Cognitive Correlates of Hippocampal Atrophy and Ventricular Enlargement in Adults with or without Mild Cognitive Impairment
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Abstract
We analyzed structural magnetic resonance imaging data from 58 cognitively normal and 101 mild cognitive impairment subjects. We used a general linear regression model to study the association between cognitive performance with hippocampal atrophy and ventricular enlargement using the radial distance method. Bilateral hippocampal atrophy was associated with baseline and longitudinal memory performance. Left hippocampal atrophy predicted longitudinal decline in visuospatial function. The multidomain ventricular analysis did not reveal any significant predictors.

Introduction
According to the 2010 United States census, it is estimated that 5 million Americans currently suffer from Alzheimer’s disease (AD); a number that is projected to triple by 2050 [1, 2]. Neuropsychological testing is an essential tool for assessing cognitive function in both
the prodromal and dementia stages [3] and can reveal areas of cognitive decline relatively early in the disease course [4]. Mild cognitive impairment (MCI) is the intermediate stage between the cognitively normal (NC) and the dementia state. MCI patients manifest cognitive difficulties greater than expected for age, but can still live independently [3–5]. An estimated 60% of people living with MCI progress to dementia within 5 years [5, 6].

MCI and AD patients exhibit severe atrophy in hippocampal regions when compared to healthy controls [7–10]. Hippocampal atrophy predates clinical symptoms and has proven to be a strong predictor of future cognitive decline [11, 12]. Hippocampal atrophy is associated with neurofibrillary tangle deposition and neuronal loss [13, 14].

Ventriculomegaly is commonly observed in both MCI and AD patients [10, 15]. Ventriculomegaly is thought to result from neuronal loss with subsequent axonal degeneration, leading to ex vacuo ventricular enlargement [10, 16–21]. AD patients display up to 60% larger ventricles than those of healthy controls.

Neuropsychological testing performance has been previously associated with both hippocampal and ventricular volumetric change in AD [8, 15, 22–33]. Hippocampal atrophy and ventricular enlargement may help predict which healthy individual will decline into amnestic MCI [8, 11, 12, 15, 34]. Memory dysfunction, the salient feature of medial temporal lobe dysfunction, has been associated with hippocampal atrophy in AD [28–33]. Decline in language and executive function have also been associated with smaller hippocampi [8, 26–28]. Ventricular enlargement has been associated with worse performance on global cognitive tests, such as the MMSE and ADAScog [15, 22, 24, 25], and with executive decline [22].

The present study aims to further characterize the cognitive correlates of hippocampal atrophy and ventricular enlargement. Given that MCI patients often present with impairments in multiple cognitive domains, we wanted to examine whether these cognitive domains show an independent association with these neuroimaging biomarkers. We anticipated that in a multivariate model, hippocampal atrophy would most strongly associate with memory performance at baseline and with memory decline over time. We also hypothesized that ventriculomegaly will correlate better with non-memory domains, such as visuospatial and executive function.

Methods

Subjects

We enrolled 159 subjects who met the inclusion/exclusion criteria of the Imaging and Genetic Biomarkers of Alzheimer’s Disease (ImaGene) Study at the University of California, Los Angeles (UCLA). Of those, 58 subjects were NC and 101 met criteria for MCI. ImaGene subjects were identified and recruited from two sources: (1) referrals from both UCLA and outside neurologists and (2) the UCLA Alzheimer’s Disease Research Center (ADRC) ongoing longitudinal database study. The latter group consists of existing research participants who agreed to be contacted for future research opportunities and met ImaGene inclusion/exclusion criteria. Informed consent was administered and obtained according to the guidelines of the UCLA Institutional Review Board.

To be included, subjects had to be at least 50 years old and able to independently carry out daily activities of living. The diagnostic evaluation consisted of a physician interview, general and neurological examination, as well as detailed neuropsychological evaluation. Initial and longitudinal diagnoses were determined by consensus decision by a group of neurologists and neuropsychologists using the National Institute of Neurologic and Communicative Disorders and Stroke and the Alzheimer’s Disease and Related Disorders (NINCDS-
AβPP) criteria for AD [35] and Petersen criteria for MCI [6]. NC were required to fall within age-adjusted expectations on a detailed neuropsychological battery of tests and have a global clinical dementia rating (CDR) of 0. MCI subjects were required to score ≥24 on the Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE) [36], and have objective cognitive decline at 1.5 SD or below age- and education-adjusted neuropsychological norms, a global CDR score <1, and intact activities of daily living. English language fluency and visual/hearing abilities sufficient for detailed neuropsychological testing were required for participation. Exclusionary criteria for both groups included concurrent medical problems of sufficient severity to impact cognition, history of alcohol or drug abuse in the past 2 years, age younger than 50 years, concurrent neurological or psychiatric illnesses, contraindications to MRI, cortical strokes or significant white matter changes, and visual and hearing impairment that could interfere with cognitive testing.

**Neuropsychological Data**

Subjects were tested annually with a neuropsychological battery consisting of the MMSE [36], Wechsler Test of Adult Reading (WTAR) [37], Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale, 3rd edition (WAIS-III) [38] subtests, Wechsler Memory Scale, 3rd edition (WMS-III) subtests [39], Trailmaking A and B [40], Stroop Color-Word Interference Test [41], Boston Naming Test [42], Controlled Oral Word Association Test [43], Rey Osterrieth Complex Figure (ROCF) copy and 3-minute recall [44], California Verbal Learning Test, 2nd edition (CVLT-II) [45], and Wisconsin Card Sorting Test-64 (WCST-64) [46].

The neuropsychological data reduction technique has been previously described [47]. Briefly, we averaged the age-adjusted scores for all tests in five domains – memory, attention, executive, language, and visuospatial. Table 1 outlines the tests in each of the five cognitive domains. Cognitive rates of decline for each cognitive domain were calculated by taking the difference between the first (S1) and the last measurement (S2) scores and then dividing by the time between follow-up visits (FU): \( \frac{(S1 - S2)}{FU} \).

**Imaging Acquisition**

Baseline 3D T1-weighted structural magnetic resonance scans were obtained from all Imagenet subjects according to the following protocol coronal Fast Low Angle Shot (FLASH) 3D T1 Magnetization Prepared Rapid Acquisition Gradient Echo (MPRAGE) with repetition time (TR) 28 ms, echo time (TE) 4.5 ms, FOV 22 cm, matrix 256 × 192, slice/gap 1.5/0 mm. Subjects with motion or scanner artifacts were routinely rescanned, resulting in 100% usable baseline MRI data. T2 and fluid-attenuated inversion recovery (FLAIR) sequences were carefully inspected to exclude subjects with strokes, significant white matter changes, or structural lesions.

All MRI scans were aligned and scaled to the International Consortium for Brain Mapping ICBM53 average brain template [48] with a 9-parameter linear transformation (3 translations, 3 rotations, 3 scales) [49] and corrected for image non-uniformities using a regularized tricubic B-spline approach [50, 51].

**Hippocampal Analyses**

One experienced hippocampal tracer (LGA) manually traced the hippocampal formations of 20 randomly selected subjects (10 NC and 10 MCI) following the European AD Consortium-Alzheimer’s Disease Neuroimaging Initiative (EAD-ADNI) Harmonized Protocol for Manual Hippocampal Segmentation [52–54] (intra-rater reliability: intra-class correlation coefficient ICC = 0.97 for both the left and right hippocampi; inter-rater reliability: ICC = 0.96 for the left and ICC = 0.97 for the right hippocampus [53]), while blinded to the subject’s demographics and diagnosis. The hippocampus proper, dentate gyrus, alveus, and subiculum were included.
in the traces, as previously described [52]. The hippocampi were traced on contiguous coronal slices following the EADC-ADNI protocol with high intra- and inter-rater reliability [52, 54]. Anatomic landmarks were resolved in all three orthogonal viewing planes using interactive segmentation software prior to tracing. Standard neuroanatomical atlases were consulted as necessary for ambiguous boundaries [55, 56].

Hippocampal segmentation of the full sample was conducted with AdaBoost, which is a well-established automated machine-learning hippocampal segmentation algorithm [57–61]. This technique has been previously described in detail [60, 61]. Briefly, AdaBoost utilizes approximately 13,000 local features, such as image gradients, local curvature of image interfaces, and tissue classification as gray or white matter. AdaBoost also utilizes statistical information on the likely stereotaxic position of the hippocampus and develops segmentation rules based on the optimal combination of features. In the training phase, the algorithm applies mathematical approaches from the fields of machine learning and computer vision to estimate the optimal weighing of these features in a mathematical formula that computes the probability of any given voxel inside the hippocampus. The algorithm is tested in a training set and then applied to the full sample once the statistical rules for hippocampal segmentation are developed. The AdaBoost contours were made spatially uniform by modeling them as 3D parametric surface meshes [10]. All AdaBoost segmentations were visually inspected to make sure they appropriately captured the hippocampal anatomy.

### Ventricular Tracing and Extraction

An expert rater (D.Z., intra-rater reliability Cronbach’s alpha = 0.995) traced the lateral ventricles of 4 subjects in three partitions – frontal horn, temporal horn, and body/occipital horn, as previously described [62]. Traces were converted to one of four atlases, or 3D parametric ventricular mesh models. Atlases were then fluidly registered to each unsegmented study image [62]. Four separate ventricular segmentations for each participant were created and then averaged to reduce segmentation bias that occurs when a single atlas is used; reducing these errors allows true ventricle anatomy to be captured more accurately at the individual level.

### Radial Distance

Once the segmented hippocampi and ventricular 3D parametric mesh models were created, we computed the medical core and radial distance from the medial core to each surface point for each subject. Calculating the distance from each surface point to the medial core of each individual hippocampus assessed the radial distance measurement [10]. Local expansions or contractions in corresponding surface morphology areas can thus be compared statistically between groups and over time, as previously demonstrated [11, 61, 63–65].

---

**Table 1. Neuropsychological data groupings of the 5 main cognitive domains**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cognitive domain</th>
<th>Neuropsychological tests</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Attention</td>
<td>Digit Symbol, Digit Span forward and backward, Trails A, Stroop Color/Word</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Memory</td>
<td>Logical Memory I and II, Visual Reproduction I and II, Trails 1–5, CVLT II – Short and Long Delay Free Recall, ROCF with 3-min delay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Language</td>
<td>Boston Naming Test, Animal Fluency, FAS Fluency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visuospatial</td>
<td>ROCF Copy, Block Design</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Executive</td>
<td>WCST Categories, Trails B, Stroop Interference</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Statistical Analysis**

We conducted a two-tailed Student’s t test to compare continuous variables and a χ² test was used to compare categorical variables. We then examined the associations between baseline performance and cognitive rates of change in each cognitive domain with ventricular and hippocampal volume. A two-step approach for each of the analyses was utilized. We first tested the association for each individual domain with hippocampal and ventricular radial distance, respectively, while correcting for age (unidomain approach). Next, we entered all significantly associated cognitive domain variables in the multidomain model and examined
the associations of each of these domains while correcting for all other domains that showed significance in the unidomain analysis, in addition to age (multidomain approach). Our 3D statistical maps were corrected for multiple comparisons using a permutation threshold of \( p < 0.01 \). We applied 100,000 permutations for each 3D statistical map to arrive at a single overall corrected \( p \) value.

**Results**

As predicted, the normal control subjects performed significantly better on MMSE compared to the MCI group both at baseline and in follow-up (\( p < 0.001 \) for both). The normal controls were also significantly more educated compared to the MCI group both at baseline (\( p = 0.01 \)) and in follow-up (\( p = 0.02 \)); however, since education did not show a significant effect on our primary outcome measures – cortical thickness and hippocampal atrophy – we did not explicitly control for education in our analyses. No other demographic differences were seen between the two groups (Tables 2, 3).

**Hippocampal Results**

Baseline Performance

In the unidomain analyses (Fig. 1, top panel) hippocampal radial distance was significantly associated with worse baseline memory performance (left and right \( p_{\text{corrected}} < 0.001 \)). Bilaterally significant associations were also seen for the language domain (left \( p_{\text{corrected}} = \)}
Executive function and attention correlated with hippocampal radial distance on the left only (executive: left $p_{corrected} = 0.001$, right $p_{corrected} = 0.25$; attention: left $p_{corrected} = 0.006$, right $p_{corrected} = 0.22$). No significant associations were found in the visuospatial domain (maps not shown).

Based on the unidomain analysis results, four domains – attention, executive, language, and memory – were entered as predictors in the multidomain baseline analysis (Fig. 1, bottom panel). Only memory performance remained significantly associated with hippocampal volume (left $p_{corrected} < 0.001$, right $p_{corrected} = 0.017$) while the language domain showed a trend-level association on the right (left $p_{corrected} = 0.195$, right $p_{corrected} = 0.098$).

Cognitive Change over Time

In the unidomain analyses (Fig. 2, top panel) a smaller left radial distance was associated with greater decline in language and visuospatial performance (language: left $p_{corrected} = 0.007$, right $p_{corrected} = 0.11$; visuospatial: left $p_{corrected} = 0.001$, right $p_{corrected} = 0.41$). Significant right-sided atrophy was predictive of memory decline over time (left $p_{corrected} = 0.4$, right $p_{corrected} = 0.021$) and showed a trend-level association with decline in attention (left $p_{corrected} = 0.32$, right $p_{corrected} = 0.086$). No significant associations were found for the executive domain (maps not shown).

In the multidomain model (Fig. 2, bottom panel) with language, memory, and visuospatial entered as predictors, memory and visuospatial decline were independently associated with hippocampal atrophy on the right and left, respectively (memory: left $p_{corrected} = 0.35$, right $p_{corrected} = 0.047$; visuospatial: left $p_{corrected} = 0.022$, right $p_{corrected} = 0.56$).

Ventricular Results

Baseline Performance

In the unidomain analyses (Fig. 3, left panel) significant left-sided ventricular enlargement was associated with poor baseline language scores (left $p_{corrected} = 0.041$, right $p_{corrected} = 0.018$, right $p_{corrected} = 0.01$). Executive function and attention correlated with hippocampal radial distance on the left only (executive: left $p_{corrected} = 0.001$, right $p_{corrected} = 0.25$; attention: left $p_{corrected} = 0.006$, right $p_{corrected} = 0.22$). No significant associations were found in the visuospatial domain (maps not shown).
0.11). This was largely driven by the left temporal horn ($p_{\text{corrected}} = 0.016$) while the left occipital and left frontal horns were trending ($p_{\text{corrected}} = 0.052$; left frontal $p_{\text{corrected}} = 0.054$). While the right occipital horn was trending ($p_{\text{corrected}} = 0.054$), this did not survive at the whole ventricle level ($p_{\text{corrected}} = 0.11$).

Left ventricular enlargement also associated with poor memory performance ($p_{\text{corrected}} = 0.02$). This was largely driven by the occipital and frontal horns (left occipital $p_{\text{corrected}} = 0.003$; left frontal $p_{\text{corrected}} = 0.003$). Trend-level association was observed for the left temporal horn ($p_{\text{corrected}} = 0.054$). Significant associations between ventricular enlargement and memory decline were observed in the right occipital and frontal horns (right occipital $p_{\text{corrected}} = 0.024$, right frontal $p_{\text{corrected}} = 0.005$); however, these did not survive at the whole ventricle level ($p_{\text{corrected}} = 0.14$).

Ventricular enlargement showed trend-level associations with baseline performance in the executive domain (left $p_{\text{corrected}} = 0.072$ and right $p_{\text{corrected}} = 0.063$), resulting from significant associations with the frontal and occipital horns (left frontal $p_{\text{corrected}} = 0.004$, right frontal $p_{\text{corrected}} = 0.011$; left occipital $p_{\text{corrected}} = 0.021$, right occipital $p_{\text{corrected}} = 0.020$).

Poor performance in the attention domain showed a trend-level association with right ventriculomegaly ($p_{\text{corrected}} = 0.091$) due to significant associations with the right frontal ($p_{\text{corrected}} = 0.031$) and trend-level effect in the right occipital horn ($p_{\text{corrected}} = 0.086$). The associations on the left (left occipital $p_{\text{corrected}} = 0.044$ and left frontal $p_{\text{corrected}} = 0.053$) did not survive on the global ventricular level (left $p_{\text{corrected}} = 0.103$). No significant associations were observed between ventricular enlargement and the visuospatial domain (maps not shown).

Based on the unidomain analysis results, four domains – attention, executive, language, and memory – were entered as predictors in the multidomain baseline analysis (Fig. 3, right panel). None of these domains proved to be significant at the whole ventricle level in the multidomain model. The left temporal horn (left $p_{\text{corrected}} = 0.006$) was significantly associated with poor executive performance.

---

**Fig. 3.** Unidomain and multidomain maps showing the association of baseline cognitive performance and ventricular radial distance.
Cognitive Change over Time

In our longitudinal analyses (Fig. 4, top panel), we found a trend-level association between right ventricular enlargement and the language domain ($p_{corrected} = 0.094$). This effect was driven by the occipital (right $p_{corrected} = 0.02$, left $p_{corrected} = 0.063$) and frontal horns (left $p_{corrected} = 0.052$, right $p_{corrected} = 0.06$). Right occipital horn enlargement was associated with a decline in memory (right $p_{corrected} = 0.0053$) and showed a trend level effect for attention ($p_{corrected} = 0.057$). Similar to the baseline analyses, the executive domain was significantly predicted by the left inferior horn ($p_{corrected} = 0.037$). The visuospatial domain showed no significant associations (maps not shown).

At the multidomain level with executive, language, and memory entered as predictors, no significant associations were observed across the ventricles as a whole. Ventricular enlargement of the left inferior horn was predictive of decline in the executive domain at the trend level ($p_{corrected} = 0.081$; Fig. 4, bottom panel).

Discussion

Although nearly all baseline and longitudinal domains were associated with hippocampal radial distance in the unidomain model, only a few survived in the multidomain analyses. Baseline memory performance and memory decline over time were associated with hippocampal atrophy in agreement with the previous literature [28–31, 33]. The association at baseline was bilateral, whereas the longitudinal association lateralized to the right. Left-sided hippocampal atrophy predicted longitudinal decline in visuospatial function. Although hippocampal atrophy has been previously associated with worse spatial memory and difficulties with spatial navigation [66, 67], the reason we are observing a left-sided and not right-sided association is not clear.
As opposed to the hippocampus, the lateral ventricles are large structures that traverse the full length of the hemispheres and are embedded in all lobes. In the context of our analyses, we felt that for such large structures it is important to not only look at global effects, but also at regional effect on the specific horns of the lateral ventricles. Dilation of individual horns corresponds to lobar atrophy of the brain and can be differentially associated with different cognitive function. In addition to associations with overall global ventriculomegaly, we have also found the associations with the frontal, temporal, and occipital horns. While all domains except the visuospatial showed major ventricular associations with the frontal and occipital horns in the unidomain analyses, none survived in the multidomain analyses. These findings suggest that the lateral ventricles might be an imaging biomarker more closely related to global cognitive decline rather than to specific cognitive functions. This is further supported by several of our previous publications using the same technique with different study cohorts with either Parkinson’s disease or AD [7, 68, 69].

There are several strengths and limitations of our study that should be considered. The greatest strength of this study is the advanced imaging techniques that significantly reduce the signal-to-noise ratio by explicitly matching corresponding points on the ventricular and hippocampal surfaces. By virtue of this, we achieved a much higher statistical power to detect focal disease-associated effects and associations. Though we use the term “atrophy” for our analyses here, we are reporting cross-sectional ventricular and hippocampal analyses only. Given the original scope of our study we also only focused on NC and MCI subjects with MMSE scores >24, limiting our results to the early stages of neurodegeneration and, thus, our findings can only be generalized to the early disease stages.
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