Chromatin Modifications during Repair of Environmental Exposure-Induced DNA Damage: A Potential Mechanism for Stable Epigenetic Alterations
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Abstract

Exposures to environmental toxicants and toxins cause epigenetic changes that likely play a role in the development of diseases associated with exposure. The mechanism behind these exposure-induced epigenetic changes is currently unknown. One commonality between most environmental exposures is that they cause DNA damage either directly or through causing an increase in reactive oxygen species, which can damage DNA. Like transcription, DNA damage repair must occur in the context of chromatin requiring both histone modifications and ATP-dependent chromatin remodeling. These chromatin changes aid in DNA damage accessibility and signaling. Several proteins and complexes involved in epigenetic silencing during both development and cancer have been found to be localized to sites of DNA damage. The chromatin-based response to DNA damage is considered a transient event, with chromatin being restored to normal as DNA damage repair is completed. However, in individuals chronically exposed to environmental toxicants or with chronic inflammatory disease, repeated DNA damage-induced chromatin rearrangement may ultimately lead to permanent epigenetic alterations. Understanding the mechanism behind exposure-induced epigenetic changes will allow us to develop strategies to prevent or reverse these changes. This review focuses on epigenetic changes and DNA damage induced by environmental exposures, the chromatin changes that occur around sites of DNA damage, and how these transient chromatin changes may lead to heritable epigenetic alterations at sites of chronic exposure.
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Background

Epigenetics is the study of heritable gene expression changes that are not due to a change in the DNA sequence. These changes can involve changes in DNA methylation, histone modifications, and/or microRNA expression (reviewed in [Baylin and Jones 2011; Klaunig
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et al. 2011]). DNA methylation involves the addition of a methyl group to the cytosine of a CpG dinucleotide pair. CpGs in intergenic and repetitive regions of the genome tend to be highly DNA methylated. Environmental exposures and disease development have been associated with loss of DNA methylation from these regions, which may cause reactivation of transposable elements and re-expression of adjacent genes [Kulis and Esteller 2010; Hou et al. 2012]. In contrast to repetitive DNA, about 60% of gene promoters contain dense regions of CpGs, called CpG islands. DNA methylation of a CpG island causes transcriptional repression of the associated gene and aberrant DNA methylation of these islands has been highly studied in carcinogenesis [Baylin and Jones 2011].

In addition to DNA being modified to alter gene expression, histones can also be modified. DNA in the nucleus of cells is wrapped around nucleosomes that are made up of histones. Each histone has a histone tail that protrudes out of the nucleosome and can be post-translationally modified at various residues in many different ways. These modifications are called histone marks. Histone marks are either active marks allowing for open chromatin and expression of the associated genes or repressive marks resulting in compact chromatin and reduced expression of the associated genes [Baylin and Jones 2011]. Environmental exposures have been associated with global and locus-specific changes in histone mark levels [Cortessis et al. 2012; Hou et al. 2012].

The third epigenetic change involves microRNAs (miRNAs), which are a family of small non-coding RNAs that modulate expression of other genes in a sequence specific manner by binding to 3′-untranslated regions of target mRNAs (reviewed in [Di Leva et al. 2013]). miRNAs are short single-stranded RNAs of approximately 20–24 nucleotides in length that are transcribed from DNA but not translated into proteins. miRNAs negatively regulate expression of target genes at the post-transcriptional level by binding to 3′-untranslated regions of target mRNAs. The expression of miRNAs has been shown to change with exposures to toxicants and at sites of inflammation [Cortessis et al. 2012; Hou et al. 2012; Tili et al. 2013].

Exposure to environmental toxicants and toxins plays a role in the etiology of a diverse array of diseases and can lead to epigenetic changes [Cortessis et al. 2012; Hou et al. 2012]. Since by definition epigenetic changes heritably alter gene expression levels, it is thought that such exposure-induced epigenetic alterations may play a direct role in disease formation and/or progression. However, the mechanisms by which exposures cause epigenetic changes are unclear. Exposures to toxicants and toxins can cause DNA damage either directly by the parent compound, via the production of reactive intermediates, or through the generation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) [Poirier 2004; Klaunig et al. 2011]. DNA damage plays a known role in disease etiology through inducing mutation that leads to gain of function or loss of function for key genes. The epigenetic changes discussed above also occur in a transient manner during chromatin-based processes including DNA damage repair, transcription, and DNA replication. However, these transient changes are not considered true epigenetic changes unless they are stable and heritable, being passed on to daughter cells during cell division. For the purpose of this review there is no delineation between epigenetic changes that are permanent versus those that are heritable through a finite number of cell divisions, but ultimately are reversible as both types of epigenetics changes could
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conceivably play roles in disease development. In this review, I will first discuss the types of DNA damage and epigenetic changes associated with specific environmental exposures and the chromatin changes that are associated with DNA damage repair. I will then discuss the possibility that at least some epigenetic changes are initiated by normally transient chromatin-based changes associated with DNA damage repair.

Environmental exposure-induced DNA damage

A common toxicity inflicted upon cells following environmental exposures is DNA damage. DNA damage can occur directly by exposure to ionizing or ultraviolet (UV) radiation, which ionize components of DNA and are absorbed directly by DNA bases, respectively. Chemicals or their reactive intermediates can react with DNA to modify DNA bases, form DNA adducts, and/or form DNA interstrand crosslinks [Poirier 2004]. Exposures can also act through a mechanism by which elevated levels of ROS cause oxidative DNA damage. ROS may be generated from the agent itself or from many sources in the cell including xenobiotic metabolism, damaged mitochondria, or an immunologic response to the exposure [Klaunig et al. 2011]. ROS are a set of reactive compounds including superoxide, the hydroxyl radical, and hydrogen peroxide (H$_2$O$_2$). Increases in cellular ROS, whether through physiological modification or through chemical exposure contribute to the process of carcinogenesis [Klaunig et al. 2011]. Furthermore, environmental exposures can indirectly cause genotoxicity through interference with the mitotic spindle, causing an unbalanced nucleotide pool, interference with DNA damage repair processes, or allowing for bypass of cell cycle checkpoints [Kirsch-Volders et al. 2003]. The above mechanisms of DNA damage can result in single or double strand DNA breaks (DSBs) and base modifications [Klaunig et al. 2011]. Below I briefly discuss both the epigenetic changes associated with various environmental exposures, namely heavy metals, particulate matter (PM), polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAH), other chemicals, and radiation, and the DNA damage caused by these exposures.

Epigenetic changes resulting from exposure to heavy metals include global changes in DNA methylation after exposure to arsenic, cadmium, and lead; arsenic, chromium, and nickel-associated hyper and hypomethylation of key gene promoters; arsenic, chromium, and nickel-associated changes in histone marks; and arsenic and cadmium-induced changes in miRNA expression [Cheng et al. 2012; Chervona and Costa 2012; Chervona et al. 2012]. These heavy metals, as well as beryllium, chromium, cobalt, and iron, are demonstrated carcinogens [Bal and Kasprzak 2002]. There are many molecular mechanisms suggested for the carcinogenicity of these metals. However, several of them, including cobalt, chromium, copper, iron, and nickel, induce reactive oxygen species and/or high valence metal ions that can act as catalytic centers for redox reactions that directly oxidize DNA [Bal and Kasprzak 2002]. Moreover, millions of people consume water contaminated by inorganic arsenic, an environmental carcinogen, and the ROS produced by arsenic exposure is a key factor in arsenic carcinogenicity [De Vizcaya-Ruiz et al. 2009; Chervona and Costa 2012]. Further supporting the importance of ROS in arsenic exposure, several studies have demonstrated that the use of antioxidants significantly reduces the toxic and genotoxic effects of arsenic [Mandal et al. 2007; Mittal and Flora 2007].
Another kind of environmental exposure widely implicated in human cardiovascular and lung disease/carcinogenesis is PM, which can be found in air pollution. Exposure to PM with a diameter of < 10 μm has been associated with decreased DNA methylation levels of repetitive elements and global histone mark and miRNA expression changes in blood cells [Hou et al. 2012; Motta et al. 2013]. In vivo and in vitro studies suggest that PM exposure causes ROS production [Li et al. 2002; Li et al. 2003; Xia et al. 2004; Hou et al. 2010]. At least in part, this ROS generation is by mechanisms that involve the mitochondria-regulated death pathway and the generation of iron-derived free radicals [Upadhyay et al. 2003; Xia et al. 2004].

PAHs are combustion-related environmental pollutants that are found at high levels in charcoal broiled foods, cigarette smoke, and diesel exhaust and are known DNA damaging agents. The PAH benzo[a]pyrene (BaP) is one of the most studied PAHs with a well understood mechanism of action. BaP can be metabolized to form anti-BP-7,8-dihydrodiol-9,10-epoxide (BPDE), a carcinogen that covalently binds to DNA causing DNA damage that can lead to mutations. Other PAHs operate by similar mechanisms to cause DNA damage and mutation [Luch and Baird 2010]. Additionally, PAH-metabolites can be further converted to PAH o-quinones and diesel exhaust particles contain quinoid PAHs, some of which are highly redox active compounds that participate in redox cycling resulting in the generation of ROS [Park et al. 2006; Chung et al. 2008; Zhang et al. 2012]. In children and workers exposed to PAHs, level of exposure positively correlates with levels of DNA damage in the form of DNA strand breaks and levels of oxidative DNA damage [Ruchirawat et al. 2007; Cavallo et al. 2009; Wang et al. 2010; Kuang et al. 2013]. PAH exposure has also been associated with changes in both global and locus specific DNA methylation levels, genome-wide lysine 9 histone H3 (K9H3) acetylation profiles, and expression of miRNAs [Sadikovic et al. 2008; Pavanello et al. 2009; Halappanavar et al. 2011; Herbstman et al. 2012; Lizarraga et al. 2012; Alegria-Torres et al. 2013].

Another environmental toxicant known to cause epigenetic changes is bisphenol A (BPA), a well-known endocrine-disrupting chemical that has received particular attention because of its widespread use in food containers and its potential for reproductive effects. In a mouse model, in utero exposure to BPA causes DNA methylation changes associated with increased obesity and insulin resistance [Dolinoy et al. 2007]. BPA exposure also induces changes in miRNA expression and global levels of trimethyl K27H3 [Avissar-Whiting et al. 2010; Doherty et al. 2010; Tilghman et al. 2012]. Results for the genotoxicity of BPA are still inconclusive, but recent studies suggest that BPA exposure may induce DNA damage accumulation in cells via oxidative stress [Tiwari et al. 2012; Wu et al. 2013].

Pesticides constitute a wide variety of chemicals including herbicides, insecticides, and fungicides and exposure to them may cause acute and delayed health effects. Exposure to many of these chemicals has been linked to epigenetic changes including DNA methylation, histone modification, and miRNA expression changes (reviewed in [Collotta et al. 2013]). In both pesticide factory and agriculture workers, exposure to pesticides has been demonstrated to result in genomic DNA damage, which was evaluated either by using the comet assay or the frequency of micronuclei, although the exact mechanism by which pesticide exposure
induces DNA damage is unclear [Bhalli et al. 2006; Sailaja et al. 2006; Benedetti et al. 2013; Khayat et al. 2013].

Benzene is widely used in chemical industry and is a component of cigarette smoke associated with hematological disorders and cancer formation. Exposure to benzene is associated with changes in DNA methylation, including global hypomethylation of DNA, hypomethylation of repetitive elements, and hypermethylation of specific gene promoters [Hou et al. 2012; Seow et al. 2012; Xing et al. 2013]. Benzene itself is not mutagenic, but benzene is metabolized into toxic metabolites that cause an increase in intracellular production of ROS resulting in oxidative DNA damage [Hiraku and Kawanishi 1996].

Exposure to ionizing radiation (IR) occurs through diagnostic and therapeutic medical devices as well as background radiation, cosmic rays, radioactive waste, radon decay, nuclear tests, and nuclear accidents. Exposure to IR can cause global DNA hypomethylation, DNA methylation changes at specific loci, and changes in expression of the DNA methyltransferases (DNMTs) both acutely and up to 14 days post-irradiation [Kuhmann et al. 2011; Chaudhry and Omaruddin 2012; Antwih et al. 2013] (reviewed in [Kim et al. 2013]). IR exposure also induces phosphorylation of H2AX, a decrease in K20H4 trimethylation, and changes in miRNA expression [Pogribny et al. 2005; Aypar et al. 2011; Methheetrairut and Slack 2013]. Interestingly, both single high dose and chronic low dose whole-body irradiation can significantly alter global and site-specific DNA methylation in mouse tissue [Pogribny et al. 2004; Koturbash et al. 2005; Bernal et al. 2013]. IR is a known carcinogen that damages cellular components, including DNA in the form of single and double strand breaks, base damage, and DNA crosslinks and also increases cellular ROS levels [Klaunig et al. 2011].

UV radiation exposure through sunlight and artificial sources is a primary risk factor for melanoma. Chronic exposure of the skin of mice to UVB radiation or of human keratinocytes in culture to UVA radiation causes chromatin and DNA methylation changes at specific gene promoters [Nandakumar et al. 2011; Chen et al. 2012]. miRNA expression changes have also been demonstrated in mouse skin 24 hours after a single UVB exposure [Xu et al. 2012b]. Exposure of skin to UV radiation induces oxidative stress, inflammation, and DNA damage in the form of DNA photoproducts such as cyclobutane pyrimidine dimers [Xu et al. 2012b].

In addition to directly causing increases in ROS and DNA damage, exposure to environmental toxicants can induce acute and/or chronic inflammation. For example, PM from tobacco smoke and air pollution is associated with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease [Punturieri et al. 2009]. Such inflammation results in increased exposure to ROS. Cells undergo oxidative stress when ROS levels exceed the cell’s ability to balance the oxidative environment. In response to tissue injury caused by exposures, inflammatory cells are activated and directed to the site of injury (reviewed in [Medzhitov 2008]). Chemokines are released that attract specific leukocyte populations, including neutrophils, eosinophils, and macrophages. These cells produce a variety of ROS and release them at sites of inflammation. Inflammatory cells may also use cytokines to stimulate ROS production in neighboring epithelial cells.
Chronic inflammation has been associated with cancer-specific epigenetic changes. Infection with Helicobacter pylori, a carcinogenic bacterium, causes changes in histone modifications, global DNA hypomethylation, and DNA hypermethylation of specific genes in the human gastric mucosa, including those that are DNA methylated and silenced in human gastric cancer cells [Maekita et al. 2006; Ding et al. 2010; Ushijima and Hattori 2012]. H. pylori-mediated inflammation appears to play a key role in these epigenetic changes [Hur et al. 2011]. In the intestine, some Polycomb Repressive Complex 2 (PRC2) target genes, which are a set of genes that have a tendency to DNA methylated and silenced in cancer, are subject to aberrant DNA methylation and decreases in K27H3 trimethylation following chronic inflammation, both in inflamed tissue and tumors [Hahn et al. 2008]. Furthermore, murine infection with a toxigenic bacterium, enterotoxigenic Bacteriodies fragilis, causes acute epigenetic changes in colonic epithelial cells harvested from the areas of highest inflammation. Changes in polycomb group (PcG) protein recruitment have been demonstrated at gene promoters known to become epigenetically silenced in colon cancer [O’Hagan et al. 2011]. miRNA expression is also altered in inflammatory conditions both in immune and epithelial cells and likely plays roles both in controlling chronic inflammation and in promoting cancer development (reviewed in [Chiba et al. 2012]).

Most studies linking environmental exposures and/or inflammation to epigenetic changes have focused on chronic or repetitive exposures. While there is evidence that acute exposure to IR can cause persistent epigenetic changes, chronic low-dose exposures are more potent inducers of lasting epigenetic changes [Kovalchuk et al. 2004; Koturbash et al. 2005]. Furthermore, in mouse a model of colitis, it has been demonstrated that chronic inflammation, as opposed to treatment with a genotoxic agent only, is necessary for the induction of DNA methylation changes also suggesting that most exposure-related epigenetic changes occur through chronic exposure [Katsurano et al. 2012].

**Transient chromatin-based changes at sites of DNA damage**

DNA in the nucleus is packaged into chromatin, which is a barrier to DNA damage recognition and repair. Compacted chromatin is refractory to full activation of the DNA damage response and disruption of DNA integrity alone can cause a change in chromatin structure that activates DNA damage signaling [Berkovich et al. 2007; Murga et al. 2007; Soria et al. 2012]. In order for repair machinery to access sites of DNA damage chromatin must undergo remodeling. In part this remodeling is done by ATP-dependent nucleosome complexes removing and/or sliding nucleosomes out of the way (reviewed in [Smeenk and van Attikum 2013]). The heterochromatin-associated proteins, HP1 and Kap-1, also participate in the early response to DSBs in euchromatin, although their exact role in DNA repair is still unclear [Luijsterburg et al. 2009; Baldeyron et al. 2011; Soria et al. 2012]. In addition to the movement of nucleosomes, histones are also post-translationally modified at sites of DNA damage to both aid in DNA damage signaling and the recruitment and retention of DNA repair factors [Lukas et al. 2011b]. One of the most well studied histone modifications that occurs in the chromatin surrounding sites of DNA damage is phosphorylation of the histone variant H2AX. phospho-H2AX promotes the retention and accumulation of DNA repair proteins as well as histone modifiers and chromatin remodelers [Lukas et al. 2011b]. Beyond aiding in DNA damage accessibility and signaling, it has been
hypothesized that chromatin modifiers are recruited to the sites of DNA damage to locally inhibit transcription to prevent it from interfering with the repair process and/or DNA damage signaling [Price and D’Andrea 2013].

Finally, after DNA damage repair is complete, the chromatin is restored by both repositioning nucleosomes and returning the epigenetic code back to its original state. All of these processes require chromatin-modifying enzymes found at sites of DNA damage. Interestingly, many of these proteins and complexes, particularly those discussed below, are also involved in stable epigenetic changes during development and in cancer bringing into question whether the transient recruitment of these proteins during DNA damage repair can be tied to stable disease-associated epigenetic alterations.

**NuRD**

The nucleosomes deacetylase and remodeling (NuRD) complex is a co-repressor complex that is recruited to sites of DNA damage induced by UV light and IR [Chou et al. 2010; Larsen et al. 2010; Polo et al. 2010; Smeenk et al. 2010]. A core component of NuRD is the chromodomain-helicase-DNA-binding 4 (CHD4), a member of the SNF2 superfamily of ATPases, which use ATP hydrolysis to move nucleosomes along DNA. NuRD also contains the histone deacetylases, HDAC1 and HDAC2, making the complex capable of forming repressive chromatin. NuRD has been found to be rapidly recruited to sites of DSBs by its interaction with the ubiquitin ligase RNF (RING finger protein) 8. The chromatin-remodeling activity of CHD4 is proposed to decondense the chromatin at the DNA damage site, which stimulates the formation of ubiquitin conjugates by RNF8/RNF168 [Larsen et al. 2010; Luijsterburg et al. 2012; Smeenk et al. 2013]. This ubiquitylation is needed for amplification of the DNA-damage repair signal and recruitment of DNA damage repair proteins including BRCA1 (breast cancer early-onset 1). Loss of BRCA1 recruitment leads to impaired DSB repair and activation of the G2/M checkpoint and increased IR sensitivity. CHD4 also binds BRIT1, a key regulator of homologous recombination, and loss of CHD4 impairs the recruitment of BRIT1 to DNA damage again leading to a reduction in BRCA1 recruitment [Pan et al. 2012]. CHD4 has also been found to be recruited to sites of laser microirradiation in a poly(ADP ribose) polymerase (PARP1) dependent manner [Chou et al. 2010; Polo et al. 2010]. PARP enzymes are activated by DSBs, single-strand breaks, and DNA nicks and, by modifying target proteins with poly(ADP-ribose) chains at DNA damage sites, play a role in the recruitment of DNA repair factors to lesions. CHD4 has been found to directly bind PARylated proteins, including PARP1 itself, at DNA damage sites [Polo et al. 2010].

**Polycomb**

PcG proteins were originally discovered as chromatin modifiers that control silencing of the homeotic (Hox) genes during embryonic development in fruit flies (reviewed in [Aloia et al. 2013]). In mammals, PcG members are also important in development by regulating expression of key genes in developmental pathways. The PcG proteins make up two main Polycomb Repressive Complexes, PRC1 and PRC2. To initiate silencing, PRC2, which contains the histone methyltransferase EZH2, trimethylates histone H3 at lysine 27. PRC1,
which contains E3 ubiquitin ligases and BMI1 (B lymphoma Mo-MLV insertion region 1 homolog), is then recruited to sites of this mark and catalyzes the monoubiquitylation of K119H2A. While in fruit flies there are DNA motifs for the recruitment for PRC2, there are no similar elements in mammals and it is currently unknown what recruits PRC2 to specific promoters during development. PRC1 and PRC2 also play abnormal roles in cancer. Members of both complexes, especially BMI1 and EZH2, are often over expressed in cancers and are involved with aberrant repression of key tumor suppressor genes [Bracken and Helin 2009].

Recent work has implicated both PRC1 and PRC2 in DNA damage repair mostly at DSBs. Members of PRC1, including BMI1 and Ring1B, have been localized to sites of DSBs [Pan et al. 2010; Chou et al. 2010; Ismail et al. 2010; Chagraoui et al. 2011; Ginjala et al. 2011; Nacerddine et al. 2012]. For an extensive review of this work, see Vissers HA et al [Vissers et al. 2012]. Interestingly, ubiquitylation of H2A on K119 also occurs at sites of DSB in a similar manner as its role in chromatin repression during development [Ginjala et al. 2011]. Recruitment of these proteins and ubK119H2A appear to be early events in the DNA damage repair process as they occur minutes after IR with timing similar to other DNA damage response factors and their presence is sustained for several hours [Chou et al. 2010; Ismail et al. 2010; Ginjala et al. 2011]. Although there are many ubiquitylation events around the sites of DSBs, which cause some discrepancies between the findings of different groups, it is likely that ubK119H2A is dependent on BMI1 as loss of Ring1B and BMI1 interfere with basal and induced monoubiquitylation at sites of DNA damage [Ginjala et al. 2011]. RNF8-RNF168 are responsible for other ubiquitylation events around DSBs, specifically in the generation of K63-linked ubiquitin chains [Shanbhag et al. 2010]. The interaction of these different ubiquitylation events is unclear including which lysine residues of H2A and H2AX are modified by RNF8-RNF168 and how these ubiquitin chains affect monoubiquitylation of K119H2A [Vissers et al. 2012]. Recent work using a small molecule inhibitor of the E3 ubiquitin ligase activity of PRC1, but not RNF8 or RNF168, has suggested that K119H2A monoubiquitylation is required for subsequent RNF8-RNF168-mediated polyubiquitylation at sites of DNA damage [Ismail et al. 2013].

PRC2 members have also been found localized to sites of DNA damage, including after UV light, H2O2, and endonuclease-induced DSBs [Hong et al. 2008; O’Hagan et al. 2008; Chou et al. 2010; O’Hagan et al. 2011; Seiler et al. 2011; Wu et al. 2011; Campbell et al. 2013]. Localization of these proteins in many cases has correlated with an increase in the 3meK27H3 mark, suggesting recruitment of a functional PRC2 complex. However, the exact function of PRC2 at sites of DSBs remains unclear. As mentioned, during development PRC2 recruitment and activity precedes and is necessary for PRC1 recruitment. However, this may not be a requirement at sites of DNA damage. The recruitment of PRC1 is a very early event in the DNA damage response and some groups have demonstrated that PRC1 recruitment is not affected by knockdown of PRC2 constituents [Ismail et al. 2010]. If PRC1 does not require the 3meK27H3 mark for its recruitment to DSBs, then the question remains as to how and why this recruitment is different from what occurs during development.
DNA methyltransferases

DNMTs methylate CpG dinucleotides of DNA and play a normal role in the silencing of developmental genes and repetitive elements. However, in cancer DNMTs are involved in aberrant silencing of 100s to 1000s of gene promoters [Baylin and Jones 2011]. While all of these silencing events are likely not drivers of carcinogenesis, silencing of the promoters of tumor suppressor genes such as MLH1 and p16 play a direct role. DNMT1 is called the maintenance methyltransferase because it prefers methylating the second strand of hemimethylated DNA and is located at DNA synthesis forks for this purpose.

While there is some discrepancy as to which or if all DNMTs are involved in DNA damage repair, it is evident that at least some are. Several groups have demonstrated the presence of DNMT1 at both endonuclease and laser-induced breaks [Mortusewicz et al. 2005; O’Hagan et al. 2008; Ha et al. 2010]. DNMT1 is rapidly and transiently recruited to DSBs through its interaction with proliferating cell nuclear antigen (PCNA) and checkpoint kinase 1 (CHK1) and loss of DNMT1 appears to modulate the rate of repair [Ha et al. 2010]. Because this recruitment appears to be early in the repair process, it is thought that DNMT1 may be functioning in sensing or mobilizing the DNA damage repair response to sites of DNA damage [Jin and Robertson 2013]. DNMT3B, a de novo DNMT, has also both been found at endonuclease-induced DSBs [O’Hagan et al. 2008], although it was not found to be localized to laser-induced breaks [Mortusewicz et al. 2005]. Groups have shown that DNA damage associated with recruitment of DNMTs results in DNA methylation changes that occur immediately after DNA damage or persist after DNA damage repair has been completed [Cuozzo et al. 2007; O’Hagan et al. 2008; O’Hagan et al. 2011]. One possible role of localization of DNMTs at sites of DNA damage may be that DNMT1 is functioning as a scaffolding protein for the recruitment of other epigenetic proteins to sites of DNA damage rather than playing a specific role in methylating DNA. The findings of several groups support this hypothesis. First, the recruitment of DNMT1 to DSBs does not require its catalytic activity, secondly, the DNMTs interact as part of large complex induced by H2O2 treatment, and finally, DNMT1 can play a scaffolding role in silencing genes in cancer cells [Ha et al. 2010; O’Hagan et al. 2011; Clements et al. 2012]. Further work needs to be completed to clarify the role of DNMTs during DNA damage repair.

Histone acetylation and deacetylation

Histone acetylation is a mark that is added and removed by histone acetyl transferases (HATs) and HDACs, respectively. Histone acetylation, unlike some other histone marks, alters the structure and charge of lysine residues and therefore regulates chromatin structure and function by modifying histone-DNA and histone-protein interactions, making histone acetylation directly involved in the regulation of transcription [Gong and Miller 2013]. The HAT, 60 kDa Tat-interactive protein (Tip60) plays key roles in DSB repair by participating in chromatin remodeling at DSBs as part of the NuA4 complex and by activating the ATM kinase [Sun et al. 2010]. The NuA4-Tip60 complex binds to chromatin around sites of DSBs and, p400, a remodeling ATPase that is part of the NuA4-Tip60 complex, exchanges H2A for the histone variant H2AZ in nucleosomes at the DSB [Xu et al. 2010; Xu et al. 2012a]. Tip60 then acetylates histones H2AX and H4, which aids in the turnover of H2AX and
modifies the chromatin architecture at break sites [Ikura et al. 2000; Downs et al. 2004; Kusch et al. 2004; Murr et al. 2006; Robert et al. 2006; Ikura et al. 2007; Jha et al. 2008]. Interestingly, recently it has been demonstrated that by modulating histone acetylation TIP60 promotes homologous recombination, while inhibiting nonhomologous end joining [Hsiao and Mizzen 2013; Tang et al. 2013]. The HATs, GCN5, p300, and CBP have also been implicated in DNA damage repair of DSBs and UV lesions [Tini et al. 2002; Kim et al. 2009; Vempati et al. 2010; Guo et al. 2011; Ogiwara et al. 2011].

The most studied HDAC in terms of DNA damage repair is sirtuin1 (SIRT1). In yeast, the SIRT1 homolog relocates from telomeres to DSBs and is needed for efficient non-homologous end joining [Tsukamoto et al. 1997; Martin et al. 1999; McAinsh et al. 1999; Mills et al. 1999]. SIRT1 also localizes to sites of DNA damage in mammalian cells and has been implicated in deacetylation of histones at sites of DNA damage as well as deacetylation of DNA repair proteins and other proteins involved in the DNA damage response [Jeong et al. 2007; Yuan and Seto 2007; Li et al. 2008; O’Hagan et al. 2008; Fan and Luo 2010]. Such deacetylation of histones would result in more compacted chromatin, whereas deacetylation of proteins has been shown to change their activity level. SIRT1 also interacts with Tip60 to negatively regulate Tip60-mediated acetylation of H2AX [Yamagata and Kitabayashi 2009]. HDAC1 and HDAC2 have also been implicated in DNA damage repair [Miller et al. 2010; Polo et al. 2010]. HDAC1 and HDAC2 have been shown to associate with many other epigenetic proteins as part of the NuRD complex and with the DNMTs [Zhang et al. 1999; Fuks et al. 2000]. Therefore, it is possible that they are being recruited to sites of DNA damage as part of these complexes. Further studies are required to define how the deacetylation of histones affects the other functions of these complexes.

Both increases and decreases of histone acetylation have been associated with DNA damage repair, likely because DNA damage repair is dynamic process that requires both the opening and closing of chromatin and there are several different histone tail lysines that can be acetylated [Tamburini and Tyler 2005]. It has been difficult to determine the exact relationship between the acetylation/deacetylation dynamics at sites of DNA damage because most studies use chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) assays to look at changes in histone marks. These assays are only capable of resolving the locations of the modified histones based on the size of the sonicated DNA fragments (typically 200 to 1000 bp). Acetylation and deacetylation events may be very specific in terms of the distance to the break site, with acetylation happening near the break and deacetylation occurring a few nucleosomes away, or they may differ in timing, with acetylation occurring right after DNA damage followed by deacetylation when DNA damage repair is completed. Further work needs to be done to understand the exact relationship between histone acetylation and deacetylation at sites of DNA damage.

Non-coding RNAs

The newest type of epigenetic modulators to be studied are non-coding RNAs, with miRNAs being the most studied of this group. miRNAs post-transcriptionally regulate the expression of target genes, have also been found to be involved in the DNA damage response, and, as discussed above, their expression can be altered by environmental...
exposures (reviewed in [Chowdhury et al. 2012]). The set of miRNAs that change in expression in response to DNA damage depends both on the cell type and on the damaging agent used and can play a role in DNA damage signaling as well as DNA damage repair. Overall their role in the DNA damage response is still poorly understood, but some examples are provided. DNA repair and signaling proteins including ATM, H2AX, BRCA1, and p53 can be directly inhibited by miRNAs [Sharma and Misteli 2013]. Irradiation results in the downregulation of miR-335, a miRNA that targets CtIP, a protein involved in end resection during homologous recombination, and therefore influences the selection of homologous repair for the DNA repair of DSBs [Martin et al. 2013]. miRNA-7 is a negative regulator of SET8 (SET domain containing 8), a H4K20 monomethyltransferase that plays a role in DNA repair [Yu et al. 2013]. Loss of miRNA-7 promotes spontaneous DNA damage and sensitizes cells to induced DNA damage. Recent studies suggest that another class of small RNAs, called DSB-induced small RNAs (diRNAs), are induced around DSB sites and promote DNA damage repair [Francia et al. 2012; Wei et al. 2012]. The exact function of these diRNAs is unclear, however, one theory is that they may help generate either an open or closed chromatin structure at the break site [Ohsawa et al. 2013]. Because there is no evidence that changes in miRNA expression alter the chromatin directly at the site of DNA damage and because little is known at this point about diRNAs, they will have to be a topic for a future review.

Combination of chromatin-based changes at sites of DNA damage

While above the various chromatin modifying proteins and histone mark changes are discussed separately, it is likely that they are acting together or sequentially during the repair of damaged DNA. Several of the proteins are known to interact with each other including the HDACs interacting with NuRD and DNMT1 as discussed [Zhang et al. 1999; Fuks et al. 2000], PcG members interacting with DNMTs [Vire et al. 2006], and SIRT1 interacting with DNMTs and PcG proteins [Kuzmichev et al. 2005; Espada et al. 2007; O’Hagan et al. 2011]. Therefore, these proteins may be recruited to sites of DNA damage as part of larger complexes that are capable of modifying histones and other proteins in several different ways. Multiple histone modifications have been demonstrated to also occur in the chromatin surrounding DNA damage and in some cases multiple modifications are required for the recruitment of proteins involved in the DNA damage repair process. For example, 53BP1, a chromatin-associated factor that promotes DSB repair by non-homologous end joining, needs histones to be modified by both methylation and ubiquitylation for its proper binding to nucleosomes [Fratet-Turcotte et al. 2013].

Inhibition of transcription and DNA damage repair

Both transcription and the DNA damage repair process are intimately involved with chromatin changes. Certain DNA lesions and/or DNA repair processes, including high levels of oxidative stress, result in inhibition of transcription on a global level [Berthiaume et al. 2006]. RNA polymerases (RNAPs) are stalled at bulky DNA lesions reducing levels of transcription and, during transcription coupled DNA damage repair, they become ubiquitylated and undergo proteasome-mediated degradation [Pankotai et al. 2012]. Stalled elongating RNAPII provides a recognition signal for chromatin remodeling and recruitment.
of proteins involved in DNA damage repair [Fousteri et al. 2006]. Even though ionizing radiation does not reduce transcription on a global level, it has been demonstrated that the induction of DSBs specifically causes a reduction in activity of both RNAPI and RNAPII and, in the case of RNAPII a reduction of transcription of the associated gene [Kruhlak et al. 2007; Shanbhag et al. 2010; Pankotai et al. 2012]. As DNA damage repair is completed both global and local transcription return to normal.

The epigenetic proteins and complexes discussed here are all involved in both transcriptional silencing during development and aberrant silencing in cancer [Baylin and Jones 2011]. As discussed above, these proteins are also recruited to sites of DNA damage, which suggests that they may play a role in preventing transcription at sites of DNA damage, likely to prevent transcription from interfering with the DNA damage repair process [Chou et al. 2010; Miller et al. 2010; Lukas et al. 2011b; O’Hagan et al. 2011]. In BMI1 knockout mouse embryonic fibroblasts elongating RNAPII is maintained at DNA damage foci, where as RNAPII is normally lost from these sites, suggesting that BMI1 recruitment is indeed playing a role in reducing transcription at sites of DNA damage [Chagraoui et al. 2011]. Unlike epigenetic silencing during development and disease formation, this DNA damage repair-associated inhibition of transcription is likely a transient event that resumes once DNA damage repair is completed.

In order to prove these hypotheses, models must be developed to examine both transcription and DNA damage repair at known locations in the genome over a given time period. One such system could be one which utilizes the endonuclease assay developed by Shanbhag and Greenberg [Shanbhag and Greenberg 2013].

**DNA damage and heritable epigenetic alterations**

The involvement of epigenetic silencing proteins in DNA damage repair is most likely a normal part of the DNA damage repair process that causes transient changes in chromatin compaction and transcription, which are restored to normal when DNA damage repair is completed [Soria et al. 2012]. However, if these epigenetic players are not removed correctly during the DNA damage repair process or if in the setting of chronic inflammation or chronic toxicant exposure, there is repetitive DNA damage, these epigenetic changes may result in aberrant permanent silencing of the gene. Aberrant DNA hypermethylation of CpG islands, including promoter CpG islands commonly found DNA hypermethylated in cancer, is observed at sites of chronic inflammation, including chronic biliary tract inflammation, Barrett’s esophagus, *H. pylori* infection, and inflammatory bowel disease [Niwa and Ushijima 2010]. Global DNA hypomethylation and a decrease in DNA methylation at specific repetitive DNA sequences, similar to what is seen in cancers, have also been observed in chronically inflamed tissue. Oxidative stress has been shown to induce the formation of an epigenetic silencing complex that includes the DNMTs, SIRT1 and PRC2 members [O’Hagan et al. 2011]. This complex is enriched at GC-rich areas of the genome, including CpG islands that become DNA hypermethylated and silenced in cancer. After oxidative damage, these regions gain repressive histone modifications and have reduced transcription of the associated genes, and in the case of low basal expression genes, gain DNA methylation. Similar findings were demonstrated in inflamed tissue using a mouse model...
model of colitis [O’Hagan et al. 2011]. This work led to the hypothesis that in settings of chronic oxidative stress, such changes may persist and become permanent epigenetic changes. Interestingly, it has been hypothesized that transcription protects gene promoters from epigenetic silencing, suggesting that even a transient inhibition of transcription during DNA damage repair may make promoters more susceptible to stable silencing [Thomson et al. 2010]. However, a direct link between inflammation and stable DNA methylation changes has not yet been established.

Recent whole-cell based studies suggest that DSBs themselves or chromatin modifications that are associated with DSBs can at least persist through one cellular generation. DSBs that occur during mitosis persist through mitosis as indicated by foci of DNA repair proteins and are passed on symmetrically to daughter cells as 53BP1 (a DNA damage response protein) nuclear bodies [Giunta et al. 2010; Lukas et al. 2011a]. However, whether successful DNA damage repair of such DSBs occurs and/or the cells survive needs to be demonstrated. Furthermore, exposure of mice to protracted low-dose radiation causes persistent 53BP1 foci in epidermal stem cells that do not colocalize with other DNA repair proteins [Schanz et al. 2012]. The authors suggest that these 53BP1 foci may be permanent chromatin rearrangements caused by the DNA damage repair of radiation-induced DSBs. While chromatin changes need to be more closely examined in this system, this work does suggest that chromatin surrounding a DSB may not always be restored back to normal after DNA damage repair is completed and the altered chromatin can persist for an undetermined amount of time.

While there is still not direct evidence that DNA damage can cause heritable epigenetic changes, several experimental approaches have been utilized to determine if there is a link between DNA damage and stable epigenetic changes. Cuzzo et al. demonstrated that an endonuclease-induced DSB that is repaired by homologous recombination results in sustained DNA methylation and transcriptional silencing of the associated gene [Cuozzo et al. 2007] and the activity of DNMT1 in this process seems to be regulated by GADD45alpha [Lee et al. 2012]. Exposure of cells to ROS, H$_2$O$_2$, results in the redistribution of SIRT1 away from its normal binding sites in the genome to sites of DNA damage, which results in a derepression of SIRT1-regulated genes that mimics transcriptional changes seen in aging cells [Oberdoerffer et al. 2008]. In another approach, an endonuclease was used to induce a DSB in the CpG island of an exogenously introduced copy of the E-cadherin promoter, which is a promoter that is frequently DNA hypermethylated in epithelial cancers, including the breast cancer cell line used for these studies, MB-MDA-231. The introduced promoter drives expression of the herpes simplex virus gene, thymidine kinase (HSVTK), allowing for negative selection for expression using the drug ganciclovir. Induction of the DSB causes epigenetic silencing of the promoter without causing DNA mutation in a small percentage of cells [O’Hagan et al. 2008]. The epigenetic silencing coincides with a gain in promoter DNA methylation that increases with passage. However, DNA methylation does not appear necessary for the DNA-damage induced epigenetic silencing as knockdown of SIRT1 during the acute phase of DNA damage induction does not affect silencing of the promoter, but does result in a loss of transient enrichment of DNMT3B at the break site and a loss of DNA methylation in the silenced promoters. These studies suggest that at least in experimental systems DNA damage can induce epigenetic changes. Further studies are
required to extend these findings to in vivo settings involving environmental exposures and disease developmental to establish firmly if there are direct links between exposure, DNA damage, epigenetic changes, and disease formation.

Conclusions

It is evident that environmental exposures result in stable epigenetic changes. However, the mechanisms of how exposures result in epigenetic alterations are unclear. It is likely that exposures induce epigenetic alterations by more than one mechanism, possibly varying with the specific exposure or tissue being studied. One hypothesis for such a mechanism is driven by known chromatin changes that occur at sites of DNA damage. Many environmental exposures cause an increase in DNA damage in cells either directly or through an increase in ROS. At sites of DNA damage there are many chromatin changes including modifications of histones and repositioning and/or removal of nucleosomes. Additionally, several proteins known to be involved in aberrant epigenetic silencing in cancer, including the NuRD complex, PcG proteins, and the DNMTs, have been localized to sites of DNA damage (Figure 1). These proteins may play a role in inhibiting transcription during the DNA damage repair process. Likely these chromatin changes are transient such that the chromatin is restored back to normal after DNA damage repair is complete. However, at sites of chronic exposure and/or inflammation repeated DNA damage and chromatin rearrangement may occasionally result in aberrant restoration of the chromatin and cause stable epigenetic alterations (Figure 1). If such epigenetic changes result in a survival or proliferative advantage for the cell, they may persist in the cell population. Such a hypothesis is similar in thought to the occasional DNA mutation that escapes proper DNA damage repair and results in activation or repression of an oncogene or tumor suppressor gene, respectively. However, the potential to reverse epigenetic changes after silencing occurs has raised great interest in epigenetic-based therapeutics in the cancer field [Helin and Dhanak 2013]. At this point the published work linking chromatin changes at sites of DNA damage to heritable epigenetic changes is mostly circumstantial and more work needs to be done to demonstrate a direct causative link between the two. Additionally, further work needs to be done to determine other mechanisms by which environmental exposure can induce epigenetic changes. Understanding the mechanisms behind exposure-induced epigenetic changes will hopefully result in the development of biomarkers for exposure in order to better protect the health of our population and possible drug treatments for at-risk populations either undergoing chronic exposure to environmental pollutants or chronic inflammation.
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Figure 1. Model for how environmental exposure-induced DNA damage may lead to epigenetic silencing

At sites of environmental exposure, either the exposure itself or increased levels of ROS caused by exposure cause DNA damage (red star). At the sites of damage histone modifications occur (green circles) and the chromatin is remodeled. Additionally, epigenetic silencing proteins are recruited to the site of damage, possibly to prevent transcription from interfering with the repair process. It is unclear at this time if all of these proteins are being recruited to all sites of damage and whether or not they are interacting with each other. In most instances, after completion of DNA repair the chromatin is restored back to normal, the epigenetic silencing proteins are no longer localized to the area, and transcription resumes. In cases of chronic exposure, DNA damage and repair cycles can happen repeatedly and, in rare instances, the chromatin structure may not be returned to normal and binding of the epigenetic silencing proteins may persist, resulting in stable epigenetic silencing.