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Abstract

Rationale: The relationship between respiratory function at hospital discharge and the severity of later respiratory disease in extremely low gestational age neonates is not well defined.

Objectives: To test the hypothesis that tidal breathing measurements near the time of hospital discharge differ between extremely premature infants with BPD or respiratory disease in the first year of life compared to those without these conditions.

Methods: Study subjects were part of the Prematurity and Respiratory Outcomes Program (PROP) study, a longitudinal cohort study of infants born <29 gestational weeks followed from birth to 1 year of age. Respiratory inductance plethysmography was used for tidal breathing measurements before and after inhaled albuterol 1 week prior to anticipated hospital discharge. Infants were breathing spontaneously and were receiving ≤1 liter per minute (lpm) nasal cannula flow at 21-100% FiO\textsubscript{2}. A survey of respiratory morbidity was administered to caregivers at 3, 6, 9, and 12 months corrected age to assess for respiratory disease. We compared tidal breathing measurements in infants with and without bronchopulmonary dysplasia (BPD, oxygen requirement at 36 wk) and with and without respiratory disease in the first year of life. Measurements were also performed in a comparison cohort of term infants.

Results: 765 infants survived to 36 weeks post-menstrual age, with research-quality tidal breathing data in 452 out of 564 tested (80.1%). Among these 452 infants, the rate of post-discharge respiratory disease was 65.7%. Compared to a group of 18 term infants, PROP infants had abnormal tidal breathing patterns. However, there were no significant differences in tidal breathing measurements in PROP infants who had BPD or who had respiratory disease in the first year of life compared to those without these diagnoses. Bronchodilator response was not
significantly associated with respiratory disease in the first year of life.

**Conclusions:** Extremely premature infants receiving <1 lpm nasal cannula support at 21-100% FiO₂ have tidal breathing measurements that differ from term infants, but these measurements do not differentiate those preterm infants who have BPD or will have respiratory disease in the first year of life from those who do not.

Clinical trial registered with ClinicalTrials.gov (NCT01435187)

**Abstract Word Count:** 344
Premature birth is associated with impaired lung function that can persist into adulthood [1]. Wheezing, cough, and hospitalization for respiratory illnesses all occur more frequently in children born preterm than in those born at term [2]. Although bronchopulmonary dysplasia (BPD) is a risk factor for more severe respiratory problems, infants without BPD also experience substantial respiratory morbidity [3]. Despite the high prevalence of respiratory problems in preterm children, there is a paucity of data regarding the physiologic mechanisms of post-prematurity respiratory disease.

Pre-morbid respiratory function among infants born at term is an independent predictor of wheezing and asthma in infancy and early childhood [4-8]. Infant pulmonary function tests (PFTs) performed in preterm infants between 36-40 weeks postmenstrual age (PMA) have shown decreased expiratory flows, reduced functional residual capacity (FRC), increased airway resistance, and decreased lung compliance [9-12]. However, most studies using infant PFTs in preterm infants had small sample sizes and limited follow-up data collected several months after discharge, making it difficult to establish an association between lung function near the time of discharge from the neonatal intensive care unit (NICU) and subsequent respiratory problems.

The raised volume rapid thoraco-abdominal compression technique allows infant PFTs to be performed in infants, yielding results that are comparable to conventional PFTs in cooperative subjects [13]. However, the time and sedation risk involved in performing infant PFTs preclude its use in studying large cohorts and in clinical assessment. Tidal breathing analysis allows assessment of respiratory function without sedation [14] and can be performed in the NICU. In full-term infants, abnormal tidal breathing measurements in early infancy are an
independent risk factor for wheezing and asthma in later life [5, 6], but limited data are available regarding the association of these physiologic measurements with clinical outcomes in preterm infants.

We hypothesized that tidal breathing measurements in extremely preterm infants near the time of hospital discharge would differentiate between infants with BPD from those without BPD and be predictive of which infants would develop respiratory morbidity in the first year of life. To test this hypothesis, we measured tidal breathing variables in a large cohort of extremely preterm infants and assessed their BPD status before discharge and respiratory morbidity in the first year of life.

Methods

Study Subjects

Infants in this study were enrolled in the Prematurity and Respiratory Outcomes Program (PROP, clinicaltrials.gov NCT01607216), a multicenter, longitudinal, birth cohort study of extremely low gestational age neonates. Details of the design of the PROP study have been reported [15]. The PROP study network consisted of 5 clinical research sites located across the United States and 1 data coordinating center. Each center enrolled between 105-184 infants born at <29 weeks gestational age (GA). Parental consent was obtained prior to enrollment. Detailed, daily clinical data were prospectively collected while in the hospital, and tidal breathing measurements were obtained 1 week prior to anticipated discharge from the NICU. BPD was defined using a modification of the criteria proposed by Shennan, et al. [16-18].
Infants were classified as having BPD if they needed supplemental O2 at 36 weeks PMA; they were classified as “no BPD” if they were in room air at 36 weeks PMA or discharged home in room air before 36 weeks PMA.

Following discharge, families were contacted by phone at 3, 6, 9, and 12 months for a questionnaire assessing respiratory morbidity [18]. There are no validated, consensus definitions of respiratory morbidity in preterm infants. Previous studies of respiratory outcomes of prematurity have used a variety of measures, each of which may have its own associated biases [3, 18, 19]. For example, a history of wheezing may be affected by recall bias, while medication use and hospitalization may be affected by socioeconomic factors and access to health care. With this background in mind, the PROP developed a composite measure of post-discharge respiratory morbidity as its primary outcome [18, 19]. Infants were classified as having post-discharge respiratory disease in the first year of life, if their caregivers reported a positive response in 1 of four domains on 2 or more survey encounters. The four domains consisted of respiratory medications, hospitalization for respiratory causes, respiratory symptoms, and respiratory technology use. We also defined secondary outcomes by the severity of respiratory disease based on hospitalization, supplemental oxygen therapy, or mechanical ventilation [18].

The PROP protocol was approved by local institutional review boards at each of the clinical research sites and the PROP Observational Study Monitoring Board. Parents of the PROP enrollees and the full-term comparison infants provided written informed consent for all study procedures, including tidal breathing analyses.
Tidal Breathing Measurements

The BioCapture physiologic monitoring system (Great Lakes Neurotech, Cleveland, Ohio) was used to make tidal breathing measurements by respiratory inductance plethysmography (RIP). Infants were excluded from RIP if they were receiving $\geq$1 liter per minute of nasal cannula flow ($\text{FiO}_2=0.21$ to 1.0) or had a condition that prevented placement of inductance bands around the chest or abdomen. They were excluded from RIP if they were being supported with continuous positive airway pressure or mechanical ventilation. The scalar RIP tracings captured rib cage and abdominal motion. Continuous pulse oximetry was also simultaneously recorded with an oximeter connected to the BioCapture system. The oximeter had an effective averaging time of 1.5 to 3.0 sec depending on the pulse rate (NONIN Medical, Inc., Minneapolis, MN). Infants were studied in the supine position and $\geq$ 30 minutes after their last feeding. Nasogastric (NG) tubes were removed, if possible. Quiet sleep was behaviorally defined by eyes closed, regular breathing, and no fluttering of eyelids or limbs [20]. Sleep state was assessed and documented every 3 minutes, and only data from behaviorally determined quiet sleep were analyzed. Fifteen to 30 minutes of RIP data were collected, after which infants received 1.25 mg of albuterol by small volume wet nebulizer. An additional 15 minutes of data were collected 10 minutes after the nebulization treatment was completed. Albuterol was not administered if the parent refused or if there was a medical contraindication to beta agonists, e.g., supraventricular tachycardia.

Although the primary objective of our study was to assess the relationship between tidal breathing measurements and pulmonary outcomes in preterm infants, we obtained data from a small cohort of 18 healthy term infants at 1-3 days of life as a comparison group. The infants
were recruited from 3 PROP sites (Rochester/Buffalo, Indiana University, and Vanderbilt University). Their mean PMA at testing was 39.5 weeks (SD=1.3 w, range 36-41 weeks) and the mean birth weight was 3,289 g (SD=362 g). We did not administer albuterol to the term comparison cohort.

**RIP Data Analysis**

RIP data were analyzed as previously reported [21, 22]. Individuals assessing RIP data were blinded to BPD and post-discharge respiratory disease status. Five representative epochs of quiet sleep were selected for analysis, and descriptive statistics for RIP values were calculated. To account for variability in breathing patterns, a minimum of 30 breaths was used for analysis [23, 24]. Selected breaths demonstrated a stable end-expiratory volume and regular rhythmic motion consistent with quiet sleep on the scalar tracings, and a closed tracing when viewed on a Konno-Mead plot with no “figure of eight” loops [14]. Rib cage and thoracic excursion were internally calibrated to each other using the quantitative diagnostic calibration technique [25]. Because we did not make absolute measurements, such as tidal volume, external calibration to a known volume was not necessary and was not performed [14]. To assess agreement when selecting research quality breaths, we compared analyses from 2 independent observers using data from 32 infants and found the intraclass correlation coefficient to be 0.95.

Vivosense software (Vivonoetics, Ventura, CA) was used to analyze the RIP data. The RIP-derived measurements included respiratory rate, phase angle, the ratio of time to peak expiratory flow over total expiratory time (Tpef/Te), and percent contribution of the rib cage to inspiratory tidal volume (%RCi) [14]. Phase angle reflects the relative synchrony between the rib
cage and abdominal compartments during tidal breathing, and it is elevated with increased airway resistance or decreased lung compliance [26]. Tpef/Te is smaller in individuals with obstructive lung disease and is a predictor of infantile wheezing and future asthma diagnosis [5, 6, 27, 28]. A lower %RCi is, most often, a reflection of increased chest wall compliance [14, 29].

Oxygenation and Desaturations with Brief Respiratory Pauses during Sleep

A higher number of mild desaturations with brief respiratory pauses during sleep are associated with a lower FRC [30]. We analyzed breathing patterns and simultaneous pulse oximetry during epochs of behaviorally-determined quiet sleep, as above. The number of desaturation episodes with an absolute fall in SpO$_2$% by 4 during an apnea that lasted at least 4 seconds was counted and normalized for the number of minutes of quiet sleep for each infant. Apneas were identified from the scalar RIP tracings. If an infant had no 4 sec apneas linked to desaturation by 4%, the longest apnea without a desaturation by 4% was identified (an apnea with stable SpO2%). This assessment allowed us to characterize all infants, including those who had the ability to avoid developing hypoxemia with short apnea. Infants having a 4% desaturation within 10 seconds of a 4 second apnea were further described by how fast their SpO2% fell during the apnea, using the %fall per second of apnea. This value, in particular, is inversely correlated with FRC [30]. The lowest SpO2% (SpO2% nadir) was also recorded.

Training and Quality Control

Before initiation of the study, research personnel who performed tidal breathing studies at all the study sites attended a training meeting where they reviewed how to perform the tests
uniformly. Before any subjects were enrolled, each site was required to demonstrate the ability to perform at least 5 tidal breathing studies on infants that met the research-quality criteria described above. Once enrollment was initiated, site visits were performed to ensure proper test procedures. A shared manual for standard operating procedures for tidal breathing measurements was used at all sites. All studies were reviewed at the central over-reading center located at Riley Children’s Hospital (Indiana University School of Medicine), and only studies that met research-quality criteria were used for analysis. Ongoing quality control feedback was provided to the sites, indicating why studies were unacceptable, and every study site was continuously monitored for rate of unacceptable studies and changes in study personnel. Additional on-site training and/or conference calls with study investigators (CLR and JSK) were conducted as needed to maintain quality.

**Statistical Analysis**

Infant demographics at birth and at the time of testing, clinical status at the time of testing, and each domain of post-discharge respiratory disease (hospitalization, symptoms, medication use, and technology use) were summarized as proportions, mean and standard deviations (SD), median and interquartile range (IQR). For each subject with more than 30 breaths, the median value of each measurement was calculated as a summary value to be included in the statistical analysis. The data were compared between infant with and without post-discharge respiratory disease using Pearson Chi-square test, Cochran-Armitage trend test, two-group T-test, or Wilcoxon rank-sum test as appropriate. We calculated 95% confidence intervals for median differences using the bootstrap method [31]. Two-group T-test or Wilcoxon rank-sum test were
also used to compare baseline pre-bronchodilator tidal breathing measurements between BPD and non-BPD groups. To assess whether tidal breathing measurements were predictive of post-discharge respiratory disease in preterm infants with more severe lung disease, we analyzed the data from infants with BPD as a subgroup.

For each infant with both pre- and post-bronchodilator RIP data available, we assessed the infant’s bronchodilator response (BDR) by comparing the mean of all values of a particular RIP measure from the pre-BD breaths to the mean from the post-BD breaths. BDR was defined as a mean decrease in phase angle or increase in Tpef/Te from baseline larger than the pooled standard deviation [32]. To study the relationship between BDR and post-discharge respiratory disease, the proportion of infants with BDR who had post-discharge respiratory disease was compared to those without using a chi square test.

All statistical tests were two-sided and P≤0.05 was considered significant. Statistical analyses were performed with SAS 9.3 software (SAS Institute, Cary, North Carolina).

**Results**

Of the 765 infants from the PROP cohort who survived to 36 weeks PMA, tidal breathing measurements were made in 564 (73.7%) available eligible and consenting infants of the total cohort (Table 1). Compared to the entire PROP cohort, the infants in this study tended to have a higher GA and were more likely to be White/Caucasian [18]. Infants who did not have tidal breathing measurements were born at a slightly lower GA (26.9 weeks vs. 26.2, P<0.001), had lower birth weight (947 grams vs 842, P<0.001), and were more likely to have BPD (36.8% vs
54.9%, P<0.001) (Table E1 available in the online supplement). Of the infants who had tidal breathing measurements, 348 (61.7%) had post-discharge respiratory disease and 189 (33.5%) did not; there were 27 infants (4.8%) who did not have enough post-discharge data to assess respiratory disease status. A flow diagram of the derivation of our study cohort is available online (Figure E1). The most common reasons for no measurements were ineligibility for study based on level of respiratory support, parent refusal, and transfer to an outside hospital before 34 weeks PMA. Most (63.8%) infants were on no respiratory support, and the mean PMA at time of testing was 37.4 ± 1.9 weeks. Compared to term infants, PROP infants demonstrated greater thoracoabdominal asynchrony (difference between PROP and term cohort phase angle -65.1°, 95%CI -71.4° to -58.8°), decreased %RCi (mean difference 23.1%, 95% CI 15.1%-31.1%), and more frequent oxyhemoglobin desaturations (mean difference 0.37, 95%CI 0.21-0.44)(Table E2).

The distribution of different RIP measurements for the PROP cohort is shown in Figure 1. RR and %RCi were normally distributed but PA had a bimodal distribution for the preterm infants. Tpef/Te was tightly clustered around 0.49. The distribution of sleep oximetry with RIP measurements is shown in Figure 2. In general, infants had fewer than 1 spontaneous desaturation by ≥4% per minute of quiet sleep, those tolerating longer than 4 sec apneas without 4% desaturation could do so for as long as 8 secs, and most infants with a fall in SpO2% by 4% had falls by 2% per sec of apnea, or less, although some had quite precipitous falls in SpO2%. The nadir of SpO2% tended to be >80%.

Tidal breathing measurements in infants with BPD are compared to those without BPD in Table 2. There was a small, but statistically significant difference in Tpef/Te between infants
with BPD compared to those without BPD, with Tpef/Te being smaller in the BPD group (mean difference of 0.01, 95%CI 0.00-0.01). There were no other significant differences in BPD vs. non-BPD infants in any RIP tidal breathing measurements or results based on oximetry. However, there was a trend towards a slightly larger number of desaturations in the BPD group. We did not observe any consistent, clinically significant associations between any other clinical variables related to NICU stay (e.g. days on mechanical ventilation) and any tidal breathing measurements, except that lower birthweight was associated with a higher respiratory rate.

There were no significant differences in pre-bronchodilator tidal breathing measurements between infants who developed post-discharge respiratory disease and those who did not (Table 3). Although there was a trend towards a higher phase angle in infants with BPD who had post-discharge respiratory disease compared to those who did not, this difference was not significant, and all other tidal breathing measurements were similar in both groups (Table E3). We also did not observe any association between tidal breathing measurements and secondary outcomes, such as hospitalization (Table E4). BDR as assessed by a decrease in phase angle after bronchodilator was present in 46% of the study cohort, while BDR as assessed by an increase in Tpef/Te was present in 24% (Table 3). A decrease in phase angle after bronchodilator was more common in Infants with post-discharge respiratory disease compared to those who did not (50% vs 38.5%, P=0.051), although this difference did not meet our prespecified significance level of P≤0.05. There was no significant association between results based on oximetry while sleeping and post-discharge respiratory disease status at 1 year.
Discussion

In this prospective study of a cohort of extremely low gestational age neonates who were breathing ambient air or supported by low-flow nasal cannulas, we found different tidal breathing patterns at 37 weeks PMA compared to normal term neonates, but these results were not associated with the diagnosis of BPD or subsequent post-discharge respiratory disease. The frequency of BDR near the time of discharge from the NICU was increased in infants with subsequent post-discharge respiratory disease compared to those without, but not significantly so.

Other investigators have performed tidal breathing measurements in preterm infants around the time of discharge. The Bern Infant Study measured Tpef/Te using a pneumotachometer and assessed lung clearance index and FRC by multiple breath washout at 44 weeks PMA, in a cohort of preterm and full-term infants [33]. Tpef/Te was lower in infants with BPD compared to those without BPD. There was no difference in lung clearance index between the two groups, and FRC was slightly lower in the BPD infants. Warren, et al., performed RIP in preterm infants and found that mean PA was 61º compared to 12º in the full-term comparison group [34]. Their results are not directly comparable to ours because Warren, et al., studied infants in the prone position and included infants with a GA>29 weeks. However, overall the findings in these two studies are in agreement with ours. For example, Warren, et al., did not observe a significant association between higher PA and BPD diagnosis. We enrolled many more infants than either the Bern study or the study by Warren, et al., and all were born before 29 weeks PMA. We also prospectively collected post-discharge clinical data that allowed
us to analyze associations between tidal breathing measurements and clinical outcomes. Ours was the first study to assess the prognostic significance of BDR in premature infants; among 353 infants receiving albuterol we found no significant association between tidal breathing-based BDR at the time of discharge and subsequent post-discharge respiratory disease.

The Bern Infant Study found that lower Tpef/Te was associated with increased wheezing in the first year of life, but addition of tidal breathing results to clinical variables did not increase the ability of a predictive model to predict future wheezing in preterm infants [35]. Bentsen, et al also found that a low Tpef/Te in preterm infants was predictive of respiratory morbidity in the first year of life [36]. In addition to Tpef/Te, we also studied phase angle and sleep hypoxemia, but we found that none of these measurements was predictive of future respiratory morbidity in the PROP cohort. It is difficult to compare our study to others because of differences in GA, methods used to measure Tpef/Te (i.e. pneumotachometer vs. RIP) and different definitions of post-discharge respiratory disease. Furthermore, Bentsen, et al studied a much smaller cohort with a much lower prevalence of first year respiratory morbidity. Taken together, our study and others suggest that tidal breathing measurements most likely have limited utility in predicting short-term respiratory outcomes in extremely preterm infants.

Other than physiologically unimportant differences in Tpef/Te there was little association between tidal breathing measurements and BPD status [33]. This may be because our definition of BPD, though conventional [16, 17], is based only on need for supplemental O2. Hjalmorson, et al have shown a lack of association between the need for supplemental O2 and measures of respiratory mechanics, such as compliance and conductance [37]. It is likely that tidal breathing measurements reflect respiratory mechanics, but in a given infant respiratory
mechanics per se may be less responsible for hypoxemia than, for example, dysfunctional responses of the pulmonary circulation leading to ventilation-perfusion mismatching. Furthermore, in some preterm infants immature control of breathing may contribute more than parenchymal lung disease in causing a need for supplemental O2 [38].

Tidal breathing measurements from the PROP preterm infants were abnormal compared to our term cohort, but there was no difference in these measurements among PROP enrollees who developed post-discharge respiratory disease compared to those who did not. These results suggest that factors other than abnormal respiratory mechanics at discharge may make a greater contribution to the risk of persistent pulmonary disease in these extremely preterm infants. A recent study of pulmonary outcomes associated with chorioamnionitis supports this hypothesis; chorioamnionitis was associated with increased pulmonary morbidity in the first 2 years of life, but it was not associated with lower lung function measured by infant PFTs [39].

BDR in full-term infants is associated with an increased likelihood of wheezing in infancy and childhood [40, 41]. BDR as assessed by decline in phase angle after bronchodilator administration was more common in PROP infants with post-discharge respiratory disease than those without, although this difference just failed to meet our pre-specified threshold for statistical significance. An increased phase angle can be caused by several mechanisms, including upper airway obstruction and increased lung compliance [42, 43], and there are limited studies using phase angle as a measure of BDR [26]. With these caveats in mind, our results suggest the possibility that BDR is a risk factor for post-discharge respiratory morbidity in extremely preterm infants and further study of this relationship should be conducted.
There are limitations to our inclusion criteria and outcome measurements that may have affected our results. For example, we excluded infants receiving >1 lpm of flow. This may have excluded infants with the potential for more severe post-discharge respiratory disease from our study and likely selected for a group with at most moderate respiratory function impairment. This is consistent with the finding of a lower rate of post-discharge respiratory disease among infants in our cohort who were well enough to have RIP performed compared to the entire PROP cohort [18]. The inclusion criterion of infants receiving ≤1 lpm, in particular, may have contributed substantially to the lack of association between pre-BD tidal breathing results and BPD or post-discharge respiratory disease. However, even in this group of preterm infants with minimal respiratory support at NICU discharge, there was substantial post-discharge respiratory morbidity, which was the motivation for our conducting a study to identify non-invasive physiologic markers of future respiratory illness. Our definition of post-discharge respiratory disease has not been validated or used in studies outside of PROP. However, it is similar to those use by other studies [3] and the diagnosis of BPD increased the likelihood of having post-discharge respiratory disease [18], consistent with many other studies of preterm respiratory outcomes.

Tidal breathing measurements remain an indirect assessment of respiratory function and are affected by factors other than intra-thoracic lung mechanics. For example, thoraco-abdominal synchrony is affected by upper airway obstruction as well as lower airway obstruction [42], and we cannot rule out that the increased phase angle we observed was due to upper airway obstruction. In contrast to some other studies, we did not directly measure Tpef/Te with a pneumotachometer; rather it was derived from changes in the sum of thoracic
and abdominal expansion over time, changes in flow whose detection could be delayed when the measurements rely on rib cage or abdominal excursion. Finally, among infants studied at 32 weeks PMA, it has been shown that some infants are able to keep their SpO$_2$ > 90% in room air despite having marked asynchrony with PA >>80 degrees in many cases [44].

In summary, we have shown that extremely low gestational age neonates breathing ambient air or on low-flow nasal cannula support have abnormal tidal breathing patterns, but these patterns do not differ between BPD and non-BPD infants. Pre-bronchodilator and post-bronchodilator tidal breathing results were not predictive of post-discharge respiratory disease. Our results suggest that factors other than altered respiratory mechanics alone, such as response to respiratory viral infections among infants whose mechanics are already more or less compromised, may contribute more to future respiratory problems in the preterm population [45].
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Figure Legends

Figure 1. Frequency histograms for respiratory inductance plethysmography measurements

Figure 2. Frequency histograms for sleep oximetry measurements
### Table 1. Demographic and Clinical Characteristics of the Study Cohort.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>No Post-Discharge Respiratory Disease (n=189)</th>
<th>Post-Discharge Respiratory Disease (n=348)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n (%) or mean ± SD</td>
<td>n (%) or mean ± SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Male</strong></td>
<td>80 (42.3%)</td>
<td>188 (54.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Race</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White / Caucasian</td>
<td>131 (69.3%)</td>
<td>194 (55.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black / African American</td>
<td>47 (24.9%)</td>
<td>138 (39.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>8 (4.2%)</td>
<td>4 (1.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>3 (1.6%)</td>
<td>12 (3.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gestational age, weeks</strong></td>
<td>27.0 (1.3)</td>
<td>26.8 (1.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Multiple births</strong></td>
<td>63 (33.3%)</td>
<td>76 (21.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Twins</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triplets or quadruplets</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Birth weight, gram</strong></td>
<td>972.5 (232.9)</td>
<td>933.3 (225.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PMA at test, week</strong></td>
<td>37.1 (1.8)</td>
<td>37.5 (2.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Weight at test, gram</strong></td>
<td>2491.0 (462.6)</td>
<td>2504.5 (486.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Level of support at test</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No respiratory support</td>
<td>152 (80.4%)</td>
<td>190 (54.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nasal cannula ≤ 1LPM</td>
<td>37 (19.6%)</td>
<td>158 (45.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Nasogastric tube in place during test</strong></td>
<td>42 (22.2%)</td>
<td>63 (18.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>On caffeine during test</strong></td>
<td>6 / 180 (3.3%)</td>
<td>12 / 326 (3.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>BPD (Modified Shennan’s)</strong></td>
<td>50 / 188 (26.6%)</td>
<td>145 / 342 (42.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PMA at discharge, week</strong></td>
<td>38.8 (2.9)</td>
<td>39.7 (3.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hospitalizations in year 1</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>173 / 185 (93.5%)</td>
<td>220 / 335 (65.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>11 / 185 (5.9%)</td>
<td>74 / 335 (22.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ 2</td>
<td>1 / 185 (0.5%)</td>
<td>41 / 335 (12.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symptom in year 1</td>
<td>Count</td>
<td>Percentage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequent wheeze or cough, with inhaled steroids, for ≥ 6 months</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>33 / 343  (9.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequent wheeze or cough for ≥ 6 months</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>244 / 343 (71.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>187 / 187 (100.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>66 / 343 (19.2%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Medication use in year 1</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>System steroids or pulmonary vasodilator</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8 / 189 (4.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>76 / 345 (22.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inhaled steroids bronchodilator</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6 / 189 (3.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>118 / 345 (34.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>175 / 189 (92.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>151 / 345 (43.8%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Technology use in year 1</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Home oxygen after month 6 or mechanical ventilation</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1 / 189 (0.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>61 / 344 (17.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Home oxygen use at month 3 or tracheostomy</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13 / 189 (6.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>53 / 344 (15.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>175 / 189 (92.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>230 / 344 (66.9%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Tidal breathing measurements in infants with and without bronchopulmonary dysplasia*.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tidal breathing measurements</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>No Bronchopulmonary Dysplasia*</th>
<th>Bronchopulmonary Dysplasia*</th>
<th>Mean or Median Difference (95%CI **)</th>
<th>p-value ***</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RIP Phase angle (degrees)</td>
<td>429</td>
<td>77.4 ± 45.1</td>
<td>81.5 ± 45.9</td>
<td>4.0 (-4.8, 12.9)</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respiratory rate (breaths per minute)</td>
<td>429</td>
<td>60.1 ± 7.9</td>
<td>61.0 ± 10.4</td>
<td>1.0 (-0.8, 2.7)</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tpef/Te 0.50 ± 0.02</td>
<td>429</td>
<td>0.49 ± 0.04</td>
<td>-0.009 (-0.015, -0.003)</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%RCi 0.16 ± 0.27</td>
<td>429</td>
<td>0.16 ± 0.31</td>
<td>-0.01 (-0.06, 0.05)</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sleep Oximetry (Room air only)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>n</th>
<th>No Bronchopulmonary Dysplasia*</th>
<th>Bronchopulmonary Dysplasia*</th>
<th>Mean or Median Difference (95%CI **)</th>
<th>p-value ***</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of desaturations ≥4% per second</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>0.42 (0.18-0.82)</td>
<td>0.61 (0.35-0.88)</td>
<td>0.19 (0.05, 0.36)</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Longest apnea (seconds)</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>4.5 (3.5-5.5)</td>
<td>3.2 (2.5-3.3)</td>
<td>-1.4 (-1.6, -0.9)</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent fall in O₂ saturation/second, %</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>1.6 (1.2-2.1)</td>
<td>1.5 (1.0-2.1)</td>
<td>-0.1 (-0.3, 0.2)</td>
<td>0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lowest SpO₂, %</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>87.5 (83.0-91.0)</td>
<td>85.0 (82.5-88.0)</td>
<td>-2.5 (-4.0, 1.0)</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: RIP, respiratory inductance plethysmography; Tpef/Te, ratio of time to peak expiratory flow over total expiratory time; %RCi, percent contribution of rib cage expansion to inspiratory tidal volume.

* presented as mean ± SD or median (IQR)

** 95% C.I.s of Median differences obtained through bootstrap method [31].

*** T-test with equal variance or Wilcoxon Test
Table 3. Tidal breathing data obtained near the time of discharge in infants with and without post-discharge respiratory disease after discharge*.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tidal breathing measurements</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>No Post-Discharge Respiratory Disease*</th>
<th>Post-Discharge Respiratory Disease*</th>
<th>Mean/Median Difference or OR (95%CI **)</th>
<th>p-value ***</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RIP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phase angle (degree)</td>
<td>433</td>
<td>77.5 (44.5)</td>
<td>80.0 (45.9)</td>
<td>2.5 (-6.6, 11.5)</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respiratory rate (breaths per minute)</td>
<td>433</td>
<td>60.2 (8.2)</td>
<td>60.6 (9.3)</td>
<td>0.4 (-1.4, 2.2)</td>
<td>0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tpef/Te</td>
<td>433</td>
<td>0.49 (0.03)</td>
<td>0.49 (0.03)</td>
<td>0.00 (-0.01, 0.01)</td>
<td>0.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%RCi</td>
<td>433</td>
<td>0.17 (0.28)</td>
<td>0.16 (0.29)</td>
<td>-0.01 (-0.07, 0.04)</td>
<td>0.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sleep Oximetry (in room air only)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of desaturations ≥4% per second</td>
<td>262</td>
<td>0.39 (0.23-0.81)</td>
<td>0.45 (0.20-0.85)</td>
<td>0.06 (-0.03, 0.18)</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Longest apnea (seconds)</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>4.2 (3.5-5.48)</td>
<td>4.3 (3.2-5.38)</td>
<td>0.2 (-0.7, 0.6)</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent fall in O₂ saturation/second, %</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>1.7 (1.1-2.05)</td>
<td>1.6 (1.2-2.1)</td>
<td>-0.1 (-0.2, 0.2)</td>
<td>0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lowest SpO₂, %</td>
<td>257</td>
<td>87.0 (81.0-91.0)</td>
<td>88.0 (84.0-91.0)</td>
<td>1.0 (-1.0, 3.0)</td>
<td>0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bronchodilator response</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phase Angle decrease</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(1.00, 2.55)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>67 (61.5%)</td>
<td>106 (50.0%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>42 (38.5%)</td>
<td>106 (50.0%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tpef/Te increase</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(0.88, 2.71)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>88 (80.7%)</td>
<td>155 (73.1%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>21 (19.3%)</td>
<td>57 (26.9%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Abbreviations: RIP, respiratory inductance plethysmography; Tpef/Te, ratio of time to peak expiratory flow over total expiratory time; %RCi, percent contribution of rib cage expansion to inspiratory tidal volume.
* presented as mean ± SD or median (IQR) or n (%)
** 95% C.I.s of Median differences obtained through bootstrap method [31].
*** T-test with equal variance or Wilcoxon Test
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Figure E1. Derivation of the study cohort

Figure E1. Derivation of the Study Cohort

765 infants alive at 36 w PMA

4 died before study could be performed
2 withdrawals
2 severe BPD and still in the hospital at 1 y
CGA

757 infants potentially eligible for tidal breathing studies

30 parental refusal
17 eligible but discharged prior to studying
17 too sick
13 missed due to staff oversight
13 transferred out of NICU prior to studying
9 unable to study due to technical problems
2 unable to achieve sustained quiet sleep
3 other reasons
15 performed out of PMA window

564 infants with studies performed within 34-41 6/7 weeks PMA

452 research quality pre-bronchodilator studies
353 research quality post-bronchodilator studies
535 research quality oximetry studies

537 with post-discharge respiratory disease assessment
27 without data on post-discharge respiratory disease

348 with post-discharge respiratory disease
189 without respiratory disease
Table E1. Demographic and clinical characteristics of PROP Subjects with and without tidal breathing measurements or assessment of post-discharge respiratory disease.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>PROP Babies with tidal breathing measurements and post-discharge respiratory disease assessment (n=537)</th>
<th>PROP Babies without tidal breathing measurements and post-discharge respiratory disease assessment (n=228)</th>
<th>p-value*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n (%) or mean ± SD</td>
<td>n (%) or mean ± SD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>268 (49.9%)</td>
<td>125 (54.8%)</td>
<td>0.244</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White / Caucasian</td>
<td>325 (60.5%)</td>
<td>122 (53.5%)</td>
<td>0.120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black / African American</td>
<td>185 (34.5%)</td>
<td>96 (42.1%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>12 (2.2%)</td>
<td>7 (3.1%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>15 (2.8%)</td>
<td>3 (1.3%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gestational age, weeks</td>
<td>26.9 (1.4)</td>
<td>26.2 (1.4)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple births</td>
<td>139 (25.9%)</td>
<td>55 (24.1%)</td>
<td>0.673</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birth weight, grams</td>
<td>947.1 (228.5)</td>
<td>842.0 (224.7)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BPD (Modified Shennan)</td>
<td>195 / 530 (36.8%)</td>
<td>117 / 213 (54.9%)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Pearson Chi-Square or T-test
Table E2. Baseline tidal breathing measurements in the study cohort compared to a non-PROP cohort of full term health infants. Abbreviations: RIP, respiratory inductance plethysmography; Tpef/Te, ratio of time to peak expiratory flow over total expiratory time; %RCi, percent contribution of rib cage expansion to inspiratory tidal volume.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>PROP Infants mean ± SD or median (IQR)</th>
<th>Full term cohort (N=18) mean ± SD or median (IQR)</th>
<th>Mean or median difference (95% CI)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>RIP (N=288)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phase angle, degree</td>
<td>79.1 ± 45.6</td>
<td>14.0 ± 6.8</td>
<td>-65.1 (-71.4, -58.8)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respiratory rate</td>
<td>59.7 ± 8.3</td>
<td>46.6 ± 9.3</td>
<td>-13.1 (-17.8, -8.4)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tpef/Te</td>
<td>0.49 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.51 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.02 (-0.00, 0.04)</td>
<td>0.069</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%RCi</td>
<td>15.8 ± 27.6</td>
<td>38.9 ± 14.8</td>
<td>23.1 (15.1, 31.1)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sleep Oximetry</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of desaturations &gt;4% per minute of quiet sleep (n=279)</td>
<td>0.44 (0.21-0.83)</td>
<td>0.07 (0-0.23)</td>
<td>-0.37 (-0.44, -0.21)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Longest apnea without desaturation, seconds (n=79)</td>
<td>4.2 (3.3-5.5)</td>
<td>5.7 (3.4-6.7)</td>
<td>1.5 (-0.7- 2.6)</td>
<td>0.258</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent fall in O₂ saturation/second, % (n=194)</td>
<td>1.6 (1.1-2.1)</td>
<td>0.9 (0.73-1.1)</td>
<td>-0.7 (-0.5-0.9)</td>
<td>0.023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lowest O₂ saturation, % (n=274)</td>
<td>87 (83-91)</td>
<td>93 (91-95)</td>
<td>6 (3-8)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* T-test with unequal variance or Wilcoxon test
**Table E3.** Tidal breathing measurements in BPD infants with and without post-discharge respiratory disease. Abbreviations: RIP, respiratory inductance plethysmography; Tpef/Te, ratio of time to peak expiratory flow over total expiratory time; %RCi, percent contribution of rib cage expansion to inspiratory tidal volume.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tidal breathing measurements</th>
<th>NO post-discharge respiratory disease mean ± SD or median (IQR)</th>
<th>post-discharge respiratory disease mean ± SD or median (IQR)</th>
<th>Mean/Median Difference or OR (95%CI)</th>
<th>p-value **</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>RIP (n=166)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phase angle, degree</td>
<td>75.2 (43.3)</td>
<td>83.4 (46.7)</td>
<td>8.2 (-8.2, 24.7)</td>
<td>0.325</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respiratory rate, breaths per minute</td>
<td>61.2 (8.2)</td>
<td>61.0 (9.3)</td>
<td>-0.2 (-4.0, -3.5)</td>
<td>0.904</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tpef/Te</td>
<td>0.49 (0.03)</td>
<td>0.49 (0.04)</td>
<td>0.00 (-0.02, 0.01)</td>
<td>0.939</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%RCi</td>
<td>0.16 (0.26)</td>
<td>0.15 (0.33)</td>
<td>-0.01 (-0.12, 0.10)</td>
<td>0.870</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sleep Oximetry (RA only)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of desaturations≥4% per second (n=35)</td>
<td>0.52 (0.34-0.40)</td>
<td>0.67 (0.39-0.80)</td>
<td>0.15 (-0.08, 0.13)</td>
<td>0.946</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Longest apnea, second (n=6)</td>
<td>3.3 (3.3-3.3)</td>
<td>3.1 (2.3-3.2)</td>
<td>-0.2 (-1.0, 0.4)</td>
<td>0.667</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent fall in O2 saturation/second, % (n=29)</td>
<td>1.60 (1.0-2.0)</td>
<td>1.40 (1.08-2.13)</td>
<td>-0.20 (-0.1, 0.3)</td>
<td>0.954</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lowest SpO2, % (n=35)</td>
<td>85.0 (83.3-88.0)</td>
<td>87.0 (82.0-88.0)</td>
<td>2 (-2, 2)</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*95% C.I.s of Median differences were obtained through bootstrap.
**T-test with equal variance or Wilcoxon Test
Table E4. Association between RMS components (Hospitalization, Symptom, Medication, and Oxygen Use) and Tidal breathing data obtained near the time of discharge in infants. Shown as mean differences in each RIP/OWS measure (p-value) between two severity levels of each component. Abbreviations: RIP, respiratory inductance plethysmography; Tpef/Te, ratio of time to peak expiratory flow over total expiratory time; %RCi, percent contribution of rib cage expansion to inspiratory tidal volume.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tidal breathing measurements</th>
<th>Hospitalization</th>
<th>Symptom</th>
<th>Medication</th>
<th>Technology</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RIP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phase Angle, degree</td>
<td>1.115 (0.257)</td>
<td>0.0005 (0.467)</td>
<td>-0.006 (0.353)</td>
<td>0.080 (0.680)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tpef/Te</td>
<td>0.372 (0.736)</td>
<td>0.0003 (0.637)</td>
<td>0.002 (0.745)</td>
<td>0.014 (0.948)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%RCi</td>
<td>1.309 (0.359)</td>
<td>-0.0001 (0.894)</td>
<td>-0.003 (0.730)</td>
<td>-0.133 (0.638)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respiratory rate, breaths per minute</td>
<td>1.098 (0.445)</td>
<td>-0.0005 (0.624)</td>
<td>-0.003 (0.663)</td>
<td>-0.165 (0.563)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Sleep Oximetry                       |                 |         |            |            |
| Number of desaturations >=4% per second | 1.777 (0.646)   | 0.005 (0.053) | -0.028 (0.242) | 0.801 (0.300) |
| Longest apnea, second                | -2.730 (0.131)  | -0.002 (0.241) | 0.026 (0.023) | -0.730 (0.050) |
| Percent fall in O₂ saturation/second, %  | 3.359 (0.381)   | 0.003 (0.155) | -0.028 (0.250) | 1.430 (0.053) |
| Lowest SpO₂, %                       | -0.367 (0.162)  | -0.0005 (0.004) | 0.002 (0.136) | -0.085 (0.105) |
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