Implantation of a Novel Cryopreserved Viable Osteochondral Allograft for Articular Cartilage Repair in the Knee
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Abstract

Restoration and repair of articular cartilage injuries remain a challenge for orthopaedic surgeons. The standard first-line treatment of articular cartilage lesions is marrow stimulation; however, this procedure can often result in the generation of fibrous repair cartilage rather than the biomechanically superior hyaline cartilage. Marrow stimulation is also often limited to smaller lesions, less than 2 cm². Larger lesions may require implantation of a fresh osteochondral allograft, though a short shelf life, size-matched donor requirements, potential challenges of bone healing, limited availability, and the relatively high price limit the widespread use of this therapeutic approach. We present a straightforward, single-stage surgical technique of a novel reparative and restorative approach for articular cartilage repair with the implantation of a cryopreserved viable osteochondral allograft (CVOCA). The CVOCA contains full-thickness articular cartilage and a thin layer of subchondral bone, and maintains the intact native cartilage architecture with viable chondrocytes, growth factors, and extracellular matrix proteins to promote articular cartilage repair. We report the results of a retrospective case series of three patients who presented with articular cartilage lesions more than 2 cm² and were treated with the CVOCA using the presented surgical technique. Patients were followed up to 2 years after implantation of the CVOCA and all three patients had satisfactory outcomes without adverse events. Controlled randomized studies are suggested for evaluation of CVOCA efficacy, safety, and long-term outcomes.
Affecting as many as 900,000 people annually in the United States, articular cartilage injuries remain a therapeutic challenge for orthopaedic surgeons. The most common treatment for articular cartilage damage is marrow stimulation. This reparative approach entails creation of channels to the subjacent marrow by abrading or perforating the subchondral bone. Marrow blood ascends up to the lesion bed, resulting in a blood clot containing mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs). Although it was originally believed that these MSCs would differentiate into chondrocytes and produce hyaline cartilage, more often fibrocartilage is formed. Fibrocartilage principally contains type I collagen rather than type II collagen (principle constituent of hyaline cartilage), resulting in poor long-term biomechanics. Although marrow stimulation may work well in small, shouldered lesions (< 2 cm²), it often fails over time in larger lesions. Thus, although traditional marrow stimulation is easy to perform, minimally invasive, safe, and inexpensive, it has limited efficacy and often requires additional, invasive, and expensive revision surgeries.

Osteochondral allografts have long been successfully used as a restorative approach to treat articular cartilage damage. These articular cartilage and subchondral bone allografts are typically harvested as cylinders and can be combined to treat larger osteochondral lesions. The clinical use of fresh osteochondral allografts began more than 40 years ago and has shown good results. However, fresh stored osteochondral allografts must be used within 30 days due to rapid and significant decline of chondrocyte viability postharvest. In addition, osteochondral allograft implantation requires precise size and contour matching between donor and recipient tissues. The short shelf life, size-matched donor requirements, challenge of allogeneic bone healing, limited availability, and the relatively high price limit the use of fresh stored osteochondral allografts.

Here, we present a new option that combines reparative and restorative approaches for articular cartilage repair. The cryopreserved viable osteochondral allograft (CVOCA) (Cartiform, Osiris Therapeutics, Inc) contains full-thickness articular cartilage and a thin layer of subchondral bone (< 500 µm, estimated by histological analysis). The CVOCA maintains the intact native cartilage architecture with endogenous viable chondrocytes, chondrogenic growth factors, and extracellular matrix proteins to promote articular cartilage repair. Pores traverse the thickness of the osteochondral allograft, giving the CVOCA the versatility to be flexible to match the contour of various articular cartilage surfaces. The pores also enable the cryopreservation solution to better penetrate the thickness of the allograft, helping to preserve a high percentage of viable chondrocytes while in storage at – 80°C over its 2-year shelf life. The CVOCA builds upon more than 40 years of safety and efficacy of fresh osteochondral allografts as a restorative approach to articular cartilage repair. However, the CVOCA was designed as an adjunct to marrow stimulation. When the CVOCA is used with marrow stimulation, MSCs released from the patient’s bone marrow are expected to initiate a reparative response within the pores of the CVOCA in the lesion in addition to the restorative approach from the allograft cartilage itself. The CVOCA may also be used with light abrasion to not weaken the subchondral bone, similar to a traditional osteochondral allograft but as an off-the-shelf product. The CVOCA is currently available in multiple sizes ranging from 0.8 to 4.5 cm² with prices from $3,500 to $11,000. Using the straightforward, single-stage surgical procedure described here, the CVOCA may offer an important additional approach to articular cartilage restoration.

**Surgical Technique**

The lesion is defined by circumscribing the damaged cartilage slightly into the surrounding healthy tissue with a No. 11 or No. 15 blade, creating vertical lesion walls (Fig. 1A) in a manner as used for cell therapy (e.g., autologous chondrocyte implantation). A curette is used to debride any residual articular cartilage within the circumscribed boundary, completely removing the calcified layer and debriding back to a point where the cartilage–bone interface is stable (Fig. 1B, C). The healthy articular cartilage surrounding the lesion should be moistened with normal saline often during the surgery.

Next, the surgeon may choose to perform controlled marrow stimulation of the bony bed. To limit the damage to the subchondral bone, finer drill bits or wires are recommended.

![Fig. 1](A–C) Intraoperative views of an articular cartilage lesion on the medial femoral condyle. The damaged cartilage is removed and the lesion bed is prepared for treatment.
Following placement of any suture anchors, the largest CVOCA is placed and secured, followed by any additional CVOCA. The suture from each anchor is threaded through the holes in the CVOCA and tied tight enough to secure the CVOCA to the bone but not so tight as to cause damage (Fig. 2C). The security of the graft construct is tested with palpation and by putting the joint through a range of motion (ROM) and observing movement of the graft. Fibrin glue is applied between the CVOCA periphery and the native cartilage walls, avoiding the holes in the graft, as a final step to secure the graft in place. Adherence to a rehabilitation protocol should be emphasized as failure to do so can lead to graft failure. In the case of immobilization, deep venous thrombosis prophylaxis measures should be taken.

Patients and Methods

Patients were considered for treatment if they presented with contained or uncontained focal articular cartilage lesions of the medial femoral condyle, the lateral femoral condyle, the trochlea, or the patella. Additional requirements for treatment included the presence of healthy surrounding articular cartilage with no degenerative articular cartilage disease, signs and symptoms consistent with the location of the chondral lesion, a lesion more than 2 cm², and a physiologic age of younger than 60 years. Prior to surgical implantation of CVOCA, an arthroscopic assessment was performed on each patient to assess the articular cartilage lesion of interest and to evaluate the health of surrounding articular cartilage. Three patients with focal articular cartilage lesions were treated with the CVOCA. Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) was evaluated by an external radiologist. Per regulations of the Department of Health and Human Services, a retrospective report containing three cases does not require Institutional Review Board approval. All HIPAA identifiers were removed from the data.

Patient 1

Patient 1 is a 52-year-old male ski instructor who presented with anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) deficiency, a large medial meniscus tear, and a 2.5 cm × 1.5 cm (3.75 cm²) contained grade IV osteochondral defect on the medial
The femoral condyle of the left knee. He underwent a superior compartment synovectomy, an ACL reconstruction using a bone–tendon–bone allograft, a repair of the medial meniscus, marrow stimulation of the medial femoral condyle, and an open CVOCA transplant to the medial femoral condyle of the left knee. MRI was performed on patient 1 preoperatively as well as at 1, 3, 6 months, and 1 year postoperatively.

**Patient 2**
Patient 2 is a 20-year-old male college student who presented with a 2.0 cm × 2.5 cm (5.0 cm²) osteochondral defect on the tibial plateau following a twisting injury of the left knee from skiing. He underwent an intra-articular synovectomy, debri-dement of his articular cartilage lesion, marrow stimulation of the lateral tibial plateau lesion, and arthroscopic-assisted CVOCA transplant to this area in the lateral tibial plateau of the left knee. The graft was held in place with peripheral fibrin glue. The lateral collateral ligament was taken down proximally for access as seen and repaired with a malleolar screw. MRI was performed on patient 2 preoperatively as well as at 1 month and 1 year postoperatively.

**Patient 3**
Patient 3 is a 28-year-old female who presented with an osteochondral defect on the medial femoral condyle of the left knee following a traumatic bicycle injury. She underwent diagnostic arthroscopy with marrow stimulation of the medial femoral condyle, and an open CVOCA transplant to the contained lesion of the medial femoral condyle of the left knee. The lesion size was 1.5 cm × 2.2 cm (3.3 cm²). MRI was performed on patient 3 preoperatively as well as at 1 and 6 months postoperatively.

**Results**

**Patient 1**
Preoperative 3T MRI showed an osteochondral defect within the medial femoral condyle with bone marrow edema (Fig. 3A, AA). After the outpatient procedure, patient 1 underwent a routine postoperative course. He went to therapy at 3 weeks and began weight bearing at 8 weeks. The patient continued his progress with an increase in ROM and returned to activities of daily living, including employment and sports activity. He returned to work on crutches within 1 week and was driving comfortably at that time. As shown in (Fig. 3, a 1-month MRI showed that the CVOCA was in place with early evidence of resolution of subchondral edema. The CVOCA exhibited good surface congruity against adjacent cartilage, but the edges could still be visualized and areas of alteration in the underlying subchondral bone plate were still present. At 3 months, further integration of the CVOCA was evident by MRI. The CVOCA was in place and further reduction of bone marrow edema was observed. The 6-month follow-up MRI showed improved CVOCA integration with a few areas of altered signal characteristics in the CVOCA cartilage remaining when compared with the adjacent host cartilage (Fig. 3D, DD). At 1 year, the signal within the CVOCA was more equivalent to adjacent host cartilage, the CVOCA appeared to completely fill the lesion with good incorporation and surface congruity, and the subchondral edema had resolved (Fig. 3E, EE). Comparison of the 1-, 3-month, and 1-year axial cut MRIs showed progressive incorporation of the CVOCA over time (Fig. 4).

The patient’s ability to return to sports began at 6 months and increased all the way up to 1 year when he was skiing and surfing. He continued to progress and improve up to 2 years. His motion was complete and his pain was intermittent at most, with a pain-free outcome at 2 years.

**Patient 2**
The preoperative 3T MRI showed an osteochondral defect of the lateral tibial plateau with bone marrow edema (Fig. 5A, AA). Patient 2 was on crutches and returned to school the week following surgery. He went to physical therapy at school and he remained non-weightbearing for 8 weeks. In the 1-month follow-up MRI, the lesion was filled with good surface congruity, although the edges of the CVOCA were visible and the subchondral bone plate exhibited areas of alteration (Fig. 5B, BB). The patient continued with his classes and progressed to sports 6 months after surgery. His progress continued to improve to a full ROM with minimal pain and...
his progress increased on the basketball court. The 1-year follow-up MRI showed complete fill with good surface congruity and CVOCA/host incorporation; only a couple small areas of altered signal characteristics were still evident within the CVOCA (►Fig. 5C, CC). Approximately 1 year after the surgery, the patient tore his contralateral ACL and went through reconstruction. Two years after surgery, his operated knee had minimal pain and complete unrestricted function.

### Patient 3

The preoperative MRI showed an osteochondral defect about the medial femoral condyle with minimal bone marrow edema (►Fig. 6A, AA). Patient 3 progressed after the outpatient surgery to return to work at 3 weeks in a non-weightbearing fashion. One month MRIs showed persistence of the CVOCA with near complete fill and good surface congruity, but increased fluid signal was noted in the underlying bone (►Fig. 6B, BB). The patient was walking at 8 weeks and she resumed her walking exercise patterns at 6 months after surgery. The 6-month MRIs showed improvements in the subchondral bone and continued integration of the CVOCA (►Fig. 6C, CC). The patient continued to flourish at work with minimal complaints of pain when going up and down stairs and minimal clinical symptomology. She occasionally had effusions and pain at the end of long days of walking. Her WOMAC (Western Ontario and McMaster University Osteoarthritis Index) and IKDC (International Knee Documentation Committee) were above the 90th percentile at 2 years after surgery.

### Discussion

A CVOCA was recently developed as a new treatment for articular cartilage lesions. The CVOCA is the only viable cartilage repair product on the market in the United States that can be stored for an extended period for immediate, point-of-care use. The CVOCA has been utilized to treat articular cartilage lesions since 2012. The only clinical peer-reviewed publications to date are a case report in the knee and a technique article for the ankle.\(^{15,16}\) Future controlled randomized clinical studies measuring functional

---

**Fig. 4** Axial magnetic resonance images of patient 1 who was treated for a medial femoral condyle lesion, taken (A) at 1 month postoperatively, (B) at 3 months postoperatively, and (C) 1 year postoperatively.

**Fig. 5** Coronal and sagittal magnetic resonance images of patient 2 who was treated for a lateral tibial plateau lesion, taken (A, AA) preoperatively, (B, BB) at 1 month postoperatively, and (C, CC) at 1 year postoperatively.
outcomes by validated methods are required to prove safety or efficacy of the CVOCA. The value of this study is a detailed description of the unique implantation technique for the CVOCA and outlining its application for repair of cartilage lesions at different sites in the knee through a summary of three clinical cases. The primary limitations of this case report are the small number of patients (three) with patient-reported outcomes and relatively short-term follow-up (2 years). However, these patients were some of the first to be treated with this new type of allograft and we believe the presentation of these initial outcomes provides valuable information to other surgeons considering using this new technique.

Although the surgical technique for marrow stimulation is very straightforward, the fibrocartilage that fills the lesion often breaks down over time leading to poor long-term outcomes, especially in older patients (> 40 years old) and in larger lesions (> 2 cm²). The surgical technique for the implantation of the CVOCA builds upon the surgical technique for marrow stimulation and can be performed without much added time or difficulty for an orthopaedic surgeon who is comfortable performing marrow stimulation alone. The CVOCA must simply be trimmed to fit the debrided lesion and secured in place with sutures and/or suture anchors. The addition of the CVOCA to a lesion treated with marrow stimulation has the potential to expand the lesion size able to be treated, as the CVOCA provides a microenvironment throughout the lesion that is favorable for MSC chondrogenesis and is expected to result in the formation of hyaline cartilage throughout the lesion rather than fibrocartilage. In this study, lesions ranging from 3.3 to 5.0 cm² were treated with marrow stimulation augmented with the CVOCA. Multiple CVOCA units can be combined to treat lesions as large as 10 cm². It is important to consider that the CVOCA adds to the cost of a marrow stimulation procedure, especially in cases involving large lesions where multiple grafts are required. The potential clinical benefits should therefore be considered carefully and weighed against the added procedural cost for each case. When lesions are properly selected, patients treated with marrow stimulation generally report symptomatic improvement over the first 2 years, similar to the improvements reported here. However, deterioration in functional outcomes is often evident starting at 18 months following marrow stimulation treatment. This decline is attributed to incomplete defect fill, poor integration of the repair tissue with the surrounding articular cartilage, and the inferior wear characteristics of the fibrocartilage repair tissue. In contrast, the patients treated with marrow stimulation augmented with the CVOCA exhibited near complete fill with good surface congruity against adjacent cartilage as early as 1 month following surgery according to MRI analysis. In another recently published case study, a trochlear lesion treated with marrow stimulation plus the CVOCA exhibited significantly more hyaline cartilage repair tissue (85%) than a lesion treated with marrow stimulation alone (5% hyaline cartilage) at 9 and 8 months, respectively, following surgery when biopsies were collected and analyzed. The patient treated with the CVOCA reported resolution of pain and returned to normal activity, whereas the patient treated with marrow stimulation alone required a revision surgery. In the only other published cases to date, Tan et al described improvements in pain scores for four patients treated with marrow stimulation augmented with the CVOCA for talus lesions.

Traditional, fresh stored osteochondral allografts, which are often used to treat larger articular cartilage lesions, are used with several millimeters of subchondral bone attached,
resulting in stiff grafts that must match the contour of the host cartilage surrounding the implant site. Harvesting and implanting fresh osteochondral allografts with the proper contour requires donor and recipient size matching, often taking time to acquire the properly sized graft, and specialized instrumentation and skill at the time of surgery. In contrast, the flexibility of the CVOCA enables the allograft to fit to multiple contours, allowing for immediate graft availability and a straightforward implantation procedure. Preservation using a cryoprotective agent such as glycerol or dimethyl sulfoxide has traditionally yielded only 20 to 30% chondrocyte viability in cartilage allografts with viable cells primarily located in the superficial zone. Clinical improvements have been shown to be proportional to viable chondrocyte content in transplanted osteochondral allografts.\textsuperscript{23–28} However, advances in the cryopreservation technique and a porated design, which optimized cryoprotectant penetration into the cartilage tissue, have resulted in high cell viability within the CVOCA (mean viability after thawing = 70.5%).\textsuperscript{10} Fresh osteochondral allografts are rarely implanted earlier than 14 days postprocurement due to donor screen requirements, and chondrocyte viability has been shown to decrease with storage time, reaching \textasciitilde 70% viability by 28 days.\textsuperscript{7,8,29,30} More recent studies have demonstrated high cell viability can be retained for up to 63 days following procurement by utilizing optimized storage conditions.\textsuperscript{31,32}

The CVOCA is generally less expensive than a fresh osteochondral allograft when treating lesions 4.5 cm\textsuperscript{2} or smaller. The CVOCA ranges from $3,500 for 0.8 cm\textsuperscript{2} of coverage to $11,000 for 4.5 cm\textsuperscript{2} of coverage. In contrast, a fresh hemicondyle costs approximately $12,000. A fresh osteochondral allograft is less expensive per square centimeter, making it more cost effective for large lesions. Long-term clinical outcomes and costs of the CVOCA in comparison to fresh osteochondral allografts remain to be evaluated.

The most similar treatment option to the CVOCA that is currently available in the United States is particulated juvenile allograft cartilage (DeNovo NTraft, Zimmer Biomet), another off-the-shelf allograft cartilage product. In contrast to the CVOCA, this product is harvested from juvenile donors (vs. adult donors), minced into small pieces (vs. zonal structure intact), stored fresh in media at room temperature for up to 49 days after procurement (vs. cryopreserved within 4 days of procurement and stored for up to 2 years at \textasciitilde 80°C), and implanted without marrow stimulation to augment the repair (vs. option to perform marrow stimulation).\textsuperscript{33} The cost per surface area of a treated defect for the two treatment options is similar.\textsuperscript{33,34} Although patient-reported outcomes following implantation of the particulated juvenile allograft cartilage are promising, the repair tissue often has a cobblestone-like appearance as the minced cartilage loses the biologically important zonal orientation of the superficial, transitional, and radial zones.\textsuperscript{33,35,36} Alternatively, structural maintenance within the CVOCA has been shown to translate to properly oriented repair tissue with distinct superficial, translational, and radial zones upon histological analysis in a preclinical animal model and a clinical biopsy.\textsuperscript{10,15} Larger studies with long-term outcomes are needed to better compare the two relatively new articular cartilage treatment options.

Although the outcomes highlighted in this report are early, all three patients treated with the CVOCA were satisfied with their resolution of symptoms. The surgical technique presented here for the knee has been applied to articular cartilage lesions in the talus\textsuperscript{16} and may be applicable to articular cartilage lesions in additional locations as well. Overall, the CVOCA builds upon the well-documented positives and negatives of two well-established techniques, marrow stimulation, and traditional osteochondral allografting, to offer surgeons a new treatment option for articular cartilage repair. Results presented in this study suggest that the CVOCA is safe for further long-term studies including a control/cohort and validated functional outcomes. Such studies are necessary to gain a better understanding of the clinical outcomes and pharmacoeconomical impacts of the CVOCA.
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