providers. For instance, PH WINS data show that approximately 40% of the employees with a nursing degree at the state health agency work outside clinical settings, and at the local level, 21% of nurses hold roles that are nonclinical. Defining these roles appropriately is critical, especially for public health nursing, as Bekemeier et al. note, “the inefficient or improper deployment of the limited public health nursing workforce can adversely undermine the health of whole populations.”

Consideration of the different levels of analytic and training requirements is necessary to fill different kinds of roles and programs. Although many consider a master of public health (MPH) degree a standard for entry into the field, some lower-level positions could be staffed by trained public health workers with bachelor’s degrees. Doing so would allow public health agencies to concentrate scarce salary dollars into a smaller number of MPH-required positions and have those positions conduct the more sophisticated tasks that truly require the higher level of formal training that comes with a master’s degree. This could be a financially viable alternative for cash-strapped agencies to attract qualified MPH graduates into governmental public health by raising job requirements and salary levels for these roles.

Although salaries are not the only barrier to public health hiring, state and local health departments should engage in discussions regarding how the public health workforce perceive salary and benefits. Even though benefits packages in public sector jobs have been considerably weakened in recent years, they are still viewed as a competitive advantage compared with benefits in private sector jobs. In addition, exploring strategies to implement in states where the funding and authority exist to maneuver salary bands should be considered. As the field of governmental public health remains poised for generational change, state and local governmental public health can and should do more to recruit and retain the best and the brightest. Salary discussions are only an important part of these efforts, but the likelihood exists that only better financial compensation and incentives will help recruitment and retention, especially for key public health occupations.

Valerie A. Yeager, DrPH, MPhil
Jonathon P. Leider, PhD
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Public Health Undergraduates in the Workforce: A Trickle, Soon a Wave?

See also Sellers et al., p. 674.

The recent growth in public health undergraduate degree programs has been remarkable, with the number of degree conferrals increasing from 750 in 1992 to 6500 in 2012 and 13,000 in 2016. This growth is in part a reflection of the attention to undergraduate public health education by the Association of Schools and Programs of Public Health (ASPPH) in its Framing the Futures Initiative and the inclusion of “stand-alone” undergraduate degree programs in accreditation standards of the Council on Education for Public Health (CEPH). These undergraduate degree programs span a wide variety of institutional settings, from those with both accredited undergraduate and graduate degree programs (e.g., Johns Hopkins University) to those with only an undergraduate program (e.g., Clemson University). Discussions on the purpose and focus of undergraduate degree programs range from “the educated citizen and public health model” to a more intentional workforce preparation focus.

The degree to which such growth has affected the public health workforce has not been previously documented to our knowledge. What we do know is that only a small portion of the
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public health workforce (14%) has had any formal education in public health. This prompted our exploration of public health undergraduate degrees among employees who responded to the 2014 and 2017 Public Health Workforce Interests and Needs Survey (PH WINS), as described in the analytic essay by Sellers et al. (p. 674) in this issue of AJPH. The essay focuses on five major themes: workforce diversity, the aging workforce, workers’ salaries and recruiting new staff, the growth of undergraduate public health education, and workers’ awareness and perceptions of national trends in the field.

We provide further perspectives on one of the five themes—the growth of undergraduate public health education—and its impact on the public health workforce. Overall, in 2014, 505 of 22,288 (2.3%) state and local health department employees had an undergraduate public health degree. In 2017, that increased to 1,851 of 43,701 (4.2%). Those with versus those without an undergraduate public health degree tended to be younger (as shown in Figure 1 and Figure A, available as a supplement to the online version of this article at http://www.ajph.org) and were more likely to have had a previous job in public health, be a contract or intern employee, be employed in public health sciences (e.g., epidemiologist, environmentalist, or sanitary), have a higher salary, and have a higher public health degree. What can we glean from these findings to better understand and prepare for how the growth of undergraduate public health academic programs might shape the public health workforce of the future? We render our opinions on this question, which we raise for purposes of further debate and discussion across public health academia and practice.

CONSEQUENCES

We surmise that there are several potential consequences of the growth in undergraduate public health degree programs, with a mixture of what we deem to be positive and negative. These perspectives arise from our collective experiences in both academia and public health practice.

The Upside

1. The growth in undergraduate education expands the potential for having more public health employees with at least some level of formal training in public health compared with earlier years when formal training was limited to those with an MPH, DrPH, or PhD. This is already shown by the increase in the percentage of health department employees with an undergraduate public health degree identified in the two PH WINS—from 2.3% in 2014 to 4.2% in 2017.

2. If we consider the public health system writ large—which includes all individuals, organizations, and agencies that contribute to the health of the public—then even if the graduate with a bachelor’s degree in public health does not work for a governmental health agency but rather some other entity within the larger public health system, we will have a more public health–informed workforce overall. In other words, if the graduate with a bachelor’s degree in public health goes to medical school or gets a job at the YMCA or with Susan G. Komen, she or he can apply knowledge of population health and community health programs (i.e., development, implementation, evaluation) to clinical practice or to those organizations’ initiatives. The limited data available on where students are going after graduation indicate that such penetration may already be taking place. From 2014 to 2015 data on 1,300 graduates, ASPPH reported that more than 75% were employed and 12% were pursuing further education; of those employed, 34% worked at for-profit institutions, nearly 20% at health care organizations, and only 11% at governmental organizations.1,7

3. For the graduate with a bachelor’s degree in public health, creating a bachelor’s to MPH path that is a 3 + 2 or 4 + 1 degree pathway shortens the time and lessens the financial burden of graduate school. This may attract more students to public health academic programs overall and more advanced public health degree holders to the job market.
The Downside

1. The growth in undergraduate public health education may flood the job market and displace those with an MPH from entry-level positions in governmental public health agencies. With the ongoing challenges of providing competitive salaries in governmental public health agencies, it may simply be easier to hire a person with a public health bachelor’s degree and “train them up” to an MPH-equivalent knowledge level through years of experience on the job.

2. Undergraduate public health students may overwhelm governmental public health practice, nonprofit agencies, and other community organizations with the experiential activities (e.g., unofficial internships or work experience) requirement of public health bachelor’s degrees. The 2016 revised CEPH accreditation standards require baccalaureate programs to provide students “opportunities to integrate, synthesize and apply knowledge through cumulative and experiential activities,” and “schools and programs [should] encourage exposure to local-level public health professionals and/or agencies that engage in public health practice.” The governmental public health agency may not have the capacity to attend to this student body and at the same time provide field placement sites (e.g., practicums, internships) for MPH, DrPH, and many other health professional students.

3. Academic programs will grow faster than CEPH has the capacity to accredit, threatening a reduction in quality to the lowest possible denominator, which may subsequently have negative repercussions for the workforce. Considering the expansion of accredited schools and programs, the growth of all academic degree programs—undergraduate, MPH, and doctoral—has been phenomenal over the past 20 years.

THE NEED FOR ADDITIONAL DATA POINTS

The Sellers et al. article describes major workforce themes identified with both the 2014 and 2017 PH WINS data; the two data points provide a starting place for identifying trends. But just as any good public health surveillance requires regular repetition, we will need regular, consistent fielding of surveys such as PH WINS to create a public health workforce surveillance system that informs both practice and academia. Such data will be critical to examining the potential upsides and downsides of expanding undergraduate public health degree programs. All of the speculations included in this editorial should be put to the test, but in the meantime we encourage debate and discussion about the changing academic landscape for public health and how that can best shape the future public health workforce.
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