Non-Candidal Vaginitis: A Comprehensive Approach to Diagnosis & Management

Chemen M. Neal, MD
Ms. Lauren H. Kus, BA
Linda O. Eckert, MD
Jeffrey F. Peipert, MD, PhD

Indiana University School of Medicine, Indianapolis, IN
The University of Washington, Seattle, WA

The authors report no conflict of interest.

Corresponding Author: Chemen M. Neal, MD
Department of Obstetrics & Gynecology
Indiana University School of Medicine
550 N University Blvd., UH2440
Indianapolis, IN 46208
Email: chmtate@iu.edu
Phone: (317) 944-8609
Fax: (317) 944-7417-6722

Abstract Word Count: 232
Manuscript Word Count: 3,848
CONDENSATION

This article presents a comprehensive description of the causes, evaluation, and treatment of non-candidal vaginitis.

Short title: Non-Candidal Vaginitis Review
ABSTRACT
Vaginitis is one of the most common causes of patient visits to gynecologists, primary care providers, and urgent care centers. However, many women leave without a clear diagnosis or experience recurrent symptoms despite treatment. The three most common etiologies of vaginitis are trichomonas, bacterial vaginosis, and vulvovaginal candidiasis, which account for an estimated 70% of cases. The remaining 30% may be related to other causes of vaginitis, including atrophic vaginitis, desquamative inflammatory vaginitis, and vaginal erosive disease.

The purpose of this review is to describe the non-candidal causes of acute and recurrent vaginitis, with the goal of improving the likelihood of accurate diagnosis as well as efficient and effective therapy. We excluded candidal vaginitis from our review, as there was a recently published review on this topic in the American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology.

The clinical presentation and evaluation of patients with symptoms of vaginitis can be triaged into one of two diagnostic pathways: non-inflammatory and inflammatory vaginitis. The most common non-inflammatory cause is bacterial vaginosis. Features such as irritation, purulent discharge, and the presence of polymorphonuclear neutrophils are more suggestive of an inflammatory process. Trichomoniasis is the most common cause of inflammatory vaginitis. Other well-described forms of inflammatory vaginitis include atrophic vaginitis, desquamative inflammatory vaginitis, and erosive disease. We present a review of the pathogenesis, symptoms, exam findings, diagnostic testing, and treatment for each of these causes of non-candidal vaginitis.
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INTRODUCTION

Symptoms of vaginitis (discharge, irritation, odor, itching, swelling, etc.) are among the most frequent causes of patient visits to gynecologists, primary care providers and urgent care centers\textsuperscript{1-2} and may account for up to 10 million office visits per year. It is estimated that 7%-72% of women leave the clinician’s office without a clear diagnosis or return within a short interval due to a recurrence of symptoms.\textsuperscript{1,4,5} Many practitioners utilize a limited spectrum of common potential differential diagnoses for women who suffer from symptoms of vaginitis, applying only the three most likely etiologies, (trichomonas, bacterial vaginosis (BV), and vulvovaginal candidiasis).\textsuperscript{6} While these three conditions cause up to 70% of abnormal vaginal symptoms, they are not the only causes of vaginitis.\textsuperscript{1,5} The differential diagnosis should be expanded, especially in women with persistent symptoms.

In 1954, Franken first described a non-infectious, “purulent” form of vaginitis in a young girl that responded well to estrogen therapy. Since that time, several forms of non-infectious, exudative and inflammatory types of vaginitis have been described. The purpose of this review is to provide a diagnostic algorithm based on examination findings consistent with inflammation. We also offer an expanded description of the causes of incident and recurrent vaginitis with the goal of improving diagnostic accuracy and the initiation of appropriate therapy. As a review of candidiasis was recently published by Sobel et al.,\textsuperscript{9} our review will focus on BV, trichomoniasis, and other causes of acute and recurrent vaginal symptoms.
A Diagnostic Aid: Inflammatory or Non-Inflammatory?

The clinical presentation and evaluation of patients with vaginal symptoms can be categorized into one of two diagnostic pathways: non-inflammatory or inflammatory (See Figure 1). Inflammatory refers to the presence of polymorphonuclear neutrophils (PMNs) on microscopic examination of vaginal discharge or physical findings such as erythema and edema. Non-inflammatory conditions consist of an absence of the aforementioned symptoms and absence of PMNs on microscopy in a woman with vaginal complaints such as odor or abnormal discharge.

The inflammatory forms of vaginitis share a common spectrum of clinical presentation but vary in etiology and treatment responsiveness. The most common forms of inflammatory vaginitis are trichomoniasis infection and candidiasis. Other well-described but less common forms of inflammatory vaginitis are hypoestrogenic or atrophic vaginitis, desquamative inflammatory vaginitis (DIV), and multi-mucosal erosive diseases (e.g. erosive lichen planus, pemphigus vulgaris, and cicatricial (mucous membrane) pemphigoid). These are autoimmune disorders that result in erosions or blisters that affect mucosal surfaces such as the mouth, esophagus, eye-lid, vagina, and vulva. BV is the most common non-inflammatory vaginal condition, but certain forms of vulvovaginal candidiasis or mixed infections can also be non-inflammatory.

Bacterial Vaginosis

Forty to fifty percent of women with vaginal complaints will be diagnosed with BV making it the most prevalent cause of vaginal symptoms in women nationally. Data from the
National Health and Nutrition Survey indicate up to 1 in 3 women ages 14-49 are affected at any time.³ BV is more prevalent in African American and/or American Hispanic women than in Caucasians.¹⁻⁵ After adjusting for age, education, and poverty, BV is still three times more prevalent in these racial/ethnic groups than in Caucasians.³ Because the social construct of race encompasses multiple factors including host, environment, and behavior, interpreting the influence of race on incidence, prevalence, and transmission of BV is complex.¹¹

While sexual transmission has been implicated in the development of recurrent BV,¹⁹ the data are mixed. Multiple epidemiologic studies show an increased risk of up to 60% for BV acquisition in women with multiple or new sexual partners and a protective effect from both incident and recurrent BV in women who report condom usage.²⁰ Other data are less supportive of sexual transmission, such as finding BV in sexually naïve individuals.²¹

The etiology, pathogenesis, and events that lead to the development of BV are incompletely understood.¹⁹,²² The protective effects of lactobacilli species within the vaginal microbial environment are well known. Several species of lactobacilli including Lactobacillus gasseri, L. crispatus, L. iners (a non-H₂O₂-producing species), and L. jensenii secrete substances such as lactic acid and/or hydrogen peroxide to provide bactericidal activity and an acidic pH.²³ Schwebke theorizes that Gardnerella vaginalis acts as a “keystone pathogen” that alters the vaginal microbiome causing a reduction of lactobacilli and an increase in BV-associated bacteria. Non-pathogenic, commensal bacteria, present in the vaginal microbiome, then aid the development of the well-characterized dysbiosis – a polymicrobial mix of facultative anaerobes that aid in the transmission and persistence of BV.²⁴
Despite the prevalence of *G. vaginalis* in women with BV (98-100%), it is also present in women without BV (55%). It has been shown that genetic heterogeneity exists within subtypes of *Gardnerella*, and the species can be further classified into four clades: only clades 1 and 3 are associated with BV. Sequencing studies have shown that bacterial diversity beyond *G. vaginalis* subtypes is also important in the pathogenesis of BV. Women with BV have an average of 12.6 bacterial species while women without BV have an average of 3.3 species. BV is also considered a biofilm infection, which consists of a complex polysaccharide matrix that adheres to epithelial cells in the genital tract of women. *G. vaginalis* is the predominant species while other species enhance the biofilm matrix created by *G. vaginalis*. The bacterial biofilm provides a biologic explanation for treatment resistance and recurrence, as antibiotic therapy alone is unable to disrupt the BV biofilm. Further studies describing the bacterial biofilm point to only specific strains of *Gardnerella* being capable of biofilm creation, potentially explaining why not all BV infected women will develop recurrent disease. Therefore, disruption of the acidic lactobacilli rich environment, proliferation, and diversification of anaerobic bacteria and development of an adherent polymicrobial biofilm seems to underlie the pathogenesis of BV and provides a biologic explanation for recurrence or persistence of infection.

**Symptoms.** The typical presentation of patients with BV includes foul-smelling or fishy vaginal discharge. The complaint of malodor is highly associated with BV, and may be exacerbated by conditions that elevate the vaginal pH such as sexual intercourse with semen deposition, or presence of blood. Itching and burning are unlikely in BV but may be present when discharge causes secondary vulvar irritation. If vaginal or vulvar irritation is present, the clinician should have a strong suspicion for mixed infection with candida or other inflammatory processes.
**Exam findings.** A grey-white, thin, or watery discharge, visible in the vagina or at the vulvar vestibule is most commonly described by examining practitioners. In contrast, findings of purulent or frothy discharge are uncommon.\(^1\) Since BV is not an inflammatory process, the presence of erythema, fissuring, or bleeding likely indicates mixed infection or alternative etiology.

**Diagnostic testing.** Classically, at least three of the four components of Amsel’s criteria will be present: a thin, homogenous white discharge, an increased pH >4.5 of the vaginal fluid, release of an amine odor upon application of potassium hydroxide (positive whiff test), and >20% clue cells on saline microscopy.\(^32\) However, some studies have shown that two of the four Amsel criteria may perform just as well as three out of four.\(^33\) Traditional diagnostic testing with Amsel’s criteria and using saline microscopy allows physicians to make a more immediate diagnosis of BV and is relatively low cost. The simplicity, low cost, and immediate utility of obtaining a vaginal pH make it a critical part of the clinical evaluation. With saline microscopy, the absence of lactobacilli and/or presence of corkscrew bacilli are associated with BV.\(^1,8\) BV is not an inflammatory process and the presence of PMNs in the vaginal saline microscopy decreases the likelihood of BV and should raise suspicion for an alternate diagnosis or a mixed infection.\(^1,6\)

The Nugent score, which utilizes a standardized morphologic scoring system ranging from 0 – 10, with ≥ 7 being diagnostic for BV of Gram-stained specimens of vaginal smears, remains the gold standard for BV diagnosis in the research setting but is typically not used in clinical settings. Given the association of BV with other sexually transmitted infections (STIs), HIV and STI testing should be considered in women diagnosed with BV.\(^32,34\)
Molecular testing can improve the accuracy of diagnosing BV and trichomoniasis and may be useful in cases that have not responded to therapy or when microscopy is not available (Table 1). Molecular diagnostic tests include two direct DNA probe assays (Bacterial vaginosis/vaginitis panel and the Affirm VPIII assay) and four nucleic amplification tests (Nu Swab, Sure Swab, BD Max vaginal panel and the BV Panel). These testing modalities are substantially more costly than saline microscopy. The benefit of molecular technology over classic diagnostic methods is not yet clear when microscopy is available, and governing bodies such as the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG) or the Infectious Diseases Society for Obstetrics and Gynecology (IDSO) have not issued official recommendations for their use.

Vaginal culture for bacteria is not recommended in the evaluation of BV and should be actively discouraged. Cytologic testing is not reliable. If a Pap smear mentions a shift in flora to predominantly coccobacilli suggesting BV, a symptomatic patient should undergo standard diagnostic testing and treatment as appropriate.

**Treatment.** First-line therapy for acute BV is a 7-day course oral metronidazole, a 7-day course of intravaginal clindamycin cream or a 5-day course of intravaginal metronidazole gel (Table 2). Clindamycin vaginal ovules have been shown to be as effective as the 7-day course of clindamycin. A 2009 Cochrane review showed equivalent effectiveness for oral clindamycin and recommended topical metronidazole and clindamycin regimens. In 2018, secnidazole was approved for the treatment of incident BV. Secnidazole has a much longer half-life than metronidazole and the single-dose regimen was found to be at least as effective as the 7-day oral metronidazole regimen. It should be mentioned that while single-dose secnidazole
performed better than placebo when fewer than three episodes of BV were reported, it was not better than placebo in individuals who reported four or more episodes of BV. The U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) has suggested that women being treated for BV should refrain from sexual activity or use condoms consistently and correctly.

Greater than 50% of women will have a repeat episode of BV within 1 year of treatment with 7 days of metronidazole therapy. Recurrent BV, defined as three or more symptomatic episodes within 1 year, may be associated with multiple treatment failures over periods of several years or months. Failure of symptom resolution during appropriate antibiotic treatment should raise suspicion for mixed infection and the need for further evaluation. One of the CDC suggested treatment strategies for recurrent BV includes 0.75% metronidazole intravaginal gel daily for 10 days followed by twice-weekly intravaginal metronidazole for 4-6 months (Table 2). The CDC cautions that recurrence may be common after completion of therapy.

With the realization that a bacterial biofilm is implicated in recurrent or persistent BV, disruption of the bacterial biofilm has become the target of in vitro studies. Boric acid combined with nitroimidazole therapy has been used to treat recurrent BV and has been shown to disrupt the biofilms of both BV and candida. A novel anti-infective, TOL-463, is a boric acid-based vaginal gel enhanced with ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA). A recent phase 2 clinical trial found that boric acid and EDTA administered as a vaginal insert showed successful treatment of BV (59% at test of cure). This is a similar cure rate to findings from other studies using imidazole therapy, and suggest a role for biofilm disruptors as novel or adjunctive therapies in the treatment of BV. A single pilot study that evaluated the effectiveness of the addition of boric acid to standard nitroimidazole suppressive therapy in cases of recurrent BV
showed lower rates of breakthrough infections (12% vs. 25%) while on suppression and lower late-recurrence rates (45% at 32 weeks vs. 66% at 28 weeks) than in previous studies utilizing single-agent nitroimidazole therapy. While these results are promising, larger, prospective, comparative studies are recommended.

BV is implicated in the pathogenesis of several serious disease processes such as preterm labor, preterm rupture of membranes, postpartum infections, persistence of HPV, increased HIV acquisition, pelvic inflammatory disease, and tubo-ovarian abscess formation. Multiple studies have also illustrated increased acquisition of *Trichomonas vaginalis*, *Neisseria gonorrhoea* and *Chlamydia trachomatis* in women with BV. Since BV is associated with adverse pregnancy outcomes, all symptomatic pregnant women should be treated for BV either with the oral or topical regimens of metronidazole, which have no demonstrated teratogenic or mutagenic effects in newborns.

Patients may ask providers about the use of probiotics for BV. Common naturopathic recommendations include the alteration of diet to include yogurt, using yogurt or garlic in the vagina or oral supplementation with probiotic species. A review and meta-analysis from 2017 found no significant difference in the efficacy of metronidazole combined with probiotics compared to metronidazole alone for the treatment of BV. At this time, there is not sufficient evidence to support the use of probiotics for BV, and they are not recommended in the CDC treatment guidelines.
The role of male partner treatment is controversial as well designed and robust studies are lacking.\textsuperscript{43} No trials have evaluated female partner treatment.\textsuperscript{15} Therefore, treatment of male or female partners is not currently recommended.

Data regarding the role of circumcision in the transmission of BV is conflicting and does not include studies evaluating the role of circumcision and recurrent infection in female partners.\textsuperscript{44} However, it has been shown that the penile microbiome in uncircumcised males when compared to circumcised males, is more likely to favor BV-type microorganisms.\textsuperscript{19,45}

Condom use has been shown to decrease acquisition of incident BV.\textsuperscript{46,20,19} There is also suggestion that the use of condoms may reduce the incidence of recurrent BV,\textsuperscript{46} but it is difficult to draw practical conclusions from these data as a specific condom-use-strategy has not been studied. Therefore, specific recommendations regarding the duration of condom use in women affected by recurrent BV with single or multiple partners are not available.

\textbf{Inflammatory Causes}

The most common cause of inflammatory vaginitis is trichomoniasis, diagnosed in 5-20\% of patients reporting abnormal vaginal symptoms.\textsuperscript{1} Vulvovaginal candidiasis (VVC) is also a very common cause of inflammatory vaginitis, comprising up to 30\% of diagnoses in women with vaginal complaints.\textsuperscript{8} Inflammatory cells may also be found in vaginal secretions from women with cervicitis or viral infections such as human papillomavirus or herpes simplex virus. Non-infectious forms of inflammatory vaginitis are less common but include atrophic or hypoestrogenic vaginitis, DIV, and mucosal erosive diseases.

\textit{Trichomoniasis}
Trichomonas infection affects 3.7 million persons in the United States. The prevalence in women 40 and over is 11% overall and is more common in women diagnosed with other STIs including HIV. African American women are disproportionately affected with a prevalence of 13% compared to 1.8% of Caucasian American women. Trichomonas infection is associated with adverse health outcomes such as increased HIV transmission, preterm birth, and increased incidence of pelvic inflammatory disease (PID) in HIV infected women.

**Symptoms.** Up to 70% of women infected with trichomonas are asymptomatic. Symptoms reported by women with trichomonas include increased malodorous discharge that is yellow, green or grey in color. Itching, burning, painful urination, and vulvar irritation may also be reported.

**Exam findings.** Clinical descriptors of discharge include a yellow-green, frothy discharge with evidence of vaginal or cervical inflammation. Cervical inspection may reveal a “strawberry” or punctate appearance.

**Diagnostic testing.** The vaginal pH in women with trichomonas infection is usually above 4.5. Saline microscopy will show many PMNs and motile trichomonads, which distinguishes trichomonal infection on microscopy from other forms of inflammatory vaginitis. Microscopy, however, only has a sensitivity of 51%-65% for the diagnosis of trichomoniasis. Nucleic acid amplification tests (NAATs) detect 3-5 times more trichomonal infections than wet mount and have a sensitivity and specificity of 95%-100% with 100% concordance between urine and vaginal samples. Thus, NAAT testing is currently the gold standard. The CDC recommends retesting within 3 months for women diagnosed with a trichomonal infection, regardless of
partner treatment status, because of high rates of recurrence (17%). Testing can be performed as soon as 28-days post-treatment.48

Other testing options include a 10-minute point of care test to detect antigens via dipstick with a sensitivity of 82%–95% and specificity of 97%–100%.32 A 45-minute DNA hybridization probe test evaluates for *T. vaginalis*, *G. vaginalis*, and *Candida albicans* with a sensitivity of 63% and specificity of 99.9%. Neither liquid-based nor conventional pap tests are considered diagnostic tests for trichomonal infection as false positives and negatives have been reported.32

**Treatment.** Current CDC-recommended first-line therapy is oral treatment with either metronidazole or tinidazole, both as a single dose (Table 3). However, a recent multi-center randomized control trial found the 7-day course of metronidazole to be superior to single-dose metronidazole for the treatment of trichomonas (11% vs 19% trichomonas positive at 4 weeks post-therapy).49 Hence, 7 days of therapy may be indicated. Tinidazole is slightly more effective than metronidazole but is considerably more expensive than generic metronidazole. Cure rates with standard tinidazole treatment regimens range from 92%-100% while metronidazole regimen cure rates range from 84%-98%.32 *T. vaginalis* has been isolated from multiple sources within the genital tract including the periurethral glands, bladder, and Bartholin’s glands.25 This likely explains the increased effectiveness of tinidazole, which has a longer half-life and reaches higher concentrations with genital tract tissues. Metronidazole gel does not reach effective treatment levels within the vaginal mucosa and periurethral glands and is not recommended for treatment.32 Clinicians should advise women with trichomonal
infection to abstain from sex until they and their sex partners are treated. Testing for other STIs should be performed in persons infected with *T. vaginalis*.<sup>32</sup>

Imidazole resistance is uncommon but emerging.<sup>50</sup> Resistance to tinidazole is approximately 1% while metronidazole resistance is 4%-10%.<sup>51, 50</sup> Most cases of recurrence are due to re-exposure and not a persistent infection. However, if re-exposure has been ruled out, treatment with either metronidazole or tinidazole may be used. Testing for imidazole resistant trichomonas should be pursued with the CDC if the high dose regimen has failed after 2-3 courses (Table 3).<sup>52,32</sup>

Treatment with metronidazole for pregnant women with symptomatic trichomoniasis is recommended. *T. vaginalis* is associated with adverse outcomes such as premature rupture of membranes, preterm delivery, and delivery of a low birthweight infant<sup>53</sup>, however, no significant difference in perinatal morbidity after treatment has been demonstrated.

**Atrophic Vaginitis**

Hypoestrogenic vaginitis is caused by diminished serum estrogen levels that result in a loss of elasticity, dryness and thinning of the mucosa of the lower genital tract.<sup>47</sup> Atrophic vaginitis is more common in postmenopausal women and symptoms such as vaginal dryness are reported in up to 47% of postmenopausal women. Similar symptoms are reported as bothersome in 3% of reproductive-age women.<sup>54</sup> Causes for urogenital atrophy in premenopausal women include postpartum estrogen reduction, oophorectomy, premature ovulatory failure, anti-estrogenic medications such as tamoxifen, medroxyprogesterone acetate, hypothalamic amenorrhea, GnRH agonists and antagonists, and occasionally, oral contraceptive pills.
**Symptoms & exam findings.** Symptoms include dyspareunia, dryness, itching, or burning. Atrophic vaginitis may share similar findings with other forms of inflammatory vaginitis such as purulent discharge, vaginal erythema, and petechia. However, along with patient age or estrogen status, visualized atrophy on examination and evidence of parabasal cells on saline microscopy (Figure 2) may help to distinguish this form of inflammatory vaginitis from the others. Typically, vaginal pH will be elevated above 4.5, whiff test will be negative, and parabasal cells may be noted, indicating a thin vaginal mucosa with fewer layers.

**Treatment.** The treatment of estrogen deficiency is both diagnostic and therapeutic. Topical estrogen should result in a relief of symptoms in women with atrophic vaginitis and can be continued as needed to control symptoms. Non-hormonal options include vaginal moisturizers and lubricants. Other FDA approved treatments for urogenital atrophy include systemic medications such as ospemifene and intravaginal dehydroepiandrosterone (Prasterone).

**Desquamative Inflammatory Vaginitis**

DIV is a chronic inflammatory process of the vagina and vestibule. It is not easily distinguishable from other forms of inflammatory vaginitis and is often a diagnosis of exclusion. It has been suggested that DIV is more common in perimenopausal and Caucasian women. The incidence of DIV in vulvovaginal clinics has been estimated between 0.8-4.3%. However, knowledge of DIV as a form of vaginitis by clinicians is low and the true incidence, prevalence, and demographic characteristics of women with DIV are unknown.
Symptoms & exam findings. The clinical features of DIV have been described as a “purulent” vaginitis with increased pH and inflammatory cells composed mostly of PMNs on saline microscopy. Diagnosis of DIV also requires an increase in parabasal epithelial cells on microscopy and is thus difficult to distinguish from atrophic vaginitis in postmenopausal women. It is possible that there is a spectrum of DIV ranging from mild to severe in terms of symptoms, physical exam findings, and microscopic detail. Diagnosis of DIV requires the exclusion of infectious and estrogen-related causes before a diagnosis can be made, and is, therefore, a diagnosis of exclusion.

Treatment. After alternative causes have been ruled out, patients with suspected DIV should receive a trial of intravaginal steroid or clindamycin, as described by Sobel. Clindamycin 2% vaginal cream every other night or 10% hydrocortisone vaginal suppository or hydrocortisone 25 mg suppository every night for 14 days have been shown to be effective, but prolonged therapy of four to eight weeks is often necessary for cure.

Vaginal Erosive Disorders

Patients with erosive disease may be identified via a careful physical exam. A vulvar biopsy may be useful, though vulvar biopsies commonly have non-specific inflammatory findings and can be slow to heal. Diseases that may present with vaginal erosions include erosive lichen planus, pemphigus vulgaris, pemphigoid, and cicatricial pemphigoid. The true prevalence of vaginal erosive disorders is unknown. Erosive lichen planus may be the most common, but there is no data available regarding the prevalence of vaginal lichen planus. The only available data comes from a small study of oral lichen planus which demonstrated vaginal
lichen planus in 51% of patients. Vulvar vestibulitis (adenitis) may also present with vaginal symptoms, especially in women with persistent complaints; clinicians should consider this entity in their differential diagnosis.

**Symptoms & Exam Findings.** Erosive vaginal disease may present as shallow isolated and erythematous erosions of the vagina or labia minora along with purulent discharge. Non-lichen planus diseases may present with a combination of bullae and erosions. Mononuclear inflammatory cells on microscopy further suggest erosive disease. In advanced stages, vaginal stenosis or other architectural distortions may exist. The entire vagina may appear friable and serosanguinous drainage may be present.

**Treatment.** When an erosive disease is suspected, biopsy and histopathologic evaluation are warranted. Limited evidence exists regarding treatment of erosive vaginal diseases. Referral to clinicians experienced in managing these disorders may be the most beneficial.

**Conclusions**

The difficulty in reaching an appropriate diagnosis in women presenting with vaginal symptoms is due, in part, to the diverse etiologies that share common presentations and clinical findings. Creating proper clinical awareness and educational models is therefore challenging and may explain why many women are not diagnosed and treated appropriately after an initial evaluation. Additional epidemiologic and clinical data are needed regarding the less common causes of vaginitis/vaginosis and in women with recurrent vaginal symptoms.
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Table 1. SENSITIVITY AND SPECIFICITY OF DIAGNOSTIC TESTS FOR BACTERIAL VAGINOSIS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TEST</th>
<th>SENSITIVITY (%)</th>
<th>SPECIFICITY (%)</th>
<th>MANUFACTURER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wet mount /Amsel’s criteria</td>
<td>30 – 70</td>
<td>94 – 99</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Direct probe assays</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BV/vaginitis panel&lt;sup&gt;a,b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Quest Diagnostics, Secaucus, NJ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Affirm VPIII&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>Becton Dickinson, Sparks, MD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Nucleic amplification assays</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NuSwab</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>Laboratory Corp. of America, Burlington, NC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SureSwab&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Quest Diagnostics, Secaucus, NJ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BD MAX&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>90.5</td>
<td>85.5</td>
<td>Becton Dickinson, Sparks, MD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BV Panel&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>Medical Diagnostic Labs, Hamilton TWP, NJ</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>Sensitivity and specificity not published

<sup>b</sup>FDA-approved
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acute</th>
<th>Recurrent</th>
<th>Acute</th>
<th>Recurrent</th>
<th>Acute</th>
<th>Recurrent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Oral metronidazole 500mg daily x 7 days</td>
<td></td>
<td>Oral clindamycin 500mg twice daily x 7 days</td>
<td>Intravaginal metronidazole daily x 10 days.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oral secnidazole granules 2g single dose</td>
<td></td>
<td>Oral secnidazole granules 2g single dose</td>
<td>Then, twice weekly for 4-6 months.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intravaginal metronidazole 0.75% daily x 7 days</td>
<td></td>
<td>Intravaginal clindamycin 2% cream daily x 7 days</td>
<td>Oral Tinidazole or metronidazole twice daily x 7 days;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intravaginal clindamycin ovules daily x 3 days</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>then, intravaginal boric acid 600mg daily x 21 days;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>then, intravaginal metronidazole twice weekly for 4-6 months.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a* Recurrent bacterial vaginosis is defined as three or more episodes in 1 year.

*b* Based on limited data. 
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Table 3. Treatment of Acute and Persistent Trichomonas Vaginitis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acute</th>
<th>Persistent*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Oral tinidazole 1000mg daily x 7 days</td>
<td>Oral tinidazole or metronidazole 1000mg twice daily x 7 days. Test for resistance to tinidazole and metronidazole after 3-4 courses.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oral metronidazole 500mg twice daily x 7 days</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Re-exposure is the most common cause of recurrence. If re-exposure has been ruled out, treatment for persistent infection may be initiated.