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S o m m a i r e

Le lichen plan est une affection chronique de la muqueuse qui s'observe fréquemment en cabinet. Le lichen plan serait le résultat d’une réaction immunitaire anormale au cours de laquelle les cellules épithéliales seraient perçues comme des corps étrangers à la suite de changements dans l’antigénicité de la surface cellulaire. Cette affection se présente sous diverses manifestations buccales. La forme réticulaire est la plus répandue mais les formes érosive et atrophique, bien que moins courantes, sont les plus susceptibles de causer des symptômes. Le traitement consiste essentiellement en l’application de corticostéroïdes topiques sur les lésions symptomatiques. Les lésions résistantes peuvent être traitées au moyen de stéroïdes systémiques ou autres médicaments à action générale. Cependant, les données démontrant la supériorité de ces traitements au placebo sont peu concluantes. Enfin, comme des rapports font état d’un risque légèrement supérieur de développer un épithélioma spinocellulaire dans les zones présentant des lésions érosives, il est important que le clinicien soit attentif à toute lésion lichéniforme intra-buccale et il est recommandé de faire un suivi périodique de tous les patients souffrant de lichen plan.
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ich plan is a relatively common disorder, estimated to affect 0.5% to 2.0% of the general population.1 It is a chronic, inflammatory disease that affects mucosal and cutaneous tissues. Oral lichen planus (OLP) occurs more frequently than the cutaneous form and tends to be more persistent and more resistant to treatment.2

In view of the prevalence of OLP and the potential of this chronic disease to cause significant discomfort, it is important for clinicians to be aware of its clinical presentation and management.

Etiology and Pathogenesis

Lichen planus is believed to result from an abnormal T-cell-mediated immune response in which basal epithelial cells are recognized as foreign because of changes in the antigenicity of their cell surface.3 The cause of this immune-mediated basal cell damage is unknown. Likewise, it is unknown if lichen planus represents a single disease process or several closely related entities with similar clinical presentations. A recent immunologic comparison of 2 variants of OLP suggested that different immunopathogenic mechanisms might be involved.4

Clinical Presentation

Lichen planus affects primarily middle-aged adults, and the prevalence is greater among women.5 Children are only rarely affected.6 The classic skin lesions of the cutaneous form of lichen planus can be described as purplish, polygonal, planar, pruritic papules and plaques.7 These skin lesions commonly involve the flexor surfaces of the legs and arms, especially the wrists (Fig. 1). The nail beds may also be affected, with resultant ridging, thinning and subungual hyperkeratosis.7 Scalp involvement, if untreated, can lead to scarring and permanent hair loss.

Since 30% to 50% of patients with oral lesions also have cutaneous lesions, the presence of these characteristic cutaneous lesions can aid in the diagnosis of OLP.

Several types of OLP have been described, the 2 main types being reticular and erosive OLP.8 It is not uncommon for the same patient to present with multiple forms of OLP.
Oral Lichen Planus: Clinical Presentation and Management

Reticular OLP

The reticular form is the most common type of OLP. It presents as interlacing white keratotic lines (known as Wickham's striae) with an erythematous border (Fig. 2). The striae are typically located bilaterally on the buccal mucosa, mucobuccal fold, gingiva and, less commonly, the tongue, palate and lips.

A variant of reticular OLP is the plaque-like form, which clinically resembles leukoplakia but which has a multifocal distribution. These plaque-like lesions can range in presentation from smooth, flat areas to irregular, elevated areas. This variant is commonly found on the dorsum of the tongue (Fig. 3) and on the buccal mucosa.

Both the reticular form and its plaque-like variant are usually asymptomatic.

Erosive OLP

Erosive OLP is the second most common type. It presents as a mix of erythematous and ulcerated areas surrounded by finely radiating keratotic striae (Figs. 4 and 5). When erosive OLP involves the attached gingival tissue, it is called desquamative gingivitis. The lesions of erosive OLP migrate over time and tend to be multifocal. Patients with this form of OLP often present with symptoms ranging from episodic pain to severe discomfort that can interfere with normal masticatory function.

Two additional presentations are the atrophic and bullous forms, which are considered variants of the erosive type. Atrophic OLP appears as diffuse, erythematous patches surrounded by fine white striae. This form can cause significant discomfort. In the bullous form, intraoral bullae are present on the buccal mucosa and the lateral borders of the tongue; the bullae rupture soon after they appear, which results in the classic appearance of erosive OLP.1

Differential Diagnosis

The diagnosis of OLP can be rendered more confidently when characteristic cutaneous lesions are present. Except for the pathognomonic appearance of reticular OLP (white striae occurring bilaterally on the buccal mucosa), in most cases histopathologic evaluation of lesional tissue is required to obtain a definitive diagnosis. Even classic cases of lichen planus
may be worthy of biopsy so as to establish baseline histopathologic features.

The differential diagnosis of erosive OLP includes squamous cell carcinoma, discoid lupus erythematosus, chronic candidiasis, benign mucous membrane pemphigoid, pemphigus vulgaris, chronic cheek chewing, lichenoid reaction to dental amalgam or drugs, graft-versus-host disease (GVHD), hypersensitivity mucositis and erythema multiforme. The plaque form of reticular OLP can resemble oral leukoplakia.

**Biopsy Procedures**

The definitive diagnosis of OLP depends on histopathologic examination of the affected tissue. However, performing a biopsy of lesional tissue, particularly if the OLP is of the erosive form, can be challenging. It is important to obtain an elliptical wedge of mucosa extending beyond the affected area, to avoid stripping the superficial epithelial layer from the underlying connective tissue.

**Histopathologic Features**

The classic histopathologic features of OLP include liquefaction of the basal cell layer accompanied by apoptosis of the keratinocytes, a dense band-like lymphocytic infiltrate at the interface between the epithelium and the connective tissue, focal areas of hyperkeratinized epithelium (which give rise to the clinically apparent Wickham's striae) and occasional areas of atrophic epithelium where the rete pegs may be shortened and pointed (a characteristic known as sawtooth rete pegs) (Fig. 6). Eosinophilic colloid bodies (Civatte bodies), which represent degenerating keratinocytes, are often visible in the lower half of the surface epithelium.

Although the histopathologic features of OLP are characteristic, other conditions, such as lichenoid reaction to dental amalgam and drugs, may exhibit a similar histologic pattern.

The histopathologic diagnosis of OLP can be complicated by the presence of superimposed candidiasis; diagnosis can also be more difficult if the biopsy exhibits an ulcerated surface. In these situations, the biopsy findings are sometimes interpreted as representing a nonspecific chronic inflammatory process.

On occasion, the histopathologic features are equivocal, and the oral pathologist examining the submitted tissue may recommend that a second biopsy be performed to obtain fresh tissue for immunofluorescence. Immunofluorescent examination of OLP lesional tissue usually demonstrates deposition of fibrinogen along the basement membrane zone. Chronic ulcerative stomatitis is a relatively recently described condition that has light microscopic features similar to OLP but possesses a characteristic immunofluorescent pattern. It is reportedly less responsive to corticosteroid therapy than OLP.

If the biopsy report is equivocal, or does not agree with the clinical picture, it may be prudent to perform another biopsy, especially when dealing with isolated lesions occurring in locations where the risk of development of squamous cell carcinoma is higher, such as the lateral and ventral surfaces of the tongue and the floor of the mouth.

**Clinical Significance of OLP**

OLP is one of the most common mucosal conditions affecting the oral cavity. Therefore, dentists in clinical practice will regularly encounter patients with this condition. Because patients with the atrophic and erosive forms of OLP typically experience significant discomfort, knowledge of the treatment protocols available is important.

The similarity of OLP to several other vesiculo-ulcerative conditions, some of which can lead to significant morbidity, makes accurate diagnosis essential. For example OLP and GVHD can have similar histologic and clinical presentations. GVHD is a serious condition that occurs in bone marrow transplant patients when transplanted marrow cells react against host tissues. The extent of oral involvement is highly predictive of the severity and prognosis of GVHD.
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Erosive OLP and lichenoid drug reactions can be indistinguishable both histologically and clinically. Some of the drugs commonly associated with lichenoid reactions are nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, diuretics, angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors, beta-blockers and antimicrobials (Table 1).14

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug class</th>
<th>Medications associated with mucosal lichenoid reactions14</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Antimalarials</td>
<td>Hydrochloroquine, Quinidine, Quinine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs</td>
<td>Indomethacin, Naproxen, Phenylbutazone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diuretics</td>
<td>Furosemide, Hydrochlorothiazide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antihypertensives</td>
<td>Angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.................................</td>
<td>Captopril, Enalapril, Beta-blockers, Propranolol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antibiotics</td>
<td>Penicillin, Sulfonamides, Tetracycline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antifungals</td>
<td>Ketoconazole</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heavy metals</td>
<td>Bismuth, Chromium, Mercury, Nickel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous</td>
<td>Allopurinol, Carbamazepine, Lithium, Lorazepam, Methyldopa, Oral contraceptives</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It is also necessary to distinguish isolated erosive or reticular lesions from lichenoid reactions to dental amalgam.15 Lichenoid reactions to amalgam do not migrate, they occur on mucosal tissue in direct contact with the restoration, and they resolve once the amalgam restoration is removed.16

Some studies indicate an increased risk of squamous cell carcinoma in patients with OLP lesions.17-20 This increased risk appears most common with the erosive and atrophic forms and in cases of lesions of the lateral border of the tongue. Other studies suggest that in some cases of purported malignant transformation, the malignancy may not have developed from true lesions of OLP but may instead have arisen from areas of dysplastic leukoplakia with a secondary lichenoid inflammatory infiltrate.21,22 A review of previously published studies concluded that the risk of developing squamous cell carcinoma in patients with OLP is approximately 10 times higher than that in the unaffected general population.23 Other published reports have noted a possible association between OLP and hepatitis C,24 sclerosing cholangitis, and primary biliary cirrhosis.25

**Treatment**

There is currently no cure for OLP. Excellent oral hygiene is believed to reduce the severity of the symptoms, but it can be difficult for patients to achieve high levels of hygiene during periods of active disease. Treatment is aimed primarily at reducing the length and severity of symptomatic outbreaks. Asymptomatic reticular and plaque forms of OLP do not require pharmacologic intervention.

Before initiating treatment, the diagnosis must be confirmed histologically. It is also important to rule out candidiasis, since many treatment modalities can aggravate an existing candidal infection.

**Corticosteroids**

The most widely accepted treatment for lesions of OLP involves topical or systemic corticosteroids to modulate the patient’s immune response.

Topical corticosteroids are the mainstay in treating mild to moderately symptomatic lesions. Options (presented in terms of decreasing potency) include 0.05% clobetasol propionate gel,26 0.1% or 0.05% betamethasone valerate gel,6 0.05% fluocinonide gel,27 0.05% clobetasol butyrate ointment or cream, and 0.1% triamcinolone acetonide ointment.28 Patients are instructed to apply a thin layer of the prescribed topical corticosteroid up to 3 times a day, after meals and at bedtime. The gel or ointment can be applied directly or can be mixed with equal parts Orabase (a gelatin–pectin–sodium carboxymethylcellulose-based oral adhesive paste, ConvaTec, Division of Bristol-Myers Squibb, Montreal, Que.) to facilitate adhesion to the gingival tissues. The choice of delivery vehicle depends on clinician and patient preference. In general, oral application is best accomplished with a gel preparation if available.

In patients with widespread symptomatic lesions, in whom direct mucosal application of topical medication would be too uncomfortable, options include 1.0 mg/mL aqueous triamcinolone acetonide or 0.1 mg/mL dexamethasone elixir. These solutions can be prepared by a compounding pharmacy. Patients should be instructed to gargle with 5 mL of the solution for 2 minutes after meals and at night. After rinsing, the solution should be expectorated, and nothing should be taken by mouth for one hour.

Alternative delivery methods include the use of cloth strips9 and custom trays10 to serve as reservoirs for the corticosteroid.

The advantage of topical steroid application is that side effects are fewer than with systemic administration. Adverse
effects include candidiasis, thinning of the oral mucosa and discomfort on application. Topical formulations of the more potent corticosteroids can cause adrenal suppression if used in large amounts for prolonged periods or with occlusive dressings. The lowest-potency steroid that proves effective should be used.

Intralesional injection of corticosteroid for recalcitrant or extensive lesions involves the subcutaneous injection of 0.2–0.4 mL of a 10 mg/mL solution of triamcinolone acetonide by means of a 1.0-mL 23- or 25-gauge tuberculin syringe.

Systemic steroid therapy should be reserved for patients in whom OLP lesions are recalcitrant to topical steroid management.

Because the dosage ranges for corticosteroids are wide and patient responses variable, numerous dosing options have been proposed. Dosages should be individualized according to the severity of the lesions and the patient’s weight and should be modified on the basis of the patient’s response to treatment. The oral dose of prednisone for a 70-kg adult ranges from 10–20 mg/day for moderately severe cases to as high as 35 mg/day (0.5 mg/kg daily) for severe cases. Prednisone should be taken as a single morning dose to reduce the potential for insomnia and should be taken with food to avoid nausea and peptic ulceration.

Significant response should be observed within one to 2 weeks.

When systemic corticosteroids are prescribed for periods of longer than 2 weeks, the dosage of steroid must be gradually tapered to avoid precipitating an adrenal crisis. Tapering can be accomplished by decreasing the daily dose of prednisone by 5 mg per week.

The potential side effects of short-term systemic steroid therapy are numerous. They include insomnia, diarrhea, disturbances of the central nervous system including psychotic episodes, sodium and fluid retention, muscle weakness, decreased resistance to infection, hypertension, hyperglycemia and adrenal suppression.

Steroid use is contraindicated in patients who are breastfeeding. Steroids should be used with caution in patients with herpetic infections, glaucoma, pregnancy, HIV infection, tuberculosis, diabetes mellitus and hypertension.

The prophylactic use of a 0.12% chlorhexidine gluconate rinse may help reduce the incidence of fungal infection during corticosteroid therapy. An alcohol-free rinse (available at most compounding pharmacies) should be prescribed to avoid desiccation and irritation of the oral tissues. If secondary growth of candidal organisms is confirmed, antifungal agents should be prescribed.

Other Approaches

Twice-daily topical application of compounded 0.1% tacrolimus ointment was recently reported to be effective in controlling symptoms as well as clearing lesions of OLP. Tacrolimus is a macrolide immunosuppressant with a mechanism of action similar to that of cyclosporine, but is 10 to 100 times more potent and is better able to penetrate the mucosal surface.

Other documented treatment modalities include retinoids and vitamin A analogues, cyclosporine rinse, the immunomodulating agent levamisole, PUVA treatment (which consists of administration of 8-methoxypsoralen and exposure to long-wavelength ultraviolet A light), dapsone, griseofulvin, azathioprine and cryotherapy.

A recent systematic review by the Cochrane group of all published reports of randomized placebo-controlled trials of palliative treatment for patients with symptomatic OLP concluded that there was only weak evidence that the evaluated treatments were superior to placebo. Specifically, 9 qualifying studies examining the effect of topical steroids, topical cyclosporine, and topical and systemic retinoids were analyzed. The authors of the review concluded that although most of the studies showed demonstrable treatment effects, the results should be interpreted with caution because of small sample sizes, lack of independent corroboration and difficulty in accurately measuring the results of treatment.

Even though evidence of the efficacy of these treatment approaches is not overwhelming, corticosteroid therapy remains the most common approach for managing symptomatic lesions.

Because of the possibility of increased risk of malignant transformation, periodic reassessment of all patients with OLP is recommended.

Conclusion

Patients with OLP should be counselled as to the nature of this chronic condition and the different approaches to treatment. Patients should be informed that they may experience alternating periods of symptomatic remission and exacerbation. Clinicians should maintain a high index of suspicion for all intraoral areas that appear unusual, even in patients with a histologically confirmed diagnosis of OLP. This vigilance is especially important for isolated lesions occurring in locations at higher risk for the development of squamous cell carcinoma, such as the lateral and ventral surfaces of the tongue and the floor of the mouth.
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