Negative consequences of early-life adversity on substance use as mediated by corticotropin-releasing factor modulation of serotonin activity
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\textbf{ABSTRACT}

Early-life adversity is associated with increased risk for substance abuse in later life, with women more likely to report past and current stress as a mediating factor in their substance use and relapse as compared to men. Preclinical models of neonatal and peri-adolescent (early through late adolescence) stress all support a direct relationship between experiences of early-life adversity and adult substance-related behaviors, and provide valuable information regarding the underlying neurobiology. This review will provide an overview of these animal models and how these paradigms alter drug and alcohol consumption and/or seeking in male and female adults. An introduction to the corticotropin-releasing factor (CRF) and serotonin systems, their development and their interactions at the level of the dorsal raphe will be provided, illustrating how this particular stress system is sexually dimorphic, and is well positioned to be affected by stressors early in development and throughout maturation. A model for CRF-serotonin interactions in the dorsal raphe and how these influence dopaminergic activity within the nucleus accumbens and subsequent reward-associated behaviors will be provided, and alterations to the activity of this system following early-life adversity will be identified. Overall, converging findings suggest that early-life adversity has long-term effects on the functioning of the CRF-serotonin system, highlighting a potentially important and targetable mediator linking stress to addiction. Future work should focus on identifying the exact mechanisms that promote long-term changes to the expression and activity of CRF receptors in the dorsal raphe. Moreover, it is important to clarify whether similar neurobiological mechanisms exist for males and females, given the sexual dimorphism both in CRF receptors and serotonin indices in the dorsal raphe and in the behavioral outcomes of early-life adversity.

1. Introduction

Neglect as well as physical and sexual abuse of minors are highly prevalent in the United States, with approximately 676,000 child victims and 3.5 million child protective services investigations in 2016 (U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, 2018). Stressful experiences during childhood and adolescence, a time when brain regions important for executive function and reward processing are still developing, can have long lasting influences into adulthood. The goal of the current review is to provide a working neurobiological model describing how early-life adversity may influence substance use during adulthood, which will inform future directions in the field. To do so, we will introduce the problem of early-life adversity and the influence it has on substance use later in life, discuss corticotropin-releasing factor (CRF) and serotonin as major players in early-life stress outcomes in adulthood, and give an overview of the development of both CRF and serotonin systems and associated sex differences that may increase vulnerability to the effects of early-life adversity. Finally, we will describe how these systems interact and are influenced by early-life adversity to increase substance use susceptibility later in life.
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2. The problem of early-life adversity and substance use

Childhood, peri-adolescence (early through late adolescence including puberty), and early adulthood mark a particularly vulnerable time to the effects of stress. Exposure to stress early in life may be particularly deleterious on behavioral outcomes in adulthood given the correspondence these time periods have to brain development (for review see Kolb and Gibb, 2011; Kolb et al., 2013). In humans, early-life adversity arising from physical, emotional, and sexual abuse correlates with an increased risk of substance use and other mental health disorders including anxiety disorders, major depressive disorder, and schizophrenia (Espejo et al., 2007; Heim et al., 2008; Copeland et al., 2013; Merrick et al., 2017; Seidenfaden et al., 2017). Children exposed to early-life adversity through abuse and/or neglect also have increased risk of drug use, relapse following drug abstinence, and overall increased prevalence of substance use disorders during adulthood (Messina et al., 2008; Van Dam et al., 2014; Teixeira et al., 2017). Adolescents from a family with a history of substance use disorders have an increased likelihood of experiencing more frequent and severe stressors, and initiation of substance use is indirectly influenced by stress exposure; indicative of a cycle of stress and substance use (Charles et al., 2015a; b). Adults that report childhood sexual abuse also report earlier initiation of and increased use of illicit drugs (Harrison et al., 1989; Ompad et al., 2005; Nelson et al., 2006). Furthermore, children exposed to two or more stressful life events have an increased risk for developing alcohol dependence compared to children that did not experience any stressful life events (Piilowsky et al., 2009), with stress likely being a greater mediating factor in women compared to in men (Simpson and Miller, 2002; Widom et al., 2006; Enoch, 2011). Similarly, in women, emotional abuse has a stronger association with age of first alcohol use and severity of substance abuse compared to men (Hyman et al., 2006), and childhood emotional abuse is associated with cocaine relapse in women but not in men (Hyman et al., 2006). Combined, these findings imply that trauma or stress early in development may play a more prominent role in promoting adult drug-taking behaviors in women. These data suggest that women have a higher likelihood for a stress-induced trajectory to drug taking, resulting in a more rapid transition from drug taking to dependence and greater propensity for relapse compared to men (Hudson and Stamp, 2011; Becker et al., 2012). Overall, it appears that exposure to adverse experiences early in life increases risk of substance use during adulthood, but it is important to note that not all children exposed to early-life adversity go on to develop a substance use disorder, arguing for the importance and contribution of both genetics, epigenetics, and the influence of other environmental factors that may contribute to these individual differences.

3. Effects of early life adversity on reward processes and drug responses in preclinical models

Three main types of paradigms have been used in rodents to model early-life adversity and directly test the impact of early-life stress on drug-related behaviors. These include social isolation/social disruption, social defeat stress, and maternal separation, which differ in the developmental period of stress exposure (Fig. 1, see figure legend for age ranges corresponding to weaning, peri-adolescence, adolescence and puberty). Social isolation/disruption from weaning to either mid-adolescence or up to adulthood (Fig. 1) interrupts the development of play behavior and results in aggression, anxiety, and hyperactivity during adulthood (Hall, 1998; Varlinskaya et al., 1999; Heidbreder et al., 2000; Lukkes et al., 2009c; Watt et al., 2017). Social isolation/disruption during periods of development corresponding to pre-pubescence and adolescence results in a sensitized response to amphetamine (McCormick et al., 2005; Mathews et al., 2008), enhanced acquisition of cocaine self-administration at low doses, and decreased acquisition at higher doses in adulthood (Schenk et al., 1987; Boyle et al., 1991; Phillips et al., 1994; Howes et al., 2000; Kosten et al., 2000, 2004; 2006; Vajie et al., 2005; Gipson et al., 2011; Baarendse et al., 2014). Further, social isolation/disruption during a more discreet period of development from postnatal day (PND) 21–42 (weaning to mid-adolescence) followed by weeks of re-socialization results in increased motivation for cocaine while not altering extinction responding, or cue-cocaine- or yohimbine-induced reinstatement of cocaine seeking during adulthood (Baarendse et al., 2014). Alterations in drug-taking behavior are not specific to stimulants, given that social isolation/disruption from weaning to early adulthood also increases ethanol consumption and self-administration during adulthood in both rats and mice (McCool and Chappell, 2009; Lopez et al., 2011). Increased sensitivity to opiates is often reported following post-weaning social isolation, but findings are not always consistent among the different paradigms (Neisewander et al., 2013). For example, social isolation increases morphine and sufentanil self-administration but decreases morphine or heroin preferences in conditioned place preference tasks (Alexander et al., 1978; Weinhold et al., 1993; Schenk et al., 1983, 1985; Kennedy et al., 2011). Together, these studies indicate that social isolation/disruption following weaning typically results in increased self-administration of a variety of drug classes and often enhances other indices of substance-associated behaviors during adulthood.

Social defeat involves an imbalance of power between a dominant and subordinate animal. Social defeat stress can be used as a model of peer victimization and bullying frequently experienced during childhood and adolescence (Fig. 1) (Bjorkqvist, 2001; Watt et al., 2009; Burke et al., 2017). This type of stress during the adolescent period effectively results in heightened novelty seeking and risk taking in adulthood (Watt et al., 2009) along with executive function deficits (Novick et al., 2013; Watt et al., 2017). Social defeat in pre-adolescence (e.g., PND 14–38) increases conditioned place preferences for cocaine and MDMA, and enhances alcohol consumption and motivation to administer alcohol in adulthood (Lo Iacono et al., 2016; Garcia-Pardo et al., 2015; Rodriguez-Arias et al., 2015). Similarly, social defeat restricted to mid-adolescence (PND 35–44) results in augmented locomotion following a low dose of amphetamine and increased amphetamine conditioned place preference during adulthood (Burke et al., 2011, 2013). Adolescent social defeat also increased the percentage of rats that voluntarily acquired the task of cocaine self-administration acquisition, the motivation to lever press for cocaine as measured using a progressive ratio schedule, and the amount of cocaine consumed when given unlimited access during a 24 h binge later in life (Burke and Miczek, 2015; Burke et al., 2016). Furthermore, a metric used to determine how stressful the social defeat was during adolescence in individual rats was positively correlated with the amount of cocaine consumed under progressive ratio and unlimited access schedules approximately 40 days later in adulthood (Burke and Miczek, 2015; Burke et al., 2016). Thus, similar to the social isolation model, social defeat stress applied either prior to or during adolescence effectively enhances drug and alcohol responding in adulthood, suggestive of long-term effects of stress on reward-associated neural systems.

Maternal separation is used to describe a variety of neonatal paradigms during which the pups are separated from the mother for various lengths of time (for review of methodologies see Kosten et al., 2012; Nylander and Roman, 2013; Tractenberg et al., 2016). Studies using this paradigm often have inconsistent timing and lengths of separation (i.e., methodologies), making comparisons difficult. Despite these shortfalls, there are remarkable consistencies regarding the influence that prolonged (three or more hrs) daily maternal separation has on later drug reactivity and responding for drugs in adulthood. Maternal separation from PND 1–14 increases the rewarding effects of acute amphetamine exposure during adulthood, as measured by a decrease in intracranial self-stimulation current threshold (Der-Avakian and Markou, 2010). Similar to findings following social isolation/social disruption, maternal separation results in greater methamphetamine self-administered and earlier acquisition while not altering extinction.
or cue-induced reinstatement (Moffett et al., 2006; Lewis et al., 2013, 2016). Exposure to maternal separation also has effects on alcohol and opiate intake and preferences during adulthood. To illustrate, male rats exposed to maternal separation exhibit increased self-administered alcohol binge drinking, corresponding to increased impulsivity and increased ethanol preference (Huot et al., 2001; Ploj et al., 2003; Jaworski et al., 2005; Gondre-Lewis et al., 2016), heightened morphine conditioned place preference and increased morphine self-administration (Michaels and Holtzman, 2008; Vazquez et al., 2005, 2006; 2007).

Overall, these findings indicate that the long-lasting effects of early-life adversity may be conserved across various drug classes. Collectively, these studies also demonstrate that social isolation/social disruption, social defeat, and maternal separation have similar outcomes for drug-related behaviors in adulthood despite their different methodologies and the variety of developmental time periods in which these stressors are experienced (Fig. 1). Interestingly, similar outcomes are noted with prenatal stressors (Kapp et al., 2015; Reynaert et al., 2015). This suggests that there may not be a critical period in development by which stress has negative consequences for later substance use, and instead, any period of development early in life is a sensitive time for the effects of stress. However, due to the different stages of brain development affected by each specific early-life adversity model (Fig. 1), it is likely that the underlying neural substrates promoting enhanced drug taking or preferences differ among the developmental stressors (discussed further in Section 6).

Despite sex differences in the prevalence of mental health disorders including anxiety disorders, major depressive disorder, and substance use disorders (Sinha et al., 2007; Ter Horst et al., 2009; Hudson and Stamp, 2011; Becker et al., 2012; Valentino et al., 2013), little work in rodent studies has been done to characterize the influence of stress during development in both males and females on outcomes in adulthood. Furthermore, the differential trajectory of brain development (Secker et al., 2007; Ball et al., 2014) urges for further investigation in both males and females. Studies that have explored for potential sex differences in the effects of early-life adversity on later drug or alcohol measures in rodents are surprising. Contrary to the findings discussed above (Simpson and Miller, 2002; Widom et al., 2006; Enoch, 2011), where early-life adversity has a greater impact on later alcohol use in women, rodent studies either fail to find a sex difference or show that female rodents are not affected by the early-life stressor when alcohol preference or intake is measured in adulthood (e.g. Roman et al., 2004; Gustafsson et al., 2005; Lopez et al., 2011). It is possible that the effects of early-life adversity in female rodents are more apparent for responses to stimulants. For example, female rats briefly handled during week two of life show increased self-administration of cocaine during adulthood, whereas males do not (Flagel et al., 2003). Likewise, social instability stress from PND 33–48 results in increased nicotine-induced locomotion in adult female but not male rats (McCormick et al., 2005). However, there are just as many instances where stimulant responses in males and females during adulthood are similar following early-life adversity. For example, maternal separation for an hour a day from PND 2–12 increases responding for cocaine during extinction and cue-induced reinstatement similarly in both male and female rats (Lynch et al., 2005). Also, social instability of rats from PND 30–45 increases amphetamine-induced locomotion equally among both sexes (Mathews et al., 2008). Further work is needed to determine whether animal models sufficiently model human sex differences in the impact of early-life adversity on later substance use, and to determine whether any sex differences in stress and drug responsiveness are due to the activation rather than organizational effects of gonadal hormones (for review see Ball et al., 2014).

The exact neurobiological mechanisms through which early-life adversity leads to increased substance use in adulthood remain to be elucidated. Numerous preclinical studies have shown that stress experienced in early-life results in long term functional alterations in the mesocorticolimbic system and corresponding changes in reward processing, particularly in enhancing responses to a range of abused substances (see Moffett et al., 2006; Miezek et al., 2008; Enoch, 2011; Bardo et al., 2013; Delavari et al., 2016; Watt et al., 2017 for reviews). Not surprisingly, many of the observed neurobiological effects involve direct changes to dopaminergic signaling in the nucleus accumbens (NAC), along with modifications to activity of other stress-responsive factors that impinge upon accumbal function either directly or indirectly, including CRF, GABAergic, glutamatergic, noradrenergic, neurotrophic, opioid, and glucocorticoid systems (see Fone and Porkess, 2008; Sinha, 2008; Bardo et al., 2013; Mantsch et al., 2014; Jawahar et al., 2015; Watt et al., 2017). Here, we will focus on a neural system characterized by CRF-serotonin interactions that is upstream of the dopaminergic mesocorticolimbic pathways, and we will examine the emerging body of converging evidence suggesting that early life stress has long-term effects on the functioning of the CRF-serotonin system.

4. Corticotropin-releasing factor (CRF) and serotonin interactions mediating stress and reward responses

Topographically organized, functional subsets of serotonergic neurons of the dorsal raphe nucleus (dRN) are often associated with mediating the negative consequences of early life adversity (Lowry et al., 2008; Lukkes et al., 2009c; Burke et al., 2017) and also with drug-associated behaviors (Vuong et al., 2010; Valentino et al., 2010). Similarly, the actions of the stress-related neuropeptide CRF in the brain have been implicated in early life adversity and addiction (Sinha, 2008; Lukkes et al., 2009c; Koob et al., 2013). The dRN is a major site for CRF interactions with a distributed serotonergic system, and the neurons of...
the dRN receive CRF projections likely from specific subregions of the central nucleus of the amygdala (CeA) and bed nucleus of the stria terminalis (BNST) (Gray, 1993; Fox and Lowry, 2013). Both local GABAergic interneurons and serotonergic projection neurons of the dRN subregions are targeted by CRF terminals and both neuronal types possess CRF1 and CRF2 receptors (Day et al., 2004; Waselus et al., 2005; Lukkes et al., 2011, Fig. 2). Both serotonin and CRF are implicated in behavioral and emotional states that are risk factors for substance use and dependence, such as anxiety, dysphoria, and impulsivity (Lowry and Moore, 2006; Lukkes et al., 2009c; Valentino et al., 2010; Cunningham and Anastasio, 2014; Muller and Homberg, 2015; Ouzir and Errami, 2016; Roberto et al., 2017). Therefore it is not surprising that CRF–dRN interactions and resultant serotonergic output to the extended limbic system have gained attention as a potential important mediator linking stress to addiction (e.g. Vuong et al., 2010; Scholl et al., 2010; Valentino et al., 2010).

Generally, findings from electrophysiological studies within the dRN and measures of serotonin release in limbic structures following global dRN manipulation align to conclude that CRF1 receptor activity decreases, whereas CRF2 receptor activity increases, dRN serotonin neuronal activity and serotonin release (Kirby et al., 2000; Amat et al., 2004; Pernar et al., 2004; Forster et al., 2008; Lukkes et al., 2008, 2009a; Waselus et al., 2009). Although both receptor types are localized to serotonergic and GABAergic neurons in the dRN, the opposing effects of CRF1 vs CRF2 receptors on serotonergic output from this region may reflect predominant excitation of GABAergic neurons in the dRN by CRF1 receptors and activation of serotonergic neurons by local CRF2 receptors (Fig. 2). Of relevance, CRF2 receptors appear to be equally localized to serotonergic and GABAergic neurons in the caudal dRN but are almost exclusively localized to serotonergic neurons in the rostral dRN (Day et al., 2004), which may account for a predominant increase in serotonin output from the dRN when CRF2 receptors are globally activated by pharmacological manipulation of the dRN. While CRF1 receptors in other brain regions are more often associated with stress responses and fear or anxiety-like behaviors in preclinical models (Heinrichs et al., 1997; Skutella et al., 1998; Timpl et al., 1998; Muller et al., 2003; Gehlert et al., 2005), there appears to be a more important role for CRF2 receptors specifically in the dRN in mediating adaptive and maladaptive stress responses (Lukkes et al., 2009c; Waselus et al., 2009; Wood et al., 2013). For example, activation of CRF2, but not CRF1, receptors throughout the dRN results in increased serotonin release in various amygdala subregions, prefrontal cortex and NAc (Amat et al., 2004; Forster et al., 2008; Lukkes et al., 2008; Scholl et al., 2010), and pharmacological blockade of CRF2 receptors in the dRN reduces fear or anxiety-like behaviors in a variety of animal models (Takahashi et al., 2001; Hammad et al., 2003; Cooper and Huhman, 2007; Vuong et al., 2010; Lukkes et al., 2009b; Bledsoe et al., 2011). Interestingly, CRF1 and CRF2 receptors differentially respond to stress (Waselus et al., 2009; Wood et al., 2013) which may account for the prominent role of dRN CRF2 receptors in stress-related outcomes. Within the dRN, CRF2 receptors are trafficked to the neuronal membrane while CRF1 receptors are internalized in response to an acute swim stress or chronic social stress (Waselus et al., 2009; Wood et al., 2013). These molecular changes manifest in stress-induced alterations in dRN serotonergic neuronal firing patterns that shift from CRF1-mediated inhibition to CRF2-mediated excitation, accompanied by a change in cognitive performance that is dependent upon increased cortical serotonin transmission (Waselus et al., 2009; Wood et al., 2013; Snyder et al., 2015). Overall, the CRF2 receptor in the dRN plays an important role in facilitating serotonergic transmission in the limbic system, which appears to become more pronounced in response to both acute and chronic stress.

The activity of CRF2 receptors in the dRN represents a potential neurobiological mechanism underlying the link between stress and drug seeking. Increased serotonin release in the NAc is elicited by CRF2 receptor activation in the dRN and enhanced by stress (Lukkes et al., 2008, 2009a). Serotonin in the NAc can, in turn, increase NAc dopamine release (Fig. 2; Parsons and Justice, 1993; De Deurwaerdere et al., 1998) and dopaminergic activity in the NAc is strongly implicated in reward salience and drug seeking (Bardo, 1998; Tschenkentke, 1998; Di Chiara, 2002; Grace et al., 2007; Phillips et al., 2008; Florescu, 2015). Specifically, activation of 5-HT2A and 5-HT1B receptors within the NAc increases accumbal dopamine release likely via direct actions on dopamine terminals (Fig. 2), and augments associated responses to drugs of abuse (Parsons and Justice, 1993; De Deurwaerdere et al., 1998; Parsons et al., 1999; Bowers et al., 2000; Yan and Yan, 2001; Neumaier et al., 2002; Alex and Pehek, 2007). While serotonin in the dopamine cell body region of the ventral tegmental area (VTA) can enhance NAc dopamine release either directly via 5-HT2A and 5-HT1B receptors on dopamine neurons or via 5-HT1A Receptor disinhibition via GABAergic neurons (Fig. 2; Yan and Yan, 2001; Alex and Pehek, 2007), it is actions of serotonin in the NAc, but not the VTA, that are critical for serotonergic modulation of the rewarding effects of intracranial self-stimulation and psychostimulants (Katsidoni et al., 2011). Given that CRF2 receptor responses in the dRN are augmented after stress (Lukkes et al., 2009a; Waselus et al., 2009; Wood et al., 2013), these converging...
lines of evidence suggest that drug seeking enhanced by stress may result in part from dRN CRF2 receptor-driven serotonergic facilitation of NAc dopamine release.

In other monoaminergic cell body regions, the stimulatory effects of CRF2 receptors appear to be related to the presence or activity of the CRF binding protein (CRF-BP). While traditionally thought of as a ‘ligand-sink’ (Jahn et al., 2005), the CRF-BP is necessary for CRF2 receptor-mediated potentiation of NMDA-induced activation of dopamine neurons in the VTA (Ungless et al., 2003) and plays an important role in stress-induced reinstatement of cocaine self-administration that is dependent on CRF2 receptor activation in the VTA (Wang et al., 2007). Therefore, it has been suggested that the CRF-BP may play a permissive role in CRF’s actions at the CRF2 receptor in the VTA (Ungless et al., 2003). Moreover, the CRF-BP promotes trafficking of CRF2α2 receptors (neural CRF2 receptors) to the cellular membrane in cultured mesencephalic neurons (Slater et al., 2016). Whether the CRF-BP plays similar roles in the dRN is an important future direction, as the CRF-BP may provide a novel pharmacological target to manipulate CRF2 receptor function and trafficking in the dRN following early-life adversity.

5. Ontogeny and sexual dimorphism of CRF-Serotonin systems

The CRF system, with its influence on monoaminergic function in the brain, is well positioned to be affected by stressors from early in life and throughout maturation (Fig. 1), with potential long-term consequences for later drug-related behaviors. This is because CRF expression peaks early in development (PND 8) within the paraventricular nucleus of the hypothalamus (PVN), and later in other brain regions such as the hippocampus (PND 18) (Chen et al., 2001; Korosi and Baram, 2008). An exception to this is CRF expression in the CeA, which appears to increase over the course of development alongside increasing expression of the CRF-BP in this region (Vazquez et al., 2006). Given that one target of CRF afferents from the CeA is likely the dRN (Gray, 1993), this latter finding provides the intriguing possibility that CRF innervation of, or CRF activity in the dRN similarly increases over maturation and could be more susceptible to perturbation over a longer developmental period than other neural CRF systems.

The expression of CRF receptors in the brain initially was also thought to peak very early in development, with rat whole brain homogenates showing increases from birth to peak at PND 8, declining thereafter through the rest of development (Insel et al., 1988). In a similar timeframe, CRF receptor mRNA expression peaks between PND 2–9 in the hippocampus, amygdala, and cortex (Avishai-Eliner et al., 1996). However, when studies have included later developmental time points and examined both sexes, clear pre- and post-pubertal sex differences emerge for CRF receptor ontogeny that are often receptor and brain region specific. For example, CRF1 receptor expression is similar among male and female pre-pubertal rats in the amygdala, but decreases in males and increases in females after puberty (measured in adulthood at PND 98; Weathington et al., 2012, 2014). Of the variety of corticolimbic brain regions studied, each with their own sex- and age-dependent changes in CRF1 receptor expression, a general pattern of greater CRF1 receptor expression in post-pubertal females emerges (Weathington et al., 2012, 2014). In contrast, amygdala CRF2 receptor expression is higher in adult males because of an increase in CRF2 receptor expression over adolescence that is absent in females (Weathington et al., 2012, 2014). Greater CRF2 receptor expression in males also holds true for many other limbic brain regions (Weathington et al., 2014). Overall, there appears to be a sex difference in CRF1/2 receptor expression in the post-pubertal brain as a result of differential maturation patterns. Males have greater CRF2 receptor expression as adults whereas females exhibit greater expression of anxiogenic CRF1 receptors throughout adolescence. These latter findings suggest a possible mechanism for higher incidence of anxiety disorders in females (Weathington et al., 2012).

Only one study to date (Lukkes et al., 2016) has explored the ontogeny and sexual dimorphism of CRF receptors within the dRN, and currently no information regarding sex-dependent expression or the developmental trajectory of the CRF-BP in the dRN is available. Overall, there is very little developmental change in either CRF1 or CRF2 mRNA in the dorsal and ventrolateral subregions of dRN from early adolescence (PND 25) to adulthood (PND 90) (Lukkes et al., 2016). Earlier ages were not examined, leaving the possibility that CRF receptor expression in the dRN, like other brain regions (e.g., Insel et al., 1988; Avishai-Eliner et al., 1996), peaks very early in development. However, like other brain regions, clear sex differences in CRF receptor expression are apparent in subregions of the dRN that project to the cortex and NAc (Lukkes et al., 2016). In contrast to other brain regions discussed earlier, CRF2 receptor mRNA expression in the dorsal ventrolateral dRN is higher in females compared to males (Lukkes et al., 2016). Whether this results in a change of the net activity of the dRN to affect serotonergic output to the limbic system in a sex-specific manner is not known, but if this is the case, it may help explain the increased risk for females in the development of stress psychopathology, including stress-induced drug relapse (Sinha et al., 2007; Ter Horst et al., 2009; Hudson and Stamp, 2011; Becker et al., 2012).

The exact mechanisms underlying sexual dimorphism of CRF receptor expression are not understood, but sex steroids may play an important role given the greater divergence in expression in post-pubertal animals (Weathington et al., 2012, 2014; Lukkes et al., 2016). For instance, non-aromatizable androgen administration to castrated male rats increases CRF2 mRNA in a variety of limbic structures (Weiser et al., 2008). This effect aligns with the natural pattern of higher CRF2 receptor expression in the same limbic regions of the male brain (Weathington et al., 2012, 2014), and suggests that such heightened CRF2 receptor expression in post-pubertal males is mediated by androgens or androgenic metabolites such as dihydrotestosterone and 3α-androstanediol. However, it is unclear how such findings relate to the contrasting higher expression of CRF2 mRNA in the dorsal ventrolateral dRN of females (Lukkes et al., 2016), especially as only male rats and mice express androgen receptors in the dRN (Sheng et al., 2004). Alternatively, the pattern seen in the dRN of females may reflect actions of estrogenic steroids, receptors for which are also located in the rodent dRN (Sheng et al., 2004). Support for an estrogen-mediated effect comes from studies showing that estradiol upregulates CRF2 receptor mRNA expression in the dRN of nonhuman primates (Sanchez et al., 2010; Bethea et al., 2015), possibly via demethylation of the CRF2 receptor gene promoter that has been observed in myocardial cells (Cong and Ni, 2014). Further research that examines the effects of sex steroids on both CRF receptor types in a variety of limbic and monoamine cell body brain regions will clarify a potential and differential role for these hormones in the sexually dimorphic pattern observed over later development.

In addition to sex differences in total cell expression of CRF receptors, differences between males and females in CRF receptor cell surface expression, receptor trafficking and G-protein coupling have been documented (Bangasser et al., 2010, 2013; Valentino et al., 2012; Bangasser, 2013). For example, female rats show greater coupling of cortical CRF1 receptor-Gαs protein coupling in control conditions, whereas stress increases this coupling in male rats to female stress-naïve levels, and has no effect in females (Bangasser et al., 2010). Furthermore, stress enhances the association between cortical CRF1 receptors and β-arrestin2 (necessary for receptor internalization) in males only (Bangasser et al., 2010). The sex difference in β-arrestin2 association with CRF1 receptors is in line with observations of CRF-induced or stress-induced internalization of CRF receptors in the locus coeruleus (LC) of males and recruitment of CRF receptors to the cellular membrane in the LC of females (Bangasser et al., 2010, 2013). Similarly, proestrus female rats exhibit higher membrane localization of CRF1 receptors in hippocampal dendrites compared to males (Williams et al., 2011), suggestive of differential CRF receptor trafficking in the hippocampus among the sexes. Interestingly, there appears to be little role of
Early-life adversity exerts effects on CRF and serotonergic signaling in mesolimbic reward circuitry that can persist into adulthood (Plotsky et al., 2005; Miczek et al., 2008; Lukkes et al., 2009c; Burke and Miczek, 2014), with deleterious consequences for reward processing and goal-directed behavior. For example, peri-adolescent social stress (social isolation/disruption or social defeat) has been shown to upregulate CRF2 receptors in the lateral dRN of adult male rats (Lukkes et al., 2009a; Bledsoe et al., 2011, Fig. 3A and B). This effect is specific to CRF2 receptors, as expression and activity of CRF1 receptors in the adult dRN is not altered by either peri-adolescent stressor (Lukkes et al., 2009a; Bledsoe et al., 2011, Fig. 3A and B). Moreover, the upregulation of CRF2 receptors in rats defeated in adolescence is only evident in the dRN, and is not seen in either the VTA or the locus coeruleus (LC, Fig. 3C). Increased CRF2 receptors in the lateral dRN following peri-adolescent stress results in prolonged CRF2-induced serotonin release in the NAc core in adulthood (Lukkes et al., 2009a). As described earlier, increased serotonin in the NAc facilitates dopamine release in this region via direct actions on serotonin receptors (Fig. 2), and augments responses to drugs of abuse (Parsons and Justice, 1993; Parsons et al., 1999; Neumaier et al., 2002; Alex and Pehek, 2007). Drugs of abuse also activate extrahypothalamic CRF neurons and increase CRF levels in the brain (Sarnyai et al., 1993; Richter and Weiss, 1999; Zorrilla et al., 2014). Thus, drug- or stress-induced increases in CRF2 receptor activation in the dRN following peri-adolescent stress, and the influence of this on accumbal serotonin and dopamine, represents a neural substrate linking stress experience during adolescence with increased drug responsivity and drug seeking later in life. It should be noted that the studies to date have been performed exclusively in male rodents. As discussed earlier, females have greater CRF2 receptor mRNA expression and also higher TPH2 mRNA levels in the dorsal ventrolateral dRN (Lukkes et al., 2016) that could play an important role in sexually-dimorphic responses to early-life adversity. However, neither the effects of social isolation nor defeat on CRF receptors in the dRN and resultant accumbal monoaminergic activity have been determined for females.

Enhanced CRF2 receptor-serotonin interactions at the level of the dRN may not only increase drug-seeking behaviors, but also the
negative affect commonly associated with drug withdrawal and relapse (Koob et al., 2013). Selective antagonism of CRF₂, but not CRF₁, receptors globally in the dRN, prevents anxiety-like behaviors of adult rats exposed to adolescent social isolation/disruption and those undergoing amphetamine withdrawal (Lukkes et al., 2009b; Vuong et al., 2010; Bledsoe et al., 2011). Therefore, increased expression of CRF₂ receptors in the dRN following peri-adolescent social stress may have important consequences for negative affect-induced reinstatement of drug seeking in individuals exposed to early-life adversity.

In contrast to social isolation/disruption and defeat, maternal separation decreases CRF₂ receptor expression while CRF₁ receptor mRNA is increased in the adult dRN (Bravo et al., 2011). This difference in CRF receptor mRNA expression may be a function of the earlier developmental time period in which maternal separation is applied, corresponding to the greatest change in CRF receptor expression over development (Fig. 1). If these alterations to CRF receptor mRNA are translated to receptor expression and function, this would result in reduced accumbal serotonin and dopamine responses to stress and drugs of abuse (Fig. 2). On the other hand, dRN serotonergic neurons in adult rats are more responsive to stress (Pollano et al., 2017) and prolonged maternal separation increases the number of serotonin neurons and the capacity for serotonin synthesis (as reflected by TPH mRNA) in the ventrolateral subregion of the rat dRN in adulthood (Gardner et al., 2009; van der Doelen et al., 2017). This is important because it is the ventrolateral subregion of the dRN that innervates the NAc (Van Bockstaele et al., 1993), allowing the potential for greater serotonergic responses in the NAc following maternal separation. Maternal separation has been shown to alter serotonin signaling in the NAc, but results can be conflicting. Male rats exhibit either decreased (Oreland et al., 2011) or increased (Xue et al., 2013) basal NAc serotonin tissue content in adulthood, while acute stress-induced increases in the serotonergic metabolite 5-HIAA within the NAc of middle-aged (15 month) female rats is exacerbated by maternal separation (Arborelius and Eklund, 2007). In line with reduced CRF₂ receptor expression (and presumably attenuated facilitation of dopamine in the NAc), adult rats that experienced maternal separation exhibit blunted NAc dopamine release in response to food rewards either before or following chronic mild stress (Minami et al., 2017; Romani-Perez et al., 2017). More aligned with enhanced sensitivity of dRN neurons and greater serotonergic innervation of the NAc, maternal separation augments the accumbal dopaminergic response to both acute amphetamine (Hall et al., 1999) and acute stress (Brake et al., 2004) in adulthood. These contrasting findings may be reconciled by a reward deficiency syndrome which is hypothesized to underlie greater drug seeking following maternal separation (Delavari et al., 2016). This syndrome may reflect both dampened tonic and heightened phasic serotonin release in the NAc, respectively resulting in deficient accumbal dopamine to cause a blunted hedonic state that encourages initial drug taking (Wand, 2008), but augmented stress-induced dopamine release that would promote drug seeking during abstinence.

Epigenetic processes have emerged as a critical mediator between genes and postnatal environment in conferring risk for later emergence of neuropsychiatric disorders, including addiction (see Cadet, 2016; Ajonijebu et al., 2017 for recent reviews). In brief, these processes broadly encompass changes to gene expression that are not secondary to alterations in DNA sequences (Jablonka, 2012). Among the most well studied epigenetic processes are chromatin histone acetylation, which typically promotes gene expression and methylation either of histones or of DNA cytosine-guanine dinucleotide (CpG) sites to result in repression of gene transcription (Smith and Meissner, 2013; Quintiviano and Kaminsky, 2016). Of relevance, maternal separation in rats has been shown to cause both increased acetylation and hypomethylation of the Crf promoter in the adult hippocampus and hypothalamus, leading to increased expression of CRF mRNA and protein (Chen et al., 2012; Wang et al., 2014). Maternal separation has also been shown to increase CRF mRNA specifically in the dRN (Bravo et al., 2011). Therefore, neonatal stress-induced epigenetic modifications resulting in increased CRF in the dRN may explain downregulation of the CRF₂ receptor in rats exposed to maternal separation (Bravo et al., 2011), given that ligand and receptor availability are often inversely related (as discussed by Weathington et al., 2014). Moreover, CRF-related epigenetic modifications induced by maternal separation are found in first and second generation male and female offspring (Franklin et al., 2010). While more studies in this area are necessary, these findings highlight the possibility that the negative consequences of early-life adversity may be transmitted to subsequent generations via epigenetic modifications of neural CRF systems.

To date, epigenetic effects of peri-adolescent stress on either CRF or CRF receptors do not appear to have received much attention, with most studies examining the influence of stress exposure earlier in postnatal life. Hypomethylation at multiple CpG sites of the Crf₂ promoter, which results in greater promoter activity indicating disinhibition of gene expression, has been observed in otherwise healthy individuals who report higher generalized anxiety (Schartner et al., 2017). This phenotype is reminiscent of increased anxiety and increased CRF₂ receptor expression in the dRN as observed following early-life adversity (Lukkes et al., 2009a; b; Bledsoe et al., 2011). As such, it is tempting to speculate that long-term upregulation of CRF₂ receptor expression in the dRN as observed following early-life adversity (Lukkes et al., 2009a, Fig. 3) may result from reduced methylation of the Crf₂ gene, but this is yet to be determined. If true, it may have implications for understanding sex differences in behavioral responses to abused substances following early-life (particularly adolescent) adversity, given that adolescent female rats show a 10-fold higher expression of CRF₂ mRNA in the dRN compared to males (Lukkes et al., 2016). This sex specific ontology could conceivably increase susceptibility of females to stress-induced changes in epigenetic regulation of the CRF₂ receptor, with detrimental consequences for serotonergic and dopaminergic signaling in reward pathways.

7. Conclusions

Both human and preclinical studies clearly demonstrate that early-life adversity is associated with a greater propensity for substance abuse in later life. Converging evidence suggests that this may be in part due to alterations of CRF₃ receptors in the dRN following early-life adversity, which have the ability to promote long-lasting alterations to accumbal monoaminergic transmission and related behaviors. While heightened drug- and alcohol-related behaviors appear similar between pre-adolescent stress (e.g. maternal separation) and stressors later in peri-adolescent development (e.g. social isolation/disruption and social defeat), underlying mechanisms may differ with opposite effects on CRF₂ receptor expression and activity within the mesolimbic system. Regardless, the CRF₂ receptor may represent a pharmacotherapeutic target for reducing the negative consequences of early-life adversity, but translational studies are hampered by the lack of CRF₂ receptor antagonists that effectively cross the blood-brain barrier (Forster et al., 2012; Vinzant et al., 2017). Recent progress in nanomaterials have been employed to facilitate CRF₂ receptor ligands crossing the blood-brain barrier to have efficacy at the level of the dRN (Vinzant et al., 2017), increasing the promise of the CRF₂ receptor as a novel target for reducing the impact of early-life adversity. However, advancements in preventing and treating substance dependence associated with early-life adversity will continue to be hampered by a paucity of neurobiological studies within preclinical female models. Future work should be directed at understanding sex differences in CRF-serotonin interactions within the dRN and how sexually dimorphic expression of CRF receptors in the dRN, and in dRN serotonin development, translate to greater vulnerability of women to be negatively affected by early-life adversity.
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