Erratum to: Providing Patients with Implantable Cardiac Device Data through a Personal Health Record: A Qualitative Study
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ERRATUM

The authors would like to make modifications to the participants’ age range in the above article in Applied Clinical Informatics, Volume 8, Number 4, 2017 (DOI: 10.4338/ACI-2017-06-RA-0090).

1. In Abstract, Results, sentence 1, “Participants were 36 to 90 years old, mean age (SD) of 67 (14) years, predominately male (76%), and white (95%)” should read as “Participants were 36 to 86 years old, mean age (SD) of 67 (14) years, predominately male (76%), and white (95%).”

2. In Results, para 1, sentence 1, “Participants’ age ranged from 36 to 90 years, with a mean (SD) of 67 (14) years, and were predominately male (76%)” should read as “Participants’ age ranged from 36 to 86 years, with a mean (SD) of 67 (14) years, and were predominately male (76%).”

3. In Meaningful Applications for the ICD Data Summary, para 1, sentence 5, “As the participants in this study ranged in age between 36 and 90 years, it is worth noting that the interest in more complex data tracking seemed to be more common among younger participants” should read as “As the participants in this study ranged in age between 36 and 86 years, it is worth noting that the interest in more complex data tracking seemed to be more common among younger participants.”