each sample injection consisted of approximately 0.5 to 1 mg (injection volume 95 µL) of purified protein (either AiiA or AidC) in buffer containing 50 mM HEPES, 300 mM NaCl at pH 7.5. Flow rate was set at 0.4 mL / min, and data were collected at 2 second intervals. Data processing and analysis were performed using the ASTRA software (Wyatt Technology).
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Most AHL lactonases characterized to date have poor affinity for their substrates, as gauged by millimolar $K_M$ values. However, unusually low micromolar $K_M$ values were reported for AidC-catalyzed hydrolysis of AHLs.\(^9\) To better understand the basis for substrate affinity, selectivity, and turnover in AidC, we cloned and purified this AHL lactonase for functional and structural studies.

**Purification of AidC, determination of activity and zinc content.** Heterologous expression of AidC in *E. coli* using a codon-optimized coding sequence (Figure S1) led to good yields of purified protein (~10 mg/L culture). Kinetic characterization of the “as purified” form of untagged AidC gave $K_M$ (65 ± 4 μM) and $k_{cat}$ values (4.6 ± 0.1 s\(^{-1}\)) for lactone hydrolysis of C6-HSL, similar to values reported previously for AidC containing an N-terminal maltose binding protein affinity tag: 55 ± 4 μM and 2.3 ± 0.2 s\(^{-1}\), respectively.\(^9\) Although we used a purification procedure similar to that for other AHL lactonases,\(^9\) we found that purified AidC does not contain the typical two equivalents of zinc ions. Instead, the “as purified” AidC preparation only contained 1.2 ± 0.1 equivalents of zinc ions per AidC monomer. We suspected that the protein may not have fully retained its zinc content throughout the purification protocol, and so monitored the observed rates for AidC-mediated hydrolysis of saturating concentrations of substrate upon supplementing the Assay Buffer with varying concentrations of ZnSO\(_4\) (Figure 2). A significant increase in rate is observed upon increasing the ZnSO\(_4\) concentration, with a maximum activity at approximately 30 μM ZnSO\(_4\), and a slight decrease at higher concentrations. Zinc supplementation leads to an increased $k_{cat}$ (C6-HSL: 59 ± 1 s\(^{-1}\)), which is >10-fold larger than the $k_{cat}$ of the “as purified” form. If the 1.2 equivalents of zinc ions in the “as purified” AidC represent approximately half dizinc protein and half zinc-free protein, one might
expect a two-fold increase in $k_{\text{cat}}$ values, at most, upon reconstitution of the dizinc active site. However, since the observed increase in rate is much larger, it likely represents instead an increase in activity due to the transition between mono- and dizinc metalloforms. Further studies will be required to characterize zinc affinity in more detail. However, a supplement of 30 µM ZnSO$_4$ is used for the remaining kinetic experiments herein to maximize observed activity.

**Determination of kinetic parameters.** The previous characterization of AidC containing an N-terminal maltose-binding fusion protein reported unusually low $K_M$ values (C6-HSL: 55 µM, C8-HSL: 64 µM), with very little selectivity for, or against, related substrates with 3-oxo substitutions. The associated $k_{\text{cat}}$ values (approximately 2 s$^{-1}$) are less than typically reported for AHL lactonases. To augment this previous study, we determined the steady-state kinetic parameters for purified, untagged AidC, now supplemented with exogenous ZnSO$_4$, using a broader set of substrates (Table 2). In general, we confirm the low $K_M$ values reported previously. The $K_M$ values for zinc-supplemented AidC, “as purified” AidC, and AidC with an N-terminal maltose binding fusion protein are all quite similar, independent of zinc content. However, zinc supplementation (but not cleavage of the N-terminal tag) greatly improves the $k_{\text{cat}}$ values, which are all generally increased by > 20 fold. These results indicate that the differences in $k_{\text{cat}}$ values between this and the prior report likely arise from differences in zinc content rather than the presence of the N-terminal fusion protein.

As compared to other quorum-quenching enzymes, AidC appears to be a much more efficient catalyst. If the $k_{\text{cat}}/K_M$ value for hydrolysis of the best AHL substrate of AidC is compared with $k_{\text{cat}}/K_M$ values for the best of the kinetically characterized substrates for other wild-type quorum-quenching enzymes (including non-homologous enzymes from different superfamilies), AidC ranks the highest (Table 3). Achieving the highest rank in this comparison
is due to a combination of both a low $K_M$ value and a high $k_{cat}$ value, since AidC does not have the lowest or highest of either of these individual values with respect to the same set of enzyme/substrate pairs (Table 3). Therefore, to the best of our knowledge, AidC appears to have the highest $k_{cat}/K_M$ reported for any wild-type quorum-quenching enzyme to date. Additionally, even when $k_{cat}/K_M$ values are similar, the low $K_M$ value of AidC may make this enzyme more suitable than homologs with higher $K_M$ values for quorum-quenching applications at low AHL concentrations.

**Substrate selectivity.** As gauged by $k_{cat}/K_M$ values, the most efficiently processed substrates of AidC are C6- and C7-HSL (approx $10^6$ M$^{-1}$s$^{-1}$) (Table 2). AHL substrates that differ in length by one methylene have a $> 2$-fold decrease in their specificity constant ($k_{cat}/K_M$). Commonly occurring AHLs with more extreme size differences, C4- and C12-HSL, are more significantly disfavored by 20- and 30-fold, respectively. The previous characterization of AidC indicated no preference for or against 3-oxo substitutions,$^9$ and here we find consistent results in which the 3-oxo substitution only mildly perturbs both $K_M$ and $k_{cat}$ values, resulting in a 2.5 fold decrease in $k_{cat}/K_M$. The most significantly disfavored substrates we assayed were the bulky cinnamoyl-HSL$^{31}$ and the synthetic t-BOC-HSL compound, which had specificity constants approximately 30-fold less than the best substrate. Interestingly, the mechanism used to disfavor substrates with sterically bulky substituents appears to differ from that used to disfavor substrates with long unsubstituted n-alkyl substituents (see below).

**Structure determination and model building.** To better understand the structural basis of substrate recognition and catalysis, we determined the X-ray crystal structure of AidC, and the
structure of AidC in complex with the reaction product C6-homoserine (C6-Hse) through co-
crystallization with the substrate C6-HSL. Data processing and refinement statistics are shown
in Table 1. The unliganded AidC crystal diffracted to a resolution of 1.09 Å. The 2Fo-Fc omit
map for the active site zinc ions and coordinating residues is shown in Figure 3. The “substrate-
treated” AidC co-crystal diffracted to a resolution of 1.67 Å and contained the ring-opened
product, C6-Hse. The final R_work/R_free values for AidC and AidC:C6-Hse are 13.08 / 16.20 % and
18.23 / 23.07 %, respectively. The occupancies of the zinc ions are refined to values between 0.6
and 0.8, as assessed by occupancy refinement in Phenix. During crystallization, the
concentration of enzyme is higher, and the solution pH values lower, than during the functional
studies discussed above. So, these occupancies may not reflect zinc binding during kinetic
studies, and will be a topic for future experiments.

AidC core structure, ‘kinked’ helix and dimerization. The AidC monomer structure
displays a characteristic pseudosymmetrical core of two mixed β-sheets flanked by α-helixes to
form the αββα protein fold conserved throughout the entire metallo-hydrolase / oxidoreductase
superfamily, as cataloged in the Structural Classifications of Proteins (SCOP) database. A
dinuclear zinc ion cluster is found at one edge of where the two central β-sheets meet and is
described below in more detail as part of the active site. A structural overlay of AidC with two
related AHL lactonases, AiiA and AiiB, highlights their conserved αββα cores, and shows the
most significant structural divergence occurs in loops and helixes, adjacent to the dizinc active
site, which serve to connect the core secondary structural elements (Figure 4A). Parts of these
regions interact closely with active-site ligands.
The AidC structure contains an unusual feature. One of the major helices H11 (See Figure S2 for secondary structure assignments) containing residues P195-A211 has an internal proline residue (P203) that disrupts the regular H-bonding of the α-helix. It results in a ‘kinked’ structure where one segment of the α-helix is found at an approximate 25° angle from the other (Figure 4B). Most soluble proteins do not contain α-helixes with internal proline residues, but this feature is not unprecedented.\textsuperscript{33} A survey of 291 helices found that approximately 3% contain an internal proline, and the associated helix ‘kinks’ typically measure 26° ± 5°, tilting away from the proline sidechain, consistent with what is found in AidC.\textsuperscript{34} Kinked α-helices typically place the hydrophobic side chain of the internal proline residue toward the solvent, and the kink aids in packing long helices around globular proteins;\textsuperscript{35} both of these attributes are observed in AidC. Proline residues responsible for inducing kinks are typically highly conserved,\textsuperscript{34} but P203 is not a conserved residue in this superfamily. However, several side chains of residues near the P203-induced kink do form part of the AidC substrate-binding cavity, suggesting a functional implication for this unusual structural element.

In our structural determination, AidC displays as a crystallographic dimer in the spacegroup P2\(_1\)2\(_1\)2\(_1\) (Figure 5A). The protein-protein interface is heterogeneous and comprised of hydrophobic interactions as well as direct and through-water hydrogen bonds. The Proteins Interfaces Structures and Assemblies (PISA) algorithm\textsuperscript{36} was used to calculate 2440 Å\(^2\) buried surface area, and predicts a \(\Delta G_{\text{diss}}\) of 6.1 kcal / mol. To determine if oligomerization is relevant in solution, size-exclusion chromatography – multiple angle light scattering (SEC-MALS) was used to assay for AidC oligomers (Figure 6). First, AiiA was used as a control since this homologous AHL lactonase was previously shown by analytical ultracentrifugation to be monomeric in solution.\textsuperscript{29} Purified AiiA elutes from the size exclusion column as a single peak.
detected by $\text{Abs}_{280 \text{ nm}}$), and light scattering is used to determine a molecular weight of $28 \pm 2$ kDa for this peak, which matches the mass calculated from the expected sequence (28,635 Da). Purified AidC elutes from the size exclusion column as one major peak preceded by a small second peak. The molecular weight determined for the major peak, $72.1 \pm 0.9$ kDa, matches reasonably well with that calculated for the dimer ($36979 \times 2 = 73,958$ kDa). The molecular weight calculated for AidC oligomers in the small minor peak is $148 \pm 4$ kDa, which matches that calculated for the tetramer ($36979 \times 4 = 147,916$ kDa). Therefore, at least when high protein concentrations are used, AidC forms a dimer in solution. Further studies will be required to determine if the dimer interface observed crystallographically is conserved in solution. Under the dilute assay conditions used to determine steady-state kinetic parameters, the activity of the enzyme varies linearly with concentration (Figure S3). So, either the dimer $K_d$ value does not occur within the tested concentration range, or there is no change in activity upon change in oligomeric state.

Previously, various AHL lactonases have been characterized as monomers$^{29}$ and dimers,$^{16}$ and the superfamily has examples of higher oligomers. When the AidC dimer structure is compared with that of AiiB (Figure 5C), which has been observed as a dimer in a crystal,$^{16}$ and in solution (unpublished observations), it is clear that the protein-protein interfaces are actually very divergent and involve different sides of the protein. However, when the AidC dimer structure is compared to the crystallographic dimer structure of the organophosphotriesterase OPHC2 (Figure 5B),$^{25}$ the protein-protein interfaces that comprise each dimer interface appear to be structurally conserved. In fact, the overall structural similarity of AidC ranked higher with OPHC2 than with any other AHL lactonase, as gauged by the Dali server for structural comparison of proteins.$^{37}$ The active sites for each AidC monomer are distant from each other,
and none of the structural or functional evidence presented here suggests that they are interdependent. Interestingly, AidC appears to also conserve other features besides this dimerization interface, with superfamily members outside of the immediate AHL lactonase family as will be described below.

**AidC active site.** The dinculear zinc active site of AidC is highly conserved with other AHL lactonases and other members in the superfamily. As can be seen in the comparison of dinuclear zinc sites in AidC, the AHL lactonase AiiA,\(^{29}\) the organic phosphotriesterase OPHC2,\(^{25}\) and the phosphodiesterase ZipD,\(^{38}\) all the zinc-1 (Zn1) ions are coordinated by three histidines, and all the zinc-2 (Zn2) ions by two histidines and an aspartate, with both zinc ions sharing a monodentate bridge by an aspartate residue and most sharing a bridging water. Although a bridging water is not modeled into the ZipD structure, its presence may be obscured by the resolution of the diffraction (2.9 Å). Due to its proximity to both zinc ions, this conserved bridging water is likely bound as a hydroxide ion, which is proposed to be the hydrolytic nucleophile (Figure 7),\(^{8}\) similar to its function in other superfamily members.\(^{39}\) The Zn1 and Zn2 of AidC also each coordinate to their own apical water molecules, illustrating the proposed coordination sites for the lactone carbonyl oxygen and ring oxygen in AHL substrates, respectively. In all cases, the Zn-to-Zn distances are all very similar (see Figure 7 legend for relevant distances).

There is one notable difference in AidC immediately adjacent to the dinculear zinc cluster. All of the AHL lactonases previously characterized have a Tyr (Y194 in AiiA; Figure 7B) that we previously proposed as a H-bond donor to help stabilize a tetrahedral adduct formed
in the hydrolysis reaction. However, this position in AidC is instead occupied by His261 (Figure 7A). Although, the related organic phosphotriesterase OPHC2 has a Leu at this position (Figure 7C), and likely does not use this residue during catalysis, the homologous phosphodiesterase ZipD does places a His side chain in the same structural position (Figure 7D), with the residue coming from a position later in the primary sequence.

Our determination of the AidC structure allows a structural alignment to be constructed that helps to correct some prior predictions based on primary sequence alignments (Figure S4). Leu259 was predicted to replace the zinc-bridging Asp residue, but Asp258 is seen here to retain this role. Also Ser262 was predicted to replace the Tyr adjacent to the active site, but, as discussed above, the His261 side chain is shown to occupy this position.

**AidC product complex.** Addition of the substrate C6-HSL to the crystallization mixture allowed us to characterize the interactions of product with the AidC active site (Figure S5). Although technically this experiment could be classified as co-crystallization, the substrate and enzyme were incubated together for three days before the mixture was “seeded” with unliganded AidC crystals. Therefore this procedure may be more akin to co-crystallization with product, or if the unliganded AidC seeding biases the resulting conformation, crystal soaking with the product. Regardless, the experiment resulted in a structure in which product was bound at the active site of AidC.

The simulated annealing omit map (Fo-Fc) electron density found at the active site of AidC monomer A is very well defined and matches with the ring-opened product C6-Hse (Figure 8; See Figure S6 for the 2Fo-Fc omit map). The density at the same relative position in
monomer B is also consistent with fitting C6-Hse, but is less well defined. The newly-formed product carboxylate coordinates both zinc ions, bridging the site in a bidentate fashion (Figure 9A). The positioning of the amide and alcohol substituents are generally similar to that seen in the product complex with the homologous AHL lactonase AiiA (Figure 9B), however there are some differences. In AidC, the product carboxylate oxygens are farther from the zinc ions (~ 2.5 Å) than those in product-bound AiiA (~ 2.1 Å). Also, the AidC product complex has a closer Zn-to-Zn distance (3.2 Å) and retains a bridging hydroxide, but the AiiA product complex has a longer Zn-to-Zn distance (3.7 Å) and is missing the bridging hydroxide. If AidC and AiiA use the same catalytic mechanism, these two structures may represent different steps along the reaction coordinate. The AiiA structure shows that the product closely associates with the zinc ions after ring opening, and the AidC structure may illustrate how the product is then displaced by reforming the hydroxide bridge, reducing the Zn-to-Zn distance and lengthening the bonds between the product and the zinc ions. The product-bound AidC complex may also shed light on the relative positioning of substrate at the active site. The bridging hydroxide is only 2.6 Å from the carbonyl carbon of the product; this close positioning may mimic the substrate bound complex in which hydroxide attacks this substrate carbonyl. However, the -OH-C4-O2 angle is only 78°, so the product is likely angled differently than substrate, for which a larger, more typical Bürgi-Dunitz angle would be predicted.

One particular amino acid substitution raises the possibility that the AidC mechanism might diverge from that of AiiA. In AiiA, the Y194 residue was proposed to help stabilize the tetrahedral adduct formed upon initial hydroxide attack. However the H261 residue in AidC, which occupies the same relative position, has a lower predicted pKₐ value. Additionally, the H261 Nε is more distant (3.6 Å) from the product oxygen that is placed where the carbonyl of the
substrate is predicted to occupy than it is to the product oxygen that placed where the leaving group of the substrate is predicted to occupy (2.7 Å). This arrangement suggests a possible participation in ring opening through general acid / base catalysis, a mechanism reminiscent of that proposed for the homologous phosphodiesterase tRNAse Z in which a structurally conserved histidine is proposed to act as a general acid during hydrolysis. In the phosphate bound structure of tRNAse Z, the structurally analogous histidine (His247) can be seen within H-bonding distance (3.1 Å) to one of the phosphate oxygens (Figure 9C). (Since a product-bound ZipD structure is not available, we consider instead the homologous phosphodiesterase tRNAse Z, which also contains this active-site His substitution.) Further studies will be required to see if AidC uses His261 as a mimic of Y194 in AiiA, or if it instead uses this residue for general acid / base catalysis or a different function.

The $N$-alkyl substituent binding pocket and a mechanism for selectivity. The most striking difference between the product-bound AidC and AiiA structures are the binding pockets for the $N$-acyl substituents of the products (Figures 10, S5). AidC contains a hydrophobic pocket, formed in part by the ‘kinked’ $\alpha$-helix that completely surrounds the terminal part of the $N$-acyl substituent, which is buried below the surface of the protein (Figures 10A, 9B, 3B). In contrast, AiiA instead only cradles the $N$-acyl substituent of C6-Hse along a wide and shallow hydrophobic trough found on the surface of the enzyme (Figures 10C, 10D). Products with longer $N$-acyl substituents can also bind to AiiA in an alternative orientation (not shown) in which they receive some additional stabilization by a “phenylalanine-clamp.” However, in contrast to the relatively open $N$-acyl binding site in AiiA, AidC instead contains a closed, well-defined $N$-acyl binding pocket that is unlike the substrate binding pockets in all previously
characterized AHL lactonases in the superfamily, and this pocket is likely the major contributor to the uniquely low $K_M$ values observed for substrates of this enzyme.

This binding pocket suggests a mechanism whereby AidC can impose substrate selectivity. Substrates with short $N$-acyl substituents would not be able to reach as deeply into the pocket and bury as much hydrophobic surface as longer, more favored substrates, and a resulting difference in $K_M$ values would ensue. For example C4-HSL has a 10-fold higher $K_M$ value than C7-HSL, but the differences in $k_{cat}$ values are more minor. The same effect is seen with substrates containing very bulky $N$-acyl substituents that can not easily enter the buried pocket, such as cinnamoyl- and t-BOC-HSL, which have $K_M$ values 10- and 16-fold higher than C7-HSL, again with lesser effects on $k_{cat}$ values. In contrast, substrates with longer $N$-acyl substituents would be disfavored by a different mechanism. The long alkyl substitutions on these substrates could easily enter and fully occupy the binding pocket, but as the length of this substituent increases, the attached lactone group would be held farther away from the catalytic dinuclear zinc center, for which a difference in $k_{cat}$ values would be predicted. For example, C12-HSL actually has a 7-fold lower $K_M$ value than C7-HSL, but catalysis is more significantly impaired, as seen in the 200-fold lower $k_{cat}$ value. This selectivity mechanism is different than that used by other AHL lactonases characterized to date. However, we have shown that the nonhomologous, metal-independent $N$-terminal nucleophile hydrolase PvdQ uses a similar strategy to discriminate between $N$-acyl-HSL substrates of different lengths.44

CONCLUSION
The quorum-quenching AHL lactonase from the potato root-associated *Chryseobacterium* sp. strain StRB126, AidC, has an unusually low $K_M$ value for AHL substrates and displays a stricter substrate selectivity than any other related AHL lactonase characterized to date. At the time of writing, AidC also has the highest reported $k_{cat}/K_M$ value for any characterized wild-type quorum-quenching enzyme, regardless of superfamily. Structural determination of AidC alone, and with bound product, reveals an unusual ‘kinked’ helix and suggests a structural-basis for the enhanced selectivity. Further studies will be required to determine how and if this selectivity impacts the chemical ecology of *Chryseobacterium* sp., but we note that *Erwinia carotovora*, a phytopathogen relevant to potatoes, produces AHLs within the optimal range for AidC substrates, and that AHL lactonases have been shown to impact rhizosphere competence. Intriguingly, AidC shows some structural similarities that more closely match families more distant from AHL lactonases, sharing a dimeric structure similar to an organic phosphotriesterase and an active-site histidine residue similar to that found in related phosphodiesterases. Tawfik and co-workers have identified an entirely different superfamily in which both paraoxonase and lactonase activities have evolved, and may, by comparison, provide insight into the relationship of the various activities found in AHL lactonase homologs. AidC serves as an example for understanding how quorum-quenching enzymes can achieve selectivity between structurally similar AHL substrates, and may serve as an efficient catalytic template amenable to further optimization for a broad array of quorum-quenching applications.
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**Table 1.** Crystallographic Data for AidC and AidC:C6-Hse complexes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>AidC</th>
<th>AidC:C6-Hse</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>PDB Code</strong></td>
<td>4ZO2</td>
<td>4ZO3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Data Processing</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Space group</td>
<td>P2₁2₁2₁</td>
<td>P2₁2₁2₁</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cell dimension</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α, β, γ (°)</td>
<td>90, 90, 90</td>
<td>90, 90, 90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a, b, c (Å)</td>
<td>51.7, 97.30, 110.64</td>
<td>47.09, 47.87, 249.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resolution (Å)</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>1.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R&lt;sub&gt;merge&lt;/sub&gt; (%)</td>
<td>4.9 (100)&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>9.3 (75.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I/σ(I)</td>
<td>23.6 (1.1)</td>
<td>20.3 (3.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CC&lt;sub&gt;1/2&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>0.997 (0.539)</td>
<td>0.992 (0.767)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R&lt;sub&gt;prog&lt;/sub&gt; (%)</td>
<td>3.00 (60.8)</td>
<td>5.0 (41.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completeness (%)</td>
<td>99.6 (99.2)</td>
<td>99.3 (99.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiplicity</td>
<td>6.6 (3.4)</td>
<td>4.4 (3.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. Reflections</td>
<td>1580815</td>
<td>295571</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. Unique Reflections</td>
<td>239792</td>
<td>67109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Refinement</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average B factor (Å&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;)</td>
<td>23.6</td>
<td>28.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R&lt;sub&gt;work&lt;/sub&gt;/R&lt;sub&gt;free&lt;/sub&gt; (%)</td>
<td>13.08/16.20</td>
<td>18.44/22.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of Atoms</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AidC</td>
<td>AidC:C6-Hse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PDB Code</strong></td>
<td>4ZO2</td>
<td>4ZO3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Data Processing</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein</td>
<td>4810</td>
<td>4714</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ligand</td>
<td>N/A(^e)</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metal</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water</td>
<td>955</td>
<td>585</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>B-factors</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein</td>
<td>21.5</td>
<td>27.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ligand</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>32.69 - 47.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>RMSD</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bond length (Å)</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>0.018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bond angle (°)</td>
<td>1.401</td>
<td>1.164</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ramachandran plot</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Most Favored (%)</td>
<td>97.70</td>
<td>97.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allowed (%)</td>
<td>2.20</td>
<td>2.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outliers (%)</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) \(R_{\text{merge}} = \frac{\sum |I_{\text{obs}} - I_{\text{avg}}|}{\sum I_{\text{avg}}}\)

\(^b\) The values for the highest resolution bin are in parentheses.

\(^c\) CC\(_{1/2}\), Pearson correlation coefficient of two “half” data sets.

\(^d\) \(R_{\text{pim}}\), the precision-indicating merging R

\(^e\) \(R_{\text{work}} = \frac{\sum |F_{\text{obs}} - F_{\text{calc}}|}{\sum F_{\text{obs}}}\)
Five percent of the reflection data were selected at random as a test set and only these data were used to calculate $R_{\text{free}}$.

RMSD, root mean square deviation; N/A, Not Applicable
Table 2. Steady-State Kinetic Parameters for Substrates of Dizinc AidC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Substrate</th>
<th>$K_M$ (μM)</th>
<th>$k_{cat}$ (s$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$k_{cat}/K_M$ (M$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GBL</td>
<td>N.D.$^a$</td>
<td>N.D.</td>
<td>16 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C4-HSL</td>
<td>470 ± 60</td>
<td>39 ± 2</td>
<td>8.3 × 10$^4$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C5-HSL</td>
<td>130 ± 20</td>
<td>57 ± 3</td>
<td>4.4 × 10$^5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C6-HSL</td>
<td>61 ± 4</td>
<td>59 ± 1</td>
<td>9.7 × 10$^5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C7-HSL</td>
<td>47 ± 5</td>
<td>80 ± 2</td>
<td>1.7 × 10$^6$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C8-HSL</td>
<td>83 ± 10</td>
<td>45 ± 3</td>
<td>5.4 × 10$^5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C10-HSL</td>
<td>12 ± 4</td>
<td>5.2 ± 0.4</td>
<td>4.3 × 10$^5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C12-HSL</td>
<td>7 ± 4</td>
<td>0.40 ± 0.05</td>
<td>5.7 × 10$^4$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-oxo-C8-HSL</td>
<td>130 ± 20</td>
<td>28 ± 1</td>
<td>2.2 × 10$^5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cinnamoyl-HSL</td>
<td>470 ± 80</td>
<td>25 ± 2</td>
<td>5.3 × 10$^4$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t-BOC-HSL</td>
<td>740 ± 70</td>
<td>44 ± 1</td>
<td>5.9 × 10$^4$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ N.D.: not determined. The $K_M$ value for GBL exceeds 700 mM, so only the $k_{cat}/K_M$ value was determined.
Table 3. Steady-State Rate Constants for Selected Wild-Type Quorum-Quenching Enzymes And AHL Substrates With Highest Reported $k_{cat}/K_M$ Values

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Enzyme</th>
<th>Substrate</th>
<th>$k_{cat}$ (s$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$K_M$ (µM)</th>
<th>$k_{cat}/K_M$ (M$^{-1}$s$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AidC</td>
<td>C7-HSL</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>$10^6$</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MomL</td>
<td>3-oxo-C10-HSL</td>
<td>224</td>
<td>440</td>
<td>$10^5$</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PvdQ$^a$</td>
<td>C12-HSL</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>$10^5$</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PON2$^b$</td>
<td>3-oxo-C12-HSL</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>$10^5$</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PONX_OCCAL$^c$</td>
<td>3-oxo-C12-HSL</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>$10^5$</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AiiA</td>
<td>C6-HSL</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>5600</td>
<td>$10^4$</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AiiB</td>
<td>C6-HSL</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1600</td>
<td>$10^4$</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCP$^d$</td>
<td>C12-HSL</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>$10^4$</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ViLoLa$^e$</td>
<td>C8-HSL</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>$10^3$</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GKL$^f$</td>
<td>C8-HSL</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1200</td>
<td>430</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ Pyoverdine biosynthetic protein Q from *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Enzyme belongs to the metal-independent NTN-hydrolase superfamily.

$^b$ Paraoxonase-2 from human. Enzyme belongs to the dicalcium-binding paraoxonase family with a six-bladed $\beta$-propeller fold.

$^c$ Paraoxonase X from *Oceanicaulis alexandrii*. Enzyme belongs to the same family as PON-2.

$^d$ Lactonase from *Mycobacterium avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* K-10. Enzyme belongs to the phosphotriesterase-like lactonase group of enzymes within the amidohydrolase superfamily.

$^e$ Lactonase from the hyperthermophile *Vulcanisaeta moutnovskia*. Enzyme belongs to the same group as MCP.
Lactonase from the thermophile *Geobacillus kaustophilus*. Enzyme belongs to the same group as MCP.
FIGURE CAPTIONS

**Figure 1.** Potential Substrates and the AHL Lactonase-Catalyzed Reaction. γ-Butyrolactone (GBL) and naturally-occurring and synthetic AHLs including C4-, C5-, C6-, C7-, C8-, 3-oxo-C8-, C10-, C12-, cinnamoyl-, and t-BOC-HSL are assayed as potential AidC substrates. The inset table assigns chain lengths in the neighboring structures to the matching abbreviations used throughout the text. The general reaction catalyzed by AHL lactonases is also shown with C6-HSL as an example, resulting in the C6-Hse and H⁺ products.

**Figure 2.** Optimization of Assay Zinc Concentration. The relative observed initial rates of AidC-catalyzed hydrolysis of C6-HSL under saturating conditions (1 mM) are graphed with respect to the concentrations of supplemental ZnSO₄ added to the Assay Buffer. Maximum activity was detected at 30 μM supplemental ZnSO₄. Points are fitted to the equation: Activity (%) = Actₘₜₐₓ × [Zn²⁺]/(EC₅₀ + [Zn²⁺] × (1 + [Zn²⁺]/Kᵢ)) where Actₘₜₐₓ is the maximum activity, EC₅₀ is the half maximal concentration of activation, and Kᵢ is the apparent inhibition constant, with fitted values of 120 ± 10 %, 3.6 ± 0.9 μM and 300 ± 180 μM, respectively.

**Figure 3.** 2Fo-Fc omit map for the unliganded AidC dizinc site and coordinating residues. The map is shown at two different σ levels, with the grey mesh at 1.5 σ and the magenta mesh at 4.0 σ. The protein and zinc atoms are shown in ball-and-stick form, and colored with tan for carbon, blue for nitrogen, red for oxygen and grey for zinc. The 4 σ map can be seen to indicate individual atom positions, characteristic of an ultra high resolution map.
**Figure 4.** Structure of AidC Monomer. A. Superimposition of AidC (blue), AiiA (pink), and AiiB (green) structural models. Proteins backbones are depicted as ‘licorice’ strands. Structural conservation is higher in the zinc-binding site and the αββα core scaffold of the protein, but diverges more significantly in the connecting elements surrounding the active site. B. Ribbon diagram of a ‘kinked’ α-helix in AidC containing an internal proline residue. The regular H-bonding pattern (in black thin lines) of the α-helix backbone from residues P195 – A211 is interrupted by an internal P203 residue. The two ends of the α-helix, defined as P195-Q200 (blue) and A204-A211 (green) are offset by approximately 25°. Hydrophobic side chains near the bend, I201 and L198 (surface in grey), contribute to the binding site for the N-acyl substituent of the bound product (C6-Hse, shown in space-fill form).

**Figure 5.** The Dimer Structure of AidC and Homologs. Each monomer is shown in ribbon form, with rainbow coloring from each N-terminus (blue) to the corresponding C-terminus (red). Rainbow coloring is used here to facilitate chain tracing and to emphasize similarities and differences between the oligomeric interfaces. Zinc ions are shown as grey spheres. A. Depiction of the AidC dimer. B. Depiction of the OPHC2 dimer (from PDB: 4LE6). C. Depiction of the AiiB dimer (from PDB: 2R2D). The dimers of AidC and OPHC2 are very similar, but that of AiiB is divergent and uses different protein-protein interfaces.

**Figure 6.** Molecular Weight Determinations of AidC and AiiA in Solution. SEC-MALS profiles are shown for AiiA and AidC. Absorbance at 280 nm is shown as a black line and
calculated molecular weight for protein in the eluent at a particular time is shown in color, as noted. A) AiiA (molecular weight in red) gives a single peak with a molecular weight of 28 ± 2 kDa. B) AidC gives two peaks with the major peak containing an oligomer with the molecular weight (in red) of 72.1 ± 0.9 Da, and a minor preceding shoulder containing an oligomer with the molecular weight (in blue) of 148 ± 8 Da.

**Figure 7.** Unliganded Active-Site Structures. A. Active-site structure of AidC (blue). Protein residues are shown as sticks, and zinc ions (grey) and water molecules (red) as spheres, with oxygens in red and nitrogens in blue. The Zn-to-Zn distance is 3.3 Å; the Zn-O distances to the bridging hydroxide are 1.9 and 2.0 Å; The distance from Zn1 to its apical water is 2.7 Å, and Zn2 to its apical water is 2.5 Å; The distance from the bridging hydroxide to the non-chelating O of D149 is 2.7 Å; and the distance from His261 εN to the Zn1 and Zn2 apical waters is 3.4 and 3.0 Å, respectively. B. Active site structure of AiiA (green, from PDB: 2A7M). The Zn-to-Zn distance is 3.3 Å; The Zn-O distances to the bridging hydroxide are 2.1 and 2.0 Å; and the distance from the bridging hydroxide to the non-chelating O of D108 is 2.8 Å. C. Active site structure of OPHC2 (pink, from PDB: 4LE6). The Zn-to-Zn distance is 3.2 Å; The Zn-O distances to the bridging hydroxide are both 2.2 Å; and the distance from the bridging hydroxide to the non-chelating O of D143 is 2.7 Å. D. Active site structure of ZipD (grey, from PDB: 2CBN). The Zn-to-Zn distance is 3.3 Å. No bridging or apical waters were modeled into the structure, possibly due to the limited diffraction resolution (2.9 Å).
Figure 8. Simulated Annealing Omit Map (Fo-Fc) for Product. The omit map is shown as a grey mesh at 2.2 \( \sigma \). The omit map was generated with both the C6-Hse and bridging hydroxide omitted from the coordinates. Carbon atoms are in light blue, oxygen in red, nitrogen in dark blue, and zinc in grey.

Figure 9. Product-Bound Active-Site Structures. In all cases the liganded product is shown as ball and stick, and the binding site consisting of protein residues is shown as sticks, with heteroatom coloring as above. A. Active-site structure of AidC bound to C6-Hse (blue). Measurements are given for monomer A. The Zn-to-Zn distance is 3.3 Å; the Zn-O distances to product are each 2.5 Å, and the Zn-O distances to the bridging hydroxide are each 2.0 Å. The H261 N\(^{\varepsilon} \) is 3.6 and 2.7 Å from the product carboxylate oxygens. B. Active site structure of AiiA bound to product (green, from 3DHB). The Zn-to-Zn distance is 3.7 Å; the Zn-O distances to product are 2.0 and 2.1 Å; and the distance of the leaving group alcohol to the closest O of the unchelated conformer of D108 is 2.6 Å. The Y194 phenol O is 3.8 and 4.0 Å from the product carboxylate oxygens. C. Active site structure of tRNase Z bound to phosphate (grey, from 1Y44). tRNase Z and ZipD (Figure 6D) are different proteins, but both are colored grey to indicate that they are both phosphodiesterases. The Zn-to-Zn distance is 3.3 Å; the Zn-O distances to the bridging hydroxide are 2.0 and 2.3 Å; the Zn-O distances to product are 2.3 and 2.5 Å; the distance from the closest O of D67 and PO\(_4^{2-} \) is 3.6 Å, and the other non-chelating O of PO\(_4^{2-} \) is 3.1 Å from the N\(^{\varepsilon} \) of His247.
Figure 10. N-Acyl Chain Binding Pockets. A. A surface coated AidC (blue) is shown with the C6-Hse product as ball and stick (carbons in grey, heteroatoms as above). The N-acyl chain of the product is buried below the surface. B. A rotated, cut-away view of Figure 10A, showing a defined pocket for binding the N-acyl chain of the product, while the opened ring is pointing toward solvent. C. A surface coated AiiA (green, from PDB: 3DHB) is shown with the C6-Hse product as ball and stick (coloring as above). The N-acyl chain lies in a shallow groove of the enzyme and is visible from the surface. D. A rotated, cut-away view of Figure 10C, showing the N-acyl chain of the product extending toward the solvent and the opened ring enclosed in a more defined pocket.
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Part A: The peak at 28 ± 2 kDa indicates the molecular weight.

Part B: The peaks at 72.1 ± 0.9 kDa and 148 ± 4 kDa represent the molecular weights.

Graph A shows the elution volume (ml) on the x-axis and absorbance (mA) on the y-axis.

Graph B shows the elution volume (ml) on the x-axis and absorbance (mA) on the y-axis.
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Structural and Biochemical Characterization of AidC, a Quorum-Quenching Lactonase With Atypical Selectivity

Romila Mascarenhas#, Pei W. Thomas#, Chun-Xiang Wu, Boguslaw P. Nocek, Quyen Q. Hoang, Dali Liu* and Walter Fast*
**Figure S1.** Sequence of AidC. Top lines show the DNA sequence, codon optimized for expression in *E. coli*, that was obtained from commercial gene synthesis (IDT), and contained within a shuttle vector. Bottom lines show the translated AidC protein sequence, including the N-terminal addition of a TEV protease cleavage site (ENLYFQ↓G, shown in blue). The * indicates a stop codon.
Figure S2. A. Secondary Structure Assignment and B. Protein Topology Diagram of AidC.

Figure S3. Correlation of observed rate with enzyme concentration. The relative rates of AidC-catalyzed hydrolysis of \( t\)-BOC-HSL (5 mM) at varying concentrations of “as purified” AidC, with Assay Buffer supplemented with ZnSO\(_4\) (30 \( \mu \)M). AidC concentrations are those calculated using the monomer molecular weight.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conservation</th>
<th>Consensus</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AidC</td>
<td>s l l v g D a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AiiA</td>
<td>I E T G S V L L T I D A Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AiiB</td>
<td>V R L E Q G P F L V S D A C Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPHC2</td>
<td>F K S G Q G S L L V W G O I L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tRNaseZ</td>
<td>K G R S V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZipD</td>
<td>V F S G D T R</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure S4. Structure-Based Sequence Alignment of AHL lactonases and Homlogs: AidC, AiiA, AiiB, OPHC2, tRNaseZ, and ZipD. The structural models of AidC, AiiA (PDB: 2A7M), AiiB (PDB: 2R2D), OPHC2 (PDB: 4LE6), tRNaseZ (PDB: 1Y44), and ZipD (PDB: 2CBN) are superimposed and a structure-based sequence alignment calculated using the program UCSF Chimera (Pettersen, EF, Goddard, TD, Huang, CC, Couch, GS, Greenblatt, DM, Meng, EC, Ferrin, TE. UCSF Chimera: A visualization system for exploratory research and analysis. J. Comput. Chem. 2004, 25, 1605-1612). Only three separate regions of the entire alignment have significant structural overlap, and are presented above as A, B and C, with the fully populated columns highlighted in yellow. Primary references for the PDB entries are as follows: AidC (this work); AiiA (2A7M; ref (27) in main text); AiiB (2R2D; ref (14) in main text); OPHC2 (4LE6; ref (23) in main text); tRNaseZ (1Y44; ref (45) in main text); and ZipD (2CBN; ref (41) in main text).
Figure S5. Interactions between C6-Hse and AidC. Distances between selected atoms are indicated and taken from monomer A. Atoms are colored by identity: carbon (black), nitrogen (dark blue), oxygen (red), water molecules (light blue), zinc ions (green), with bonds of the protein in tan, bonds of the inhibitor in purple, and hydrophobic interactions in red hash marks. Figure was prepared using LigPlot (Wallace, A.C.; Laskowski, R.A., and Thornton, J.M. (1995) LIGPLOT: a program to generate schematic diagrams of protein-ligand interactions, Protein Eng 8, 127-134).
Figure S6. 2Fo-Fc map for the product-bound AidC complex (Monomer A). The 2Fo-Fc map is shown as a green transparent surface at 1.8 $\sigma$ level around the dizinc site, coordinating residues from the protein, and the bridging hydroxide. The 2Fo-Fc map is shown around the C6-Hse product ligand as magenta mesh at 1$\sigma$. 