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Abstract. We identify the Taylor coefficients of the transfer matrices corresponding to quantum toroidal algebras with the elliptic local and non-local integrals of motion introduced by Kojima, Shiraishi, Watanabe, and one of the authors.

That allows us to prove the Litvinov conjectures on the Intermediate Long Wave model.

We also discuss the \((\mathfrak{gl}_m, \mathfrak{gl}_n)\) duality of XXZ models in quantum toroidal setting and the implications for the quantum KdV model. In particular, we conjecture that the spectrum of non-local integrals of motion of Bazhanov, Lukyanov, and Zamolodchikov is described by Gaudin Bethe ansatz equations associated to affine \(\mathfrak{sl}_2\).

1. Introduction

The quantum KdV (qKdV) model was introduced in [SY], [EY]. It is described by a remarkable commutative subalgebra of local Hamiltonians (local integrals of motion) in the completion of the universal enveloping algebra of the Virasoro algebra. The first nontrivial local Hamiltonian is \(L^2_0 + 2 \sum_{k>0} L_{-k} L_k\), where the \(L_k\) are the standard Virasoro generators. The local Hamiltonians act on highest weight Virasoro modules, and the problem is to diagonalize these linear operators.

The study of qKdV and similar models received a new boost after the groundbreaking series of papers [BLZ]- [BLZ4]. Apart from many other results, it was suggested that the spectrum of the model is described by the condition of the triviality of the monodromy of certain differential operators. Then the absence of monodromy is readily translated to a Bethe ansatz type equation.

In an attempt to understand the situation, it was conjectured in [L] that the algebra of local Hamiltonians can be naturally deformed at the expense of adding an extra Heisenberg algebra. The resulting Hamiltonians are called Intermediate Long Wave (ILW) Hamiltonians. They are quantization of a one-parameter family of integrable systems interpolating between the KdV and the Benjamin-Ono hierarchies. The ILW Hamiltonians depend on a deformation parameter \(\tau\), see (6.3) for the first nontrivial ILW Hamiltonian. In the conformal limit \(\tau \rightarrow 0\) the system splits and the quantum qKdV Hamiltonians are recovered. It was conjectured in [L] that the spectrum of ILW Hamiltonians is described by solutions of another, different looking Bethe ansatz equation, see (6.4).

The present work is an outcome of an attempt to understand the Bethe ansatz answers predicted for the spectrum of the qKdV and ILW models. Our starting point is the Bethe ansatz for the XXZ model associated to quantum toroidal \(\mathfrak{gl}_1\) algebra, denoted by \(\mathcal{E}_1\), which was developed in [FJMM1] and [FJMM2].
The ILW model corresponds to the case of a tensor product of two Fock representations of $\mathcal{E}_1$. Using the results of [FT], we write the Taylor coefficients of $\mathcal{E}_1$ transfer matrices as certain integrals of products of generating currents, see Proposition 3.3. We use the vertex operator realization of the $\mathcal{E}_1$ action on the Fock space, see [S], [STU], Section 2.3. It turns out that in the tensor product of two Fock spaces, the Taylor coefficients of $\mathcal{E}_1$ transfer matrices are equivalent to the elliptic local integrals of motion of [FKSW], see Corollary 4.2.

In the picture, we have parameters $q_1, q_2$ of $\mathcal{E}_1$, the twisting parameter of the transfer matrix $p$, the ratio of evaluation parameters of two Fock spaces $u_1/u_2$. The scaling limit $q_2 \to 1, q_1 \to 1$ with $-\log q_1 / \log q_2 = r$ is fixed, is the Yangian limit. We observe that in this limit, the first nontrivial coefficient of $\mathcal{E}_1$ transfer matrix gives rise to the ILW Hamiltonian (6.3). The parameters $r$ and $u_1/u_2$ are related respectively to the central charge and the highest weight of the Virasoro module, and $p$ is related to the deformation parameter $\tau$, see Lemma 6.1.

By [FJMM1], [FJMM2], the spectrum of $\mathcal{E}_1$ transfer matrices is described by Bethe ansatz equations, see Proposition 5.1. We show that the Yangian limit of $\mathcal{E}_1$ Bethe ansatz equations (5.1) coincides with the ILW Bethe ansatz equations of [L], see Corollary 6.3. Thus we prove the conjectures of [L].

The qKdV model has another remarkable set of commuting Hamiltonians, called non-local integrals of motion in [BLZ]. The non-local integrals of motion commute with local Hamiltonians and therefore have the same eigenvectors. In this paper we propose a Bethe ansatz answer for the spectrum of non-local integrals of motion.

We identify the tensor product of two $\mathcal{E}_1$ Fock spaces with a subspace of fixed momentum in a single Fock space of quantum toroidal $\mathfrak{gl}_2$ algebra, denoted $\mathcal{E}_2$. For $\mathcal{E}_2$, we have parameters $q_1^\vee = q_2 p^{-1/2}$, $q_2^\vee = q_2$, the twisting parameters of the transfer matrices $p_1^\vee = q_1^{-1} q_2^{-1}$ and $p_2^\vee = u_2/u_1$.

Similarly to the case of $\mathcal{E}_1$, we express the Taylor coefficients of $\mathcal{E}_2$ transfer matrices as certain integrals of products of generating currents, see Proposition 3.4, and observe that on Fock modules these integrals coincide with the elliptic non-local integrals of motion of [FKSW], see Corollary 4.3.

Then, remarkably, the $\mathcal{E}_1$ transfer matrices commute with $\mathcal{E}_2$ transfer matrices. This can be shown by a direct computation involving the simplest non-trivial Taylor coefficients. It also follows from the identifications with [FKSW] where the commutation of all local and non-local integrals of motion was explicitly checked.

We call this phenomenon the $(\mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_2)$ duality of XXZ models.

We observe a similar $(\mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_n)$ duality of XXZ models, cf. [FKSW1], [KS], and conjecture that there exists a $(\mathcal{E}_m, \mathcal{E}_n)$ duality for all $m, n$, see Section 7.2. This can be viewed as a quantum toroidal version of the ($\mathfrak{gl}_m, \mathfrak{gl}_n$) duality of Gaudin systems, see [MTV]. In the affine setting, the new feature is the exchange of the twisting parameter corresponding to the null root with the parameter in the relations of the dual algebra. We are planning to describe the $(\mathcal{E}_m, \mathcal{E}_n)$ duality in detail in a future publication.

We expect that Bethe ansatz for $\mathcal{E}_n$ algebra can be established along the lines of [FJMM1], [FJMM2]. The conjectural answer for $\mathcal{E}_2$ Bethe ansatz is given in Conjecture 5.4. Then we study the ILW and conformal limits of the $\mathcal{E}_2$ Bethe equations. The ILW limit of the Bethe equations looks new, see Lemma 7.2. In the conformal limit, the $\mathcal{E}_2$ Bethe ansatz equations turn into the well-known Gaudin Bethe ansatz equations associated to affine $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ acting on...
a tensor product of a Verma module with the basic level one module, see Lemma 7.3. The multiplicity space of this tensor product which contains the tensor product of highest weight vectors is naturally identified with the Virasoro Verma module with central charge and highest weight matching those of qKdV. Thus, we expect that the \( \hat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2 \) Gaudin equations describe the spectrum of non-local integrals of motion of \([BLZ]\). We conjecture the precise formula for the first nontrivial non-local integral, see (7.6).

Thus at the present moment, for the spectrum of qKdV we have 3 sets of Bethe equations: double Yangian \( \mathfrak{gl} \) (with \( \tau = 0 \)) equations as in \([L]\), \( \hat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2 \) Gaudin equations, and the original equations of \([BLZ]\). Only the first set is proved (one still needs to study the completeness). The first and the third are related to local integrals of motion and the second one to non-local integrals of motion. It would be interesting to find a direct bijection between the solutions of the three systems.

The text is organized as follows. After setting up the notation in Section 2, we write explicit formulas for Taylor coefficients of transfer matrices in Section 3. In Section 4 we compare these formulas with elliptic local and non-local integrals of motion. In Section 5 we recall Bethe ansatz for \( \mathcal{E}_1 \) and prove some technical results about the convergence of the Taylor coefficients of \( \mathcal{E}_1 \) transfer matrices. We also describe the conjectural answer for \( \mathcal{E}_2 \) Bethe ansatz. In Section 6 we take the ILW limit and prove the Litvinov conjectures. We discuss the quantum toroidal \((\mathfrak{gl}_m, \mathfrak{gl}_n)\) duality of XXZ models and its consequences in Section 7. In the Appendix we prove an identity which is used in the proof of convergence of the Taylor coefficients of transfer matrices.

2. Notation

In this section we set up our notation.

2.1. Quantum toroidal \( \mathfrak{gl}_n \). Throughout the text we fix \( q, d \in \mathbb{C}^\times \) and set \( q_1 = q^{-1}d, q_2 = q^2, q_3 = q^{-1}d^{-1} \) so that \( q_1 q_2 q_3 = 1 \). We assume that \( q_i^k q_j^l q_m^m = 1 \) (\( k, l, m \in \mathbb{Z} \)) implies \( k = l = m \). Let \( n \) be a positive integer. We denote by \((a_{i,j})_{i,j \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}}\) the Cartan matrix of type \( A_{n-1}^{(1)} \) (for \( n = 1 \) we set \( a_{0,0} = 0 \)), and by \((m_{i,j})_{i,j \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}}\) the skew symmetric matrix whose only non-zero entries are \( m_{i+1,i} = \pm 1 \).

We denote by \( \mathcal{E}_n \) the quantum toroidal algebra of type \( \mathfrak{gl}_n \). Algebra \( \mathcal{E}_n \) is generated by \( E_{i,k}, F_{i,k}, H_{i,r} \) and invertible elements \( K_i, C, C^\perp, D, D^\perp \), where \( i \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}, k \in \mathbb{Z}, r \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\} \). Introduce the generating series \( E_i(z) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} E_{i,k} z^{-k}, F_i(z) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} F_{i,k} z^{-k}, K^\pm_i(z) = K^{\pm}_{i, \pm}(z), K^\perp_i(z) = \exp(\pm (q - q^{-1}) \sum_{r > 0} H_{i, \pm r} z^{\pm r}) \). Then the defining relations read as follows.

\[
C, \ C^\perp \text{ are central}, \quad C^\perp = K_0 K_1 \cdots K_{n-1},
\]

\[
DE_i(z)D^{-1} = E_i(qz), \quad DF_i(z)D^{-1} = F_i(qz), \quad DK^{\pm}_i(z)D^{-1} = K^{\mp}_i(qz), \quad D^{\perp} E_i(z)(D^{\perp})^{-1} = q^{-\delta_{i,0}} E_i(z), \quad D^{\perp} F_i(z)(D^{\perp})^{-1} = q^{\delta_{i,0}} F_i(z), \quad D^{\perp} K^{\pm}_i(z)(D^{\perp})^{-1} = K^{\mp}_i(z),
\]
In addition there are Serre relations. Since they will not be used in this paper, we omit them.

\[ K_i^\pm(z)K_j^\pm(w) = K_j^\pm(w)K_i^\pm(z), \]
\[ g_{i,j}(C^{-1}z, w)K_i^-(z)K_j^+(w) = g_{j,i}(w, C^{-1}z)K_j^+(w)K_i^-(z), \]
\[ d_{i,j}g_{i,j}(z, w)K_i^+(C^{-(1+1/2)}z)E_j(w) + g_{j,i}(w, z)E_j(w)K_i^+(C^{-(1+1/2)}z) = 0, \]
\[ d_{j,i}g_{j,i}(w, z)K_i^+(C^{-(1+1/2)}z)F_j(w) + g_{i,j}(z, w)F_j(w)K_i^+(C^{-(1+1/2)}z) = 0, \]
\[ [E_i(z), F_j(w)] = \frac{\delta_{i,j}}{q - q^{-1}}(\delta(C^\frac{w}{z})K_i^+(w) - \delta(C^\frac{z}{w})K_i^-(z)), \]
\[ d_{i,j}g_{i,j}(z, w)E_i(z)E_j(w) + g_{j,i}(w, z)E_j(w)E_i(z) = 0, \]
\[ d_{j,i}g_{j,i}(w, z)F_i(z)F_j(w) + g_{i,j}(z, w)F_j(w)F_i(z) = 0. \]

Here we set

\[ n \geq 3: \quad g_{i,j}(z, w) = \begin{cases} 
  z - q_1w & (i \equiv j - 1), \\
  z - q_2w & (i \equiv j), \\
  z - q_3w & (i \equiv j + 1), \\
  z - w & (i \not\equiv j, j \pm 1), 
\end{cases} \]

\[ n = 2: \quad g_{i,j}(z, w) = \begin{cases} 
  z - q_2w & (i \equiv j), \\
  (z - q_1w)(z - q_3w) & (i \not\equiv j), 
\end{cases} \]

\[ n = 1: \quad g_{0,0}(z, w) = (z - q_1w)(z - q_2w)(z - q_3w), \]

and \( d_{i,j} = d^{+1} \) \((i \equiv j \equiv 1, n \geq 3), = -1 \) \((i \not\equiv j, n = 2), = 1 \) (otherwise).

We have

\[ K_iE_j(z)K_i^{-1} = q^{a_{i,j}}E_j(z), \quad K_iF_j(z)K_i^{-1} = q^{-a_{i,j}}F_j(z). \]

For \( r \neq 0, \)

\[ [H_{i,r}, E_j(z)] = a_{i,j}(r)C^{-(r+|r|)/2}z^rE_j(z), \]
\[ [H_{i,r}, F_j(z)] = -a_{i,j}(r)C^{-(r-|r|)/2}z^rF_j(z), \]
\[ [H_{i,r}, H_{j,s}] = \delta_{r+s,0}a_{i,j}(r)\frac{C^r - C^{-r}}{q - q^{-1}}, \]

where \([x] = (q^x - q^{-x})/(q - q^{-1})\) and

\[ a_{i,j}(r) = \frac{1}{r} \times \begin{cases} 
  [ra_{i,j}]d^{-rm_{i,j}} & (n \geq 3), \\
  [2r]\delta_{i,j} - [r](d^r + d^{-r})(1 - \delta_{i,j}) & (n = 2), \\
  -\frac{1}{q-q^{-1}}(1 - q_1^r)(1 - q_2^r)(1 - q_3^r) & (n = 1). 
\end{cases} \]

In addition there are Serre relations. Since they will not be used in this paper, we omit them referring the reader e.g. to [FJMM].

Hereafter we shall drop the suffix 0 in the case \( n = 1 \) and write e.g. \( E(z) \).
2.2. Coproduct and $R$ matrix. We shall use an automorphism $\theta$ of $\mathcal{E}_n$, which interchanges the vertical and horizontal subalgebras $\mathfrak{M}_i$, $\mathfrak{M}_i^\perp$. We have $\theta(E_{i,0}) = E_{i,0}$, $\theta(F_{i,0}) = F_{i,0}$, $\theta(K_i) = K_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$ and $\theta(C) = C^\perp$, $\theta(C^\perp) = C^{-1}$, $\theta(D) = D^\perp$, $\theta(D^\perp) = D^{-1}$.

Quite generally, we write $x^\perp = \theta(x)$ for an element $x \in \mathcal{E}_n$. We shall work mainly with $E_1^\perp(z), F_1^\perp(z), K_i^{\pm\perp}(z)$ which we call vertical currents. We refer to $E_i(z)$, $F_i(z)$, $K_i^\pm(z)$ as horizontal currents.

Remark. We change the convention of [LJMM2], where $x^\perp$ was used to mean $\theta^{-1}(x)$.

From now on, we drop $D$ from $\mathcal{E}_n$ and pass to the quotient by the relation $C = 1$. We denote the quotient algebra by the same letter $\mathcal{E}_n$.

Algebra $\mathcal{E}_n$ is equipped with a topological Hopf algebra structure $\Delta, \varepsilon, S$ given by
\[
\Delta E_i^\perp(z) = E_i^\perp(z) \otimes 1 + K_i^{\perp\perp}(z) \otimes E_i^\perp(C_1^\perp z),
\]
\[
\Delta F_i^\perp(z) = F_i^\perp(C_2^\perp z) \otimes K_i^{\perp\perp}(z) + 1 \otimes F_i^\perp(z),
\]
\[
\Delta K_i^{\pm\perp}(z) = K_i^{\perp\perp}(C_2^\perp z) \otimes K_i^{\perp\perp}(z),
\]
\[
\Delta K_i^{\perp\perp}(z) = K_i^{\perp\perp}(z) \otimes K_i^{\perp\perp}(C_1^\perp z),
\]
\[
\varepsilon(E_i^\perp(z)) = 0, \quad \varepsilon(F_i^\perp(z)) = 0, \quad \varepsilon(K_i^{\pm\perp}(z)) = 1,
\]
\[
S(E_i^\perp(z)) = -K_i^{\perp\perp}((C^\perp)^{-1} z)^{-1} E_i^\perp((C^\perp)^{-1} z),
\]
\[
S(F_i^\perp(z)) = -F_i^\perp((C^\perp)^{-1} z) K_i^{\perp\perp}((C^\perp)^{-1} z)^{-1},
\]
\[
S(K_i^{\pm\perp}(z)) = K_i^{\perp\perp}((C^\perp)^{-1} z)^{-1}.
\]
Here $C_1^\perp = C^\perp \otimes 1$ and $C_2^\perp = 1 \otimes C^\perp$. For $x = C^\perp, D^\perp$, we set $\Delta x = x \otimes x$, $\varepsilon(x) = 1$, $S(x) = x^{-1}$.

Let $\mathcal{B}^\perp$ (resp. $\overline{\mathcal{B}}^\perp$) be the Hopf subalgebra of $\mathcal{E}_n$ generated by $E_{i,k}^\perp$, $H_{i,r}^\perp$ and $K_i^{\pm 1}, (C^\perp)^{\pm 1}, (D^\perp)^{\pm 1}$ (resp. $F_{i,k}^\perp$, $H_{i,r}^\perp$ and $K_i^{\pm 1}, (C^\perp)^{\pm 1}, (D^\perp)^{\pm 1}$) where $i \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $r > 0$.

There exists a non-degenerate Hopf pairing, see [N1], $\langle , \rangle : \mathcal{B}^\perp \times \overline{\mathcal{B}}^\perp \to \mathbb{C}$ such that
\[
\langle E_j^\perp(z), F_i^\perp(w) \rangle = \frac{1}{q - q^{-1}} \delta_{i,j} \delta(z/w),
\]
\[
\langle H_{j-r}^\perp, H_{i,s}^\perp \rangle = \frac{1}{q - q^{-1}} a_{i,j}(r) \delta_{r,s},
\]
\[
\langle K_j^{\perp\perp}, K_i^{\perp\perp} \rangle = q^{-a_{i,j}}, \quad \langle C^\perp, D^\perp \rangle = \langle D^\perp, C^\perp \rangle = q^{-1}.
\]
The quotient of the Drinfeld double of $\mathcal{B}^\perp$ by the relation $x \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes x = 0$ for $x = K_i^\perp, C^\perp, D^\perp$ is isomorphic to the Hopf algebra $\mathcal{E}_n$.

Denote by $N^\perp$ (resp. $\overline{N}^\perp$) the subalgebra generated by $E_{i,k}^\perp$ (resp. $F_{i,k}^\perp$), $i \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Set further $(\tilde{a}_{i,j}(r))_{0 \leq i, j \leq n-1} = (a_{i,j}(r))_{0 \leq i, j \leq n-1}^{-1}$. Then the universal $R$ matrix of $\mathcal{E}_n$ has the form $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}_1 \mathcal{R}_0 q^{t^2}$, where
\[
\mathcal{R}_1 = 1 + (q - q^{-1}) \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} E_{i,k}^\perp \otimes F_{i,-k}^\perp + \cdots
\]
Denote by $C^n_{\alpha}$ symbols $e_i$. We set \( \bar{c} \) currents. We recall the formulas below.

The module $|\emptyset\rangle$ terms of the horizontal currents, it is characterized as the irreducible cyclic module generated

To describe $t_\infty$, introduce elements $H_{i,0}, e^\perp, d^\perp$ by setting $K^\perp_i = q^{H_{i,0}} (1 \leq i \leq n - 1)$, $C = q^{\perp}$, $D = q^{d^\perp}$. Then

$$t_\infty = -e^\perp \otimes d^\perp - d^\perp \otimes e^\perp + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \bar{\Lambda}_i \otimes H_{i,0},$$

where $\bar{\Lambda}_i = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \bar{a}_{i,j} H_{j,0}, (\bar{a}_{i,j})_{1 \leq i,j \leq n-1} = (a_{i,j})^{-1}_{1 \leq i,j \leq n-1}$.

### 2.3. Fock module.

The most basic $E_n$ modules are the Fock modules $F_\nu(u)$ ($\nu \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$). In terms of the horizontal currents, it is characterized as the irreducible cyclic module generated by a vector $|\emptyset\rangle$, such that $E_i(z)|\emptyset\rangle = 0$ for $i \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ and

$$K_i^\pm(z)|\emptyset\rangle = \begin{cases} q^{\frac{1 - q^{-1}u/z}{1 - u/z}}|\emptyset\rangle & (i = \nu), \\ |\emptyset\rangle & (i \neq \nu). \end{cases}$$

The module $F_\nu(u)$ has a basis labeled by partitions, which is an eigenbasis for $K_i^\pm(z)$ with explicit eigenvalues. Moreover, the action of $E_i(z)$ and $F_i(z)$ is explicitly given by formulas similar to the Pieri rule, see [FJMM2].

Alternatively $F_\nu(u)$ has a realization by vertex operators $[S]_i, [STU]$ in terms of vertical currents. We recall the formulas below.

First assume $n \geq 3$. Let $\bar{\alpha}_i, \bar{\Lambda}_i (1 \leq i \leq n - 1)$ be the simple roots and the fundamental weights of $\mathfrak{s}_n$, $\bar{P} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n-1} \mathbb{Z}\bar{\alpha}_i, \bar{Q} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n-1} \mathbb{Z}\bar{\alpha}_i$, and $(, )$ the standard symmetric bilinear form. We set $\bar{\alpha}_0 = -\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \bar{\alpha}_i, \bar{\Lambda}_0 = 0$. Introduce the twisted group algebra $\mathbb{C}\{\bar{P}\}$ generated by the symbols $e^{\pm\bar{\alpha}_2}, \ldots, e^{\pm\bar{\alpha}_{n-1}}, e^{\pm\bar{\Lambda}_n-1}$ with the defining relations

$$e^{\bar{\alpha}_i} e^{\bar{\alpha}_j} = (-1)^{(\bar{\alpha}_i, \bar{\alpha}_j)} e^{\bar{\alpha}_j} e^{\bar{\alpha}_i}, \quad e^{\bar{\alpha}_i} e^{\bar{\Lambda}_n-1} = (-1)^{(\bar{\alpha}_i, \bar{\Lambda}_n-1)} e^{\bar{\Lambda}_n-1} e^{\bar{\alpha}_i}.$$ 

For $\beta = \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} m_i \bar{\alpha}_i + m_n \bar{\Lambda}_n-1$ we set

$$e^{\beta} = (e^{\bar{\alpha}_2})^{m_2} \cdots (e^{\bar{\alpha}_{n-1}})^{m_{n-1}} (e^{\bar{\Lambda}_n-1})^{m_n}.$$ 

Denote by $\mathbb{C}\{\bar{Q}\}$ the subalgebra generated by $e^{\bar{\alpha}_i}$ ($1 \leq i \leq n - 1$).

Set $\mathcal{H} = \mathbb{C}[H^\perp_{i,-m} | m > 0, 0 \leq i \leq n - 1]$. For each $\nu$ the Fock module $F_\nu(u)$ is realized on $\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathbb{C}\{\bar{Q}\} e^{\bar{\Lambda}_\nu}$. For $v \otimes e^\beta \in \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathbb{C}\{\bar{Q}\} e^{\bar{\Lambda}_\nu}$, $\beta = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} m_k \bar{\alpha}_k + \bar{\Lambda}_\nu$ and $0 \leq i \leq n - 1$, define

$$z^{H_{i,0}}(v \otimes e^\beta) = z^{(\bar{\alpha}_i, \beta)} d^\perp \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (\bar{\alpha}_i, m_k \bar{\alpha}_k) m_k (v \otimes e^\beta),$$

$$\partial_{\bar{\alpha}_i}(v \otimes e^\beta) = (\bar{\alpha}_i, \beta)(v \otimes e^\beta),$$

$$d^\perp (v \otimes e^\beta) = \left( \deg v + \frac{(\beta, \beta)}{2} - \frac{\bar{\Lambda}_\nu, \bar{\Lambda}_\nu}{2} \right) (v \otimes e^\beta),$$

where if $v = H^\perp_{i_1,-k_1} \cdots H^\perp_{i_N,-k_N} \in \mathcal{H}$ then $\deg v = \sum_{j=1}^{N} k_j$. 

The action of the generators are given as follows.

\[ E_{i}^\perp(z) \mapsto c_i^* \exp\left(\sum_{r>0} \frac{q^{-r}}{r} H_{i,-r}^\perp z^r\right) \exp\left(-\sum_{r>0} \frac{1}{r} H_{i,r}^\perp z^{-r}\right) \otimes e^{\bar{\alpha}_i} z^{H_{i,0}+1}, \]
\[ F_{i}^\perp(z) \mapsto c_i \exp\left(-\sum_{r>0} \frac{1}{r} H_{i,-r}^\perp z^r\right) \exp\left(\sum_{r>0} \frac{q^{-r}}{r} H_{i,r}^\perp z^{-r}\right) \otimes e^{-\bar{\alpha}_i} z^{-H_{i,0}+1}, \]
\[ K_{i}^{\pm,\perp}(z) \mapsto \exp\left(\pm(q - q^{-1}) \sum_{r>0} H_{i,\pm r}^\perp z^{\mp r}\right) \otimes q^{\pm\bar{\alpha}_i}, \]
\[ C^\perp \mapsto q, \quad D^\perp \mapsto q^d. \]

The parameters \( c_i \) are related to the spectral parameter \( u \) by

\[ c_0 c_1 \cdots c_{n-1} = (-1)^{n(n+1)/2+1} q^{-1} d^{n/2+1} (n-2) \delta_{\nu,0} u, \quad c_i^* c_i = 1. \]

The same formulas apply for \( n = 1, 2 \); the only changes are that \( C\{\bar{P}\} \) is replaced by the ordinary group algebra for \( n = 2 \), and that it is absent for \( n = 1 \). The spectral parameter reads \( c_0 c_1 = q_1 u, \quad c_i^* c_i = 1 \) for \( n = 2 \), and \( c_0^* = ((1 - q_1)(1 - q_3)u)^{-1}, \quad c_0 = q^{-1} u \) for \( n = 1 \).

We shall deal with tensor products \( W \) of various Fock spaces. We say that a vector \( w \in W \) has principal degree \( N \) and weight \( \beta \in \bar{P} \) if \( N = n N_0 - (\bar{\Lambda}_1 + \cdots + \bar{\Lambda}_{n-1}, \beta), \quad q^{d^\perp} w = q^{N_0} w, \) and \( K_i w = q^{(\bar{\alpha}_i, \beta)} w, \quad i = 0, \ldots, n-1, \)

3. INTEGRALS OF MOTION

3.1. Transfer matrix. Let \( \bar{p}, \bar{p}_1, \ldots, \bar{p}_{n-1} \) be formal variables. We call these variables twisting variables. The transfer matrices associated with Fock representations are the following weighted traces of the universal \( R \) matrix:

\[ T_{\nu}(u) = \text{Tr}_{\nu(u),1} \left( (\bar{p}^d)_{1} \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \bar{p}_i^{-\bar{\Lambda}_i} \mathcal{R}_{12}^{-1} \right) q^{d^\perp}, \]
\[ T^*_\nu(u) = \text{Tr}_{\nu(u),1} \left( (\bar{p}^d)_{1} \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \bar{p}_i^{-\bar{\Lambda}_i} \mathcal{R}_{21}^{-1} \right) q^{-d^\perp}. \]

Here the trace is taken in the first tensor component, and \( \mathcal{R}_{21} = \sigma(\mathcal{R}), \quad \sigma(a \otimes b) = b \otimes a. \) Up to an overall power, \( T_{\nu}(u) \) (resp. \( T^*_\nu(u) \)) are formal power series in \( u^{-1} \) (resp. \( u \)), whose coefficients are formal power series in \( \bar{p}. \) Each coefficient of \( u^{\pm 1} \) is a well defined operator on appropriate modules, including, in particular, various tensor products of Fock modules. Thanks to the Yang-Baxter equation, these series commute with each other:

\[ [T_{\mu}(u), T_{\nu}(v)] = [T^*_\mu(u), T^*_\nu(v)] = [T^*_\nu(u), T^*_\mu(v)] = 0 \quad (\forall \mu, \nu, u, v). \]

Below we present explicit formulas for the Taylor coefficients in \( u^{\pm 1} \) of these transfer matrices. More specifically, let

\[ (3.1) \quad p = \bar{p} q^{-c^\perp}, \quad p^* = \bar{p} q^{c^\perp}, \]
and introduce the dressed currents
\begin{align}
\hat{F}_i^ \perp (z) &= F_i^ \perp (z) \tilde{K}_i^ + \perp (z)^{-1}, \quad \hat{K}_i^ + \perp (z) = \prod_{\ell=0}^\infty \tilde{K}_i^ + \perp (p^{-\ell} z), \\
\hat{E}_i^ \perp (z) &= \tilde{K}_i^ - \perp (z)^{-1} E_i^ \perp (z), \quad \hat{K}_i^ - \perp (z) = \prod_{\ell=0}^\infty \tilde{K}_i^ - \perp ((p^*)^{\ell} z).
\end{align}

We will show that the Taylor coefficients are integrals of products of the dressed currents, with kernel functions written in terms of infinite products
\[(z_1, \ldots, z_l; p)_\infty = \prod_{j=1}^{l} \prod_{k \geq 0} (1 - p^k z_j), \quad \Theta_p(z) = (z, p z^{-1}, p; p)_\infty.
\]
Thus, the Taylor coefficients of $T_\nu^*(u)$ (resp. $T_\nu(u)$) in $u^{-1}$ (resp. $u$) are convergent series in $p$.

For the sake of concreteness, we shall restrict the discussion to the case of $\mathcal{E}_1$ and $\mathcal{E}_2$. Extension to general $\mathcal{E}_n$ is straightforward.

### 3.2. Shuffle algebra

The calculation of the transfer matrix is essentially done in [FT] using the language of the shuffle algebra. We first recall the result of [FT] in the simplest case of $\mathcal{E}_1$.

The shuffle algebra is a graded algebra $Sh = \bigoplus_{k=0}^\infty Sh_k$ defined as follows. Each graded component $Sh_k$ consists of symmetric rational functions in $k$ variables of the form
\[G(x_1, \ldots, x_k) = \frac{g(x_1, \ldots, x_k)}{\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} (x_i - x_j)^2}, \quad g(x_1, \ldots, x_k) \in \mathbb{C}[x_1^{\pm 1}, \ldots, x_k^{\pm 1}] S_k,
\]
satisfying the so-called wheel condition
\[g(x_1, \ldots, x_k) = 0 \quad \text{if} \quad (x_1, x_2, x_3) = (x, q_1 x, q_1 q_2 x) \text{ or } (x, q_2 x, q_1 q_2 x).
\]
For $G_1 \in Sh_k$ and $G_2 \in Sh_l$ the multiplication $*$ is defined by
\[(G_1 * G_2)(x_1, \ldots, x_{k+l}) = \text{Sym} \left[ G_1(x_1, \ldots, x_k) G_2(x_{k+1}, \ldots, x_{k+l}) \prod_{1 \leq i \leq k \leq 3} \prod_{1 \leq j \leq l} (x_{k+j} - q_s x_i) \right],
\]
where
\[\text{Sym} \ G(x_1, \ldots, x_N) = \frac{1}{N!} \sum_{\pi \in S_N} G(x_{\pi(1)}, \ldots, x_{\pi(N)}).
\]

Denote by $N^\perp$ the subalgebra of $\mathcal{E}_1$ generated by $\{E_i^ \perp \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. It is known, see [N], that $Sh$ is generated by the subspace $Sh_1$ of degree one, and that there is an isomorphism of algebras $\tau : N^\perp \simeq Sh$ such that
\[\tau(E_i^ \perp) = x^i \in Sh_1, \quad i \in \mathbb{Z}.
\]

One can introduce further an extended shuffle algebra $Sh^\perp$ by adjoining formal elements $H_r^\perp (r > 0), q^{-1}, q^{1r}$ to $Sh$, in such a way that the map $\tau$ extends to an isomorphism $\tau : B^\perp \simeq Sh^\perp$. See [N] for the details.
Consider a linear functional $\phi: \mathcal{B}^+ \to \mathbb{C}[[\bar{p}]]$ given by $\phi(Y) = \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{T}(u)}(\bar{p}^d Y)$. Applying $\phi$ to the second component of the $R$ matrix, we obtain
\begin{equation}
X = (\text{id} \otimes \phi)\mathcal{R} = \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{T}(u),2}(\bar{p}^d \mathcal{R}_{12}) \in \mathcal{B}^+[[\bar{p}, u]].
\end{equation}
Since $\mathcal{R}$ is the canonical element, $X$ is uniquely characterized by the property $\langle X, Y \rangle = \phi(Y)$ for all $Y \in \mathcal{B}^+$. By computing both sides for a spanning set of elements in $\mathcal{B}^+$, the following result is obtained in [FT].

**Proposition 3.1.** The element $\tau(X) \in \text{Sh}^2[[\bar{p}, u]]$ corresponding to (3.1) is given by
\begin{equation}
\sum_{N=0}^{\infty} (q^{-1}w)^N (q - q^{-1})^N 1/\text{N!} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} \frac{(x_i - q_2 x_j)(x_i - q_2^{-1} x_j)}{(x_i - x_j)^2} \times \prod_{1 \leq i, j \leq N} (px_j/x_i, pq_2 x_j/x_i; p) / (pq_1^{-1} x_j/x_i, pq_3^{-1} x_j/x_i; p) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{N} K^{-1} (x_i) \cdot q^{-d}.
\end{equation}
where $p$ is given by (3.1) and $K^{-1}(z) = \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} K^{-1}(p^i z)$. In the above expression, the terms should be ordered so that all elements $H^+_{x_i}$ are placed to the right of all $x_i$'s.

3.3. **Transfer matrix for $\mathcal{E}_1$.** In order to write down the transfer matrix we need to rewrite Proposition 3.1 as operators acting on modules. We say that an $\mathcal{E}_1$ module $V$ has property (R) if an arbitrary matrix element of a product of currents $E^+(z)E^+(w)$ converges to a rational function of the form
\begin{equation}
\langle v^* | E^+(z)E^+(w) | v \rangle = \frac{p_{v^*, v}(z, w)}{(z - q_1 w)(z - q_2 w)(z - q_3 w)},
\end{equation}
where $|v\rangle \in V$, $\langle v^* | \in V^*$, and $p_{v^*, v}(z, w)$ is a Laurent polynomial. Tensor products of Fock modules have property (R). From the quadratic relation of currents, it follows that on such modules the currents satisfy the commutation relation
\begin{equation}
E^+(z)E^+(w) = E^+(w)E^+(z) \cdot \prod_{s=1}^{3} \frac{1 - q_s^{-1} w/z}{1 - q_s w/z}
\end{equation}
in the sense of matrix elements.

Below we shall use the symbols for ordered products
\begin{align*}
\prod_{1 \leq i \leq N} A_i &= A_1 A_2 \cdots A_N, & \prod_{1 \leq i \leq N} A_i &= A_N A_{N-1} \cdots A_1.
\end{align*}

**Lemma 3.2.** Let $G \in \text{Sh}_1$ be an element of the shuffle algebra. Then the corresponding element $\tau^{-1}(G) \in \mathcal{B}^+$ acts on modules with property (R) by the formula
\begin{equation}
\int \cdots \int \prod_{1 \leq i \leq N} E^+(x_i) \cdot G(x_1, \ldots, x_N) \prod_{1 \leq i, j \leq N} \frac{x_j - x_i}{x_j - q_s x_i} \prod_{i=1}^{N} \frac{dx_i}{2\pi \sqrt{-1} x_i}
\end{equation}
in the sense of matrix elements. Here the integral is taken over a symmetric cycle such that $q_s x_j$ is inside and $q_s^{-1} x_j$ is outside of the contour for $x_i$ for each $i \neq j$ and $s = 1, 2, 3$. 
Proof. It suffices to show that if

\[ G(x_1, \ldots, x_N) = x_1^{m_1} \cdots x_N^{m_N} = \text{Sym} \left( x_1^{m_1} \cdots x_N^{m_N} \prod_{i<j}^{3} \frac{x_j - q_s x_i}{x_j - x_i} \right) \]

then the integral reduces to \( \tau^{-1}(G) = E_{m_1}^\perp \cdots E_{m_N}^\perp \). Due to (3.6) the integrand becomes

\[ \frac{1}{N!} \sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_N} \prod_{1 \leq i \leq N} E^\perp_{\pi(i)} \cdot x_{\pi(1)}^{m_1} \cdots x_{\pi(N)}^{m_N} \prod_{i<j}^{3} \frac{x_i - q_s x_j}{x_i - q_s^{-1} x_j} \]

\[ = \frac{1}{N!} \sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_N} \prod_{1 \leq i \leq N} E^\perp_{\pi(i)} \cdot x_{\pi(1)}^{m_1} \cdots x_{\pi(N)}^{m_N} \cdot \]

Since the contour is symmetric, all integrals reduce to the case \( \pi = \text{id} \). In that case the contour can be changed to circles satisfying \( |x_1| \gg \cdots \gg |x_N| \), because matrix elements of \( \prod_{1 \leq i \leq N} E^\perp_{\pi(i)} \) have poles only at \( x_i = q_s x_j \) \((i < j)\). The assertion follows from this. \( \square \)

Now we apply Lemma 3.2 to Proposition 3.1 noting that

\[ T^*(u) = \text{Tr}_{R(u)} \left( (\hat{p}^{d^1})_1 R_{21} \right) = S \left( \text{Tr}_{R(u)} \left( (\hat{p}^{d^1})_2 R_{12} \right) \right) \].

By using

\[ E^\perp(z) K^{-\perp}(w) = \prod_{s=1}^{3} \frac{w - q_s^{-1} z}{w - q_s z} \cdot K^{-\perp}(w) E^\perp(z) \],

and moving the product of \( \tilde{K}^{-\perp}(x_i) \)'s in (3.3), we can rewrite the integrand in terms of the dressed currents (3.3). In the resulting integrand the poles \( x_i = q_2 x_j \) are canceled, so that only the poles \( x_i = q_1 x_j, q_3 x_j \) need to be taken into account. Proceeding similarly also for \( T(u) \), we arrive at the following. Here and after we set \( \Theta_p(z_1, \ldots, z_k) = \prod_{j=1}^{k} \Theta_p(z_j) \).

Proposition 3.3. As operators on modules with property (R) we have

\[ T(u) = T_{R(u),1} \left( (\hat{p}^{d^1})_1 R_{12} \right) q^{d^2} = \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} (q^{-1} u)^{-N} c_N I_N , \]

where

\[ I_N = \int \cdots \int \prod_{1 \leq i \leq N} \tilde{F}^\perp_{\pi(i)} \cdot \prod_{i<j}^{3} \Theta_p(x_j/x_i, q_2 x_j/x_i) \cdot \Theta_p(q_1^{-1} x_j/x_i, q_3 x_j/x_i) \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{N} \frac{dx_j}{2\pi \sqrt{-1 x_j}} , \]

\[ c_N = \frac{1}{N!} \left( \frac{(p, q_3 q_1^2 p)_{\infty}}{(q_1, q_3; p)_{\infty}} \right)^N . \]

The contour of integration is taken as \( |x_1| = \cdots = |x_N| = 1 \) when \( |q_1|, |q_3| < 1 \), and by analytic continuation in the general case.
Similarly we have

\[ T^*(u) = \text{Tr}_{F(u),1} \left( (p^{d^1})_1 R_{21}^{-1} \right) q^{-d^1} = \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} (q^{-1}u)^N c_N^* \cdot I_N^*, \]

where

\[ I_N^* = \int \cdots \int \prod_{1 \leq i \leq N} \hat{E}_{i}(x_i) \cdot \prod_{i<j} \frac{\Theta_{p^*}(q_1^{-1}x_j/x_i, q_2 x_j/x_i)}{\Theta_{p^*}(q_1^{-1}x_j/x_i, q_3 x_j/x_i)} \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{N} \frac{dx_j}{2\pi \sqrt{-1} x_j}, \]

\[ c_N^* = \frac{1}{N!} \frac{(q^{-1} - q)^N}{(p^*; p^*)_{\infty}} \left( \frac{(p^*, q_2 p^*; p^*)_{\infty}}{(q_1^{-1} p^*, q_3^{-1} p^*; p^*)_{\infty}} \right)^N. \]

The contour of integration is taken as \(|x_1| = \cdots = |x_N| = 1\) when \(|q_1|, |q_3| > 1\), and by analytic continuation in the general case.

3.4. Transfer matrix for \(E_2\). For the quantum toroidal \(\mathfrak{g}_n\) algebra \(E_2\) with \(n \geq 2\), the trace functional has been calculated in [FT] in terms of an appropriate version of the shuffle algebra. The corresponding formulas for the transfer matrices can be extracted from there in a similar manner. Since the argument is the same as in the previous case, we omit the details and state only the final result for \(E_2\).

We use the theta functions

\[ \vartheta_\nu(z, p) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z} + \nu/2} p^{n^2} z^{2n}, \quad \nu = 0, 1. \]

Proposition 3.4. As operators on modules with property \((R)\), the following formulas hold.

\[ T_\nu(u) = \text{Tr}_{F_\nu(u),1} \left( (p^{d^1})_1 R_{12} \right) q^{-d^1} = \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} (q^{-1}u)^N c_N G_{\nu,N}, \]

\[ G_{\nu,N} = \int \cdots \int \prod_{1 \leq i \leq N} \hat{F}_{i}(x_0,i) \prod_{1 \leq i \leq N} \hat{F}_{1}(x_{1,i}) \cdot \prod_{t=0,1} \prod_{i<j} \Theta_{p}(x_{t,j}/x_{t,i}, q_2 x_{t,j}/x_{t,i}) \cdot \prod_{t=0,1} \prod_{i<j} \Theta_{p}(g_1^{-1} x_{t,j}/x_{0,i}, q_3^{-1} x_{t,j}/x_{0,i}) \]

\[ \times \prod_{i=1}^{N} (x_{0,i}, x_{1,i})^{N-2i+1} \left( \prod_{i=1}^{N} \frac{x_{1,i}}{x_{0,i}} \right) p^{-\nu/4} \vartheta_\nu \left( \frac{1/2}{p_1^1} q^{-H_{t,0}/2} \prod_{i=1}^{N} \frac{x_{1,i}}{x_{0,i}}, p \right) \prod_{1 \leq i \leq N} \frac{dx_{t,j}}{2\pi \sqrt{-1} x_{t,j}}, \]

\[ c_N = \frac{1}{N!^2} (q - q^{-1})^{2N(p;p)_{\infty}^{2N-2}(p, q_2^{-1} p; p)_{\infty}^{2N}}. \]

The contour of integration is taken as \(|x_{t,j}| = 1\) when \(|q_1|, |q_3| < 1\), and by analytic continuation in the general case.
Similarly we have
\[ T_v^*(u) = Tr_r(v(u), 1) \left( \left( \bar{p}^{d-1} - p^{d-1} \right)_1 R_{21}^{-1} \right) q^{-d-1} = \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} (q_1 u)^N c_N^* \cdot G_{v,N}^*, \]
\[ G_{v,N}^* = \int \cdots \int \prod_{1 \leq i \leq N} \hat{E}^+_l(x_{1,i}) \prod_{1 \leq i \leq N} \hat{E}^+_0(x_{0,i}) \cdot \prod_{i=0,1} \prod_{i<j} \Theta_{p}(q_1^{-1} x_{1,i}/x_{1,j}) \cdot \prod_{i=0,1} \prod_{i<j} \Theta_{p}(q_1^{-1} x_{0,i}/x_{0,j}) \times \prod_{i=1}^{N} (x_{0,i} x_{1,i})^{N-2t+1} \left( \prod_{i=1}^{N} x_{1,i} \overline{x}_{0,i} \right) \left( p^* \right)^{-v/4} \vartheta_{\nu} \left( \bar{P}_1^{1/2} q^{H_{1,0}/2} w/z, q_1^{-1} w/z, q_3^{-1} x_{1,i}/x_{0,i} \right) \prod_{i=1}^{N} x_{1,i} \overline{x}_{0,i} p^* \prod_{i=1}^{N} p^* \right) \prod_{i=1}^{N} \frac{dx_{1,i}}{2\pi \sqrt{-1} x_{1,i}}, \]
\[ c_N^* = \frac{1}{N!^2} (q - q^{-1})^{2N} (p^* p_{\infty}^*)^{2N-2} (p^* q_1 q_3^{-1} p^* p_{\infty}^*)^{2N}. \]

The contour of integration is taken as \(|x_{1,j}| = 1\) when \(|q_1|, |q_3| > 1\), and by analytic continuation in the general case.

Example. The first non-trivial coefficient reads:
\[ p^{v/4} G_{v,1} = \int \int \hat{F}^+_0(z) \hat{F}^+_1(w) \vartheta_{\nu} \left( \bar{P}_1^{1/2} q^{H_{1,0}/2} w/z, q_1^{-1} w/z, q_3^{-1} x_{1,i}/x_{0,i} \right) \frac{dw}{2\pi \sqrt{-1} w} \frac{dz}{2\pi \sqrt{-1} z}. \]

4. Comparison with \[\text{FKSW}\]

In this section, we compare the results of the previous section with the integrals of motion of \[\text{FKSW}\], see Corollaries 4.2 4.3

4.1. Integrals of motion of \[\text{FKSW}\]. First we review the results of \[\text{FKSW}\].

We fix parameters \(r, s\) and \(x\) with \(0 < x < 1\). Let \(\beta^i_m, \beta^i_{-m} (m \in \mathbb{Z}\{0\})\) be oscillators satisfying
\[ [\beta^i_m, \beta^j_{m}] = \delta_{m+n,0} \frac{m [(r-1)m] [(s-1)m]}{[rm] [sm]}, \]
\[ [\beta^i_{m}, \beta^j_{-m}] = -\delta_{m+n,0} \frac{m [(r-1)m] [m]}{[rm] [sm]} x^{m \cdot \text{sgn}(i-j)} \quad (i \neq j). \]

Let further \(P, Q\) be zero mode operators such that \([P, \sqrt{-1} Q] = 2\). Consider the bosonic Fock spaces \(\mathcal{F}_{l,k}\) \((l, k \in \mathbb{Z})\) generated by \(\beta^i_{-m}\) \((i = 1, 2, m > 0)\) over the vacuum state \(|l, k\rangle\) such that
\[ |l, k\rangle = e^{l \sqrt{r \cdot k \sqrt{r}} - k \sqrt{r} \cdot \sqrt{r} \cdot Q} |0, 0\rangle, \]
\[ P|l, k\rangle = \left( l \sqrt{r \cdot k \cdot r} - k \sqrt{r - 1} \right) |l, k\rangle. \]

We set \(\hat{\pi} = \sqrt{r(r-1)} P\).

The current of the deformed Virasoro algebra (DVA) acts on each \(\mathcal{F}_{l,k}\) by
\[(4.1) \quad T_1(z) = x^{-\hat{\pi}} : \exp \left( \sum_{m \neq 0} \beta^1_m z^{-m} \right) : + x^\hat{\pi} : \exp \left( \sum_{m \neq 0} \beta^2_m z^{-m} \right) :, \]
where \(\beta^i_m = (x^m - x^{-m}) \beta^i_m / m\).
The screening currents $F_i(z)$ act on $\mathcal{F} = \oplus_{l,k} \mathcal{F}_{l,k}$. They are given by

$$F_0(z) = e^{-\sqrt{-1} \sqrt{-1} Q_z \frac{z_2}{\pi} + \frac{r_1}{r}} \exp \left( \sum_{m \neq 0} \frac{1}{m} (-x^{sm} \beta_m^1 + x^{-sm} \beta_m^2) \right),$$

$$F_1(z) = e^{\sqrt{-1} \sqrt{-1} Q_z \frac{z_2}{\pi} + \frac{r_1}{r}} \exp \left( \sum_{m \neq 0} \frac{1}{m} (\beta_m^1 - \beta_m^2) \right).$$

In [FKSW], two families of operators $\{I_N\}_{N \geq 1}$, $\{G_N\}_{N \geq 1}$ are introduced. Both operators act on each $\mathcal{F}_{l,k}$. They are so designed that in the conformal limit $x \to 1$ they tend to the local and non-local integrals of motion of [BLZ], respectively. For that reason, $\{I_N\}_{N \geq 1}$ are called “local” integrals of motion and $\{G_N\}_{N \geq 1}$ “non-local” integrals of motion in [FKSW], even though both are non-local expressions as we shall see below. To distinguish from [BLZ] we call $\{I_N\}_{N \geq 1}$, $\{G_N\}_{N \geq 1}$ elliptic local integrals of motion and elliptic non-local integrals of motion respectively.

Assume $0 < r < 1$, $0 < s < 2$. We use

$$[u]_t = x^{\frac{1}{2} - u} \frac{\Theta_{x^{2u}}(x^{2u})}{(x^{2t}; x^{2u})_\infty} \quad (t = r, s).$$

The elliptic local integrals of motion are defined in terms of the DVA currents

$$I_N = \int \cdots \int \prod_{j=1}^N \frac{dz_j}{2\pi \sqrt{-1} z_j} \prod_{1 \leq i \leq N} T_1(z_j) \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} \frac{[u_j - u_i]_s[u_j - u_i + r]_s}{[u_j - u_i + 1]_s[u_j - u_i + r - 1]_s}.$$ 

Here $z_j = x^{2u_j}$. The contours encircle the origin in such a way that the poles $z_j = x^{-2+2sl}z_k$, $x^{-2(r-1)+2sl}z_k$ ($l = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$) are inside and $z_j = x^{-2sl}z_k$, $x^{-2(r-1)-2sl}z_k$ ($l = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$) are outside, for all pairs $j < k$.

The elliptic non-local integrals of motion are defined using the screening currents. They also depend on the choice of a function $\vartheta(u)$, which is an entire function satisfying

$$\vartheta(u + r) = \vartheta(u), \quad \vartheta(u + r \tau) = e^{-2\pi \sqrt{-1} r + 2\pi \sqrt{-1} \frac{r}{r}} \vartheta(u),$$

where $\tau$ is related to $x$ by $x^{2r} = e^{-2\pi \sqrt{-1}/r}$. The linear space of such functions is two dimensional, and is spanned by

$$\vartheta_\nu(u) = x^{2u_\nu} - \frac{\pi}{r} \vartheta_\nu(x^{2u_\nu}, x^{2r})$$

where $\vartheta_\nu(z, p)$ is given in (3.9). Exhibiting the dependence on $\vartheta_\nu(u)$, we have

$$G_{\nu,N} = \int \cdots \int \prod_{j=1}^N \frac{dz_j}{2\pi \sqrt{-1} z_j} \prod_{j=1}^N \frac{dw_j}{2\pi \sqrt{-1} w_j} \prod_{1 \leq i \leq N} T_1(z_j) \prod_{1 \leq i \leq N} F_0(w_i)$$

$$\times \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} \frac{[u_j - u_i]_s[u_j - u_i + 1]_s[v_j - v_i]_r[v_j - v_i + 1]_r}{[v_j - u_i - s/2]_r[v_j - u_i + s/2 - 1]_r} \vartheta_\nu \left( \sum_{j=1}^N (u_j - v_j) \right),$$

where $z_j = x^{2u_j}$, $w_j = x^{2v_j}$. The contours are the unit circle $|z| = 1$.

The following commutativity is established in [FKSW], [KS] by a direct calculation.

**Proposition 4.1.** The operators $I_m$, $G_{\nu,m}$ mutually commute:

$$[k, I_m] = [G_{\mu,k}, G_{\nu,m}] = [k, G_{\nu,m}] = 0 \quad (\forall k, m > 0, \mu, \nu).$$
4.2. Elliptic local integrals of motion and $\mathcal{E}_1$. Consider the tensor product of $\mathcal{E}_1$ Fock modules $\mathcal{F}(u_1) \otimes \mathcal{F}(u_2)$. The action of the dressed current $\hat{F} \perp(z)$ reads

\[
\Delta \hat{F} \perp(z) = q^{-1}u_1 : \exp\left(\sum_{m \neq 0} B_m^1 z^{-m}\right) : + q^{-1}u_2 : \exp\left(\sum_{m \neq 0} B_m^2 z^{-m}\right) : ,
\]

where $p = \bar{p}q^{-2}$ and

\[
B_m^1 = -\frac{q^m}{m} H_m^\perp \otimes 1 , \quad B_m^1 = \frac{1 - p^m q^{2m} q^{-2m}}{1 - p^m} H_m^\perp \otimes 1 - \frac{(q - q^{-1})p^m}{1 - p^m} 1 \otimes H_m^\perp ,
\]

\[
B_m^2 = -\frac{1}{m} 1 \otimes H_m^\perp , \quad B_m^2 = -\frac{(q - q^{-1})q^{-m}}{1 - p^m} H_m^\perp \otimes 1 + \frac{1 - p^m q^{2m} q^{-m}}{1 - p^m} 1 \otimes H_m^\perp ,
\]

for $m > 0$. They satisfy the relations

\[
[B_m^i, B_{-m}^i] = -\frac{1}{m} \frac{1 - p^m q^{2m}}{1 - p^m} (1 - q^m_1)(1 - q^m_3) ,
\]

\[
[B_m^i, B_{-m}^j] = -\frac{1}{m} \frac{1}{1 - p^m} \prod_{s=1}^{3} (1 - q^m_s) \times \begin{cases} 1, & (i = 1, j = 2), \\ p^m, & (i = 2, j = 1). \end{cases}
\]

On the other hand, the oscillators $\tilde{\beta}^i_m$ entering (4.1) satisfy

\[
[\tilde{\beta}^i_m, \tilde{\beta}^j_{-m}] = -\frac{1}{m} \frac{1 - x^{2s-1}}{1 - x^{2sm}} (1 - x^{2(r-1)m})(1 - x^{2rm}) ,
\]

\[
[\tilde{\beta}^i_{-m}, \tilde{\beta}^j_{-m}] = -\frac{1}{m} \frac{1 - x^{-2m}}{1 - x^{-2sm}} (1 - x^{2(r-1)m})(1 - x^{2rm}) \times \begin{cases} 1, & (i = 1, j = 2), \\ x^{2sm}, & (i = 2, j = 1). \end{cases}
\]

Fix $l, k$, and identify the vector space $\mathcal{F}_{l,k}$ with $\mathcal{E}_1$ module $\mathcal{F}(u_1) \otimes \mathcal{F}(u_2)$ by setting $B_m^i = \tilde{\beta}^3_{-m} - i$ and

\[
q_1 = x^{2(1-r)} , \quad q_2 = x^{-2} , \quad q_3 = x^{2r} , \quad p = x^{2s} , \quad \frac{u_2}{u_1} = x^{-2\pi} .
\]

Note that $\pi$ acts in $\mathcal{F}_{l,k}$ as a scalar and, following [FKSW], we denote this scalar by the same letter $\pi$.

**Corollary 4.2.** With identification of parameters (4.5), the local integrals of motion $\{I_N\}$ defined in [FKSW], see (4.2), and coefficients $\{I_N\}$ of $\mathcal{E}_1$ transfer matrix, see (3.8), generate the same commutative algebra.

**Proof.** Under our identification up to a scalar multiple the formulas for the currents (4.1) and (4.4) match.

The kernel functions in the integrands of (3.8) and (4.2) are different, but both of them are generators of the same commutative subalgebra of a Feigin-Odesskii algebra [FO]. For more details see [FHHSY], Section 4. \qed
Following [FKSW] let us extract from $\Delta \hat{F}^\perp(z)$ the contribution of the diagonal Heisenberg algebra by setting

$$
\Delta \hat{F}^\perp(z) = T^{DV}(z) \Delta(Z(z)) ,
$$

$$
Z(z) = \exp( - \sum_{m > 0} \frac{H_m^\perp}{[2m]} z^m ) \exp( \sum_{m > 0} \frac{q^{-2m} - p^m q^{2m}}{1 - p^m} \frac{H_m^\perp}{[2m]} z^m ) .
$$

The reduced current is independent of $p$,

$$
T^{DV}(z) = x^{-\hat{s}} : \exp( \sum_{m \neq 0} (q z)^{-m} \tilde{H}_m^\perp ) : + x^{\hat{s}} : \exp( - \sum_{m \neq 0} (q^{-1} z)^{-m} \tilde{H}_m^\perp ) : ,
$$

and is written in terms of a single set of oscillators

$$
\tilde{H}_{-m}^\perp = q^m H_{-m}^\perp \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes H_{-m}^\perp ,
\tilde{H}_m^\perp = H_m^\perp \otimes 1 - q^{-m} 1 \otimes H_m^\perp
$$

which commute with the $\Delta H_m^\perp$’s. It is in the form (4.6) that the DVA current was originally introduced in [SKAO].

4.3. Elliptic non-local integrals of motion and $\mathcal{E}_2$. Choose $\mu \in \{0, 1\}$ and consider the Fock representation $\mathcal{F}_\mu(v)$ of $\mathcal{E}_2$.

Let

$$
q_1 = x^{2-s} , \quad q_2 = x^{-2} , \quad q_3 = x^s ,
$$

and match

$$
\frac{1}{[m]} H_{1,-m}^\perp = \frac{1}{m} (-x^{-s} \beta_{1,-m}^1 + x^s \beta_{2,-m}^2) , \quad \frac{1}{[m]} \frac{[(r - 1)m]}{[rm]} H_{1,m}^\perp = \frac{1}{m} (-x^s \beta_{1,m}^1 + x^{-s} \beta_{2,m}^2) ,
$$

$$
\frac{1}{[m]} H_{0,-m}^\perp = \frac{1}{m} (\beta_{1,-m}^1 - \beta_{2,-m}^2) , \quad \frac{1}{[m]} \frac{[(r - 1)m]}{[rm]} H_{0,m}^\perp = \frac{1}{m} (\beta_{1,m}^1 - \beta_{2,m}^2) .
$$

We choose any $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then $G_{\nu,N}$ preserve $\mathcal{F}_{k,l}$ and $G_{\nu,N}$ preserve the space $\mathcal{F}_{\mu,k}(u) := \mathcal{H} \otimes e^{(k+\mu/2)\hat{a}_1}$. The above identification of oscillators identifies $\mathcal{F}_{k,l}$ with $\mathcal{F}_{\mu,k}(u)$ as vector spaces.

We also set:

$$
p = x^{2r} , \quad \bar{p}_1 q^{-H_{1,0}} = x^{-2\hat{s}} .
$$

Then we obtain the following statement.

**Corollary 4.3.** Under identification of parameters (4.7) and (4.8), the non-local integrals of motion of $G_{\nu,N}$ defined in [FKSW] and acting in $\mathcal{F}_{k,l}$, see (4.3), and the coefficients $G_{\nu,N}$ of the $\mathcal{E}_2$ transfer matrix, acting in $\mathcal{F}_{\mu,k}(u)$, see (3.11), coincide.

**Proof.** Note that currents $F_i^\perp(z)$ and $F_{1-i}(z)$ have the same oscillator part. The corollary follows.

In particular, it follows that the non-local integrals $G_{\nu,N}$’s commute because the transfer matrices commute. This fact was checked in [FKSW] by a direct computation.
5. Bethe Ansatz

5.1. Bethe ansatz for $\mathcal{E}_1$. Bethe ansatz for the $\mathcal{E}_1$ transfer matrices was studied in [FJMM1], [FJMM2]. We consider the transfer matrix $T(u)$ given in (3.7) acting on a tensor product of Fock spaces

$$W = \mathcal{F}(v_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{F}(v_M).$$

We denote $P$ the set of all partitions. Each partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_{\ell})$, $\lambda_1 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_{\ell} > 0$, is represented also as a set of points on the plane $Y_\lambda = \{(i, j) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \mid 1 \leq i \leq \ell, 1 \leq j \leq \lambda_i\}$. If $(i, j) \in Y_\lambda$ we say that the node $(i, j)$ belongs to $\lambda$ and write $(i, j) \in \lambda$.

The following result is obtained in [FJMM2].

Proposition 5.1. For each eigenvector $w \in W$ of $T(u)$ of principal degree $N$, there exists a polynomial $Q(u) = \prod_{i=1}^N (1-t_i/u)$ in $u^{-1}$ such that the following hold. Set

$$a(u) = p \prod_{j=1}^M \frac{1-v_j/u}{1-q_2^{-1}v_j/u} \prod_{s=1}^3 \frac{Q(q_su)}{Q(q_s^{-1}u)}, \quad p = \bar{p}q^{-M}.$$  

Then the zeroes $\{t_i\}$ of $Q(u)$ satisfy the Bethe ansatz equation

$$(5.1) \quad p \prod_{j=1}^M \frac{t_i - v_j}{t_i - q_2^{-1}v_j} \prod_{j=1}^N \frac{(q_1 t_i - t_j)(q_2 t_i - t_j)(q_3 t_i - t_j)}{(q_1^{-1} t_i - t_j)(q_2^{-1} t_i - t_j)(q_3^{-1} t_i - t_j)} = -1, \quad i = 1, \ldots, N.$$

Denoting the corresponding eigenvalue of $T(u)$ by the same letter, we have

$$(5.2) \quad T(u) = \varphi(u) \frac{Q(q_2^{-1}u)}{Q(u)} \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}} \prod_{\square \in \lambda} a(q^{-\square}u),$$

$$\varphi(u) = \prod_{j=1}^M \exp \left( \sum_{r>0} \frac{1}{r} \frac{1-q_2'^{-r}}{(1-q_1')(1-q_3'^{-r})} \left(\frac{v_j}{u}\right)^r \right).$$

Here $\lambda$ runs over all partitions, $\square = (a, b)$ runs over the nodes of $\lambda$ and $q^\square = q_3'^{-a} q_1'^{-b} - 1$.

By taking coefficients of $u^{-\ell}$ in (5.2) the eigenvalues of the elliptic local integrals of motion can be expressed in terms of symmetric polynomials of the Bethe roots $\{t_j\}$. Namely, set

$$w_\ell = \frac{\kappa_\ell}{r} \left( \sum_{j=1}^N t_j^r - \frac{q_3'^r q_1'^r}{(1-q_3'^r)(1-q_1'^r)} \sum_{k=1}^M v_k^r \right), \quad \kappa_\ell = \prod_{s=1}^3 (1-q_s'^{r}).$$

Corollary 5.2. Notation being as above, the elliptic local integral of motion (3.8) has the eigenvalue

$$q^\ell c_\ell I_\ell = \sum_\alpha C_\alpha \prod_{r\geq 1} \frac{w_r^{\alpha_r}}{\alpha_r!},$$

where the sum extends over all $\alpha = (1^{a_1}2^{a_2} \cdots)$ such that $\alpha_r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, $\sum_{r \geq 1} r \alpha_r = \ell$. The coefficients $C_\alpha$ are given by

$$C_\alpha = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}} p^{[\lambda]} \prod_{r \geq 1} z_r(\lambda)^{\alpha_r}, \quad z_r(\lambda) = \frac{1}{(1-q_3'^r)(1-q_1'^r)} - \sum_{(a,b) \in \lambda} q_3'^{(a-1)r} q_1'^{(b-1)r}.$$
It turns out that the leading coefficient factorizes (see the identity in Proposition [A.1]):

\[(5.3) \quad C_\ell = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}} p^{|\lambda|} z_\ell(\lambda) = \frac{(pq_1^\ell q_3^\ell p)_{\infty}}{(q_3^{\ell}, q_1^{\ell}; p)_{\infty}}.\]

As a result, we obtain the following technical statement.

Let \( R = \max(1, |q_1|, |q_3|). \)

**Proposition 5.3.** For all \( \ell \), the coefficients of \( u^{-\ell} \) of series \( T(u) \) and \( Q(u) \) are convergent series of \( p \) with radius of convergence at least \( R^{-2\ell} \).

For generic \( p, v_1, \ldots, v_M \), the operator \( I_1 \) acting in \( W \) is diagonalizable and has a simple spectrum.

**Proof.** The coefficients of \( u^{-\ell} \) of series \( T(u) \) are given by explicit formulas involving vertex operators (see \([3.8]\) for \( M = 2 \) and in \([FJMM2]\) for general \( M \), and are convergent in \( |p| < R^{-2} \). By Corollary 5.2, the corresponding eigenvalues

\[ \sum_a C_a \prod_{r \geq 1} \frac{w_{r \lambda}}{\alpha_r !} = C_\ell w_\ell + (\text{terms with } w_j, j < \ell) \]

are holomorphic in \( |p| < R^{-2} \). It is easy to show the following estimate

\[ \prod_{r \geq 1} |z_r(\lambda)|^{\alpha_r} \leq K(|\lambda| R^{|\lambda|})^{2\ell} \quad (\sum_{r \geq 1} r \alpha_r = \ell) \]

with some constant \( K > 0 \). Hence the coefficients \( C_a \) are convergent in \( |p| < 1/R^{2\ell} \). Moreover, due to \( (5.3) \) the leading coefficient \( C_\ell \) does not vanish there. Therefore, by induction on \( \ell \), all \( w_\ell \) are convergent power series of \( p \). This implies that each coefficient of \( u^{-\ell} \) in the eigenvalue \( Q(u) \) is a convergent power series in \( p \).

For \( p = 0 \) the operator \( I_1 \) becomes \( H_1 \) which is diagonalizable and has simple spectrum, if \( v_i \) are generic. Therefore, the same is true generically.

The spectrum of the other transfer matrix \( T^*(u) \) can be described by Bethe ansatz method in a similar way.

### 5.2. Bethe ansatz for \( \mathcal{E}_2 \).

In \([FJMM2]\), the Bethe ansatz \((5.1)\) was derived by constructing auxiliary operators \( Q(u) \) and \( \mathcal{T}(u) \), which mutually commute and satisfy a two-term Baxter’s relation \( \mathcal{T}(u) = a(u) \prod_{s=1}^3 Q(q_s^{-1} u) + pd(u) \prod_{s=1}^3 Q(q_s u) \). Similarly to the transfer matrix \( T(u) \), these operators are defined as traces of \( \mathcal{R} \), but now over representations of a Borel subalgebra \( \mathcal{B}^\perp \) of \( \mathcal{E}_1 \). In particular, \( Q(u) \) corresponds to the so-called fundamental module \( M^+(u) \) characterized by highest weight \( K(z) v = (1-u/z)v \). The corresponding eigenvalues of the transfer matrix \( T(u) \) are then obtained by writing the \( q \)-character of \( \mathcal{T}(u) \) and substituting each term by appropriate ratios of \( Q(u) \).

Naturally we expect that, for all \( \mathcal{E}_n \) algebras, the same logic should persist for the description of transfer matrix eigenvalues. The resulting formulas are easy to guess. Let us formulate below the Bethe ansatz in the case of \( \mathcal{E}_2 \).

We consider \( T_\nu(u) \) in \((3.10)\) on a tensor product of Fock spaces

\[ W = \mathcal{F}_0(v_{0,1}) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{F}_0(v_{0,M_0}) \otimes \mathcal{F}_1(v_{1,1}) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{F}_1(v_{1,M_1}). \]
Conjecture 5.4. For each eigenvector \( w \in W \) of principal degree \( N_0 + N_1 \) and weight \((N_0 - N_1)\alpha_1\), there exist polynomials \( Q_0(u) = \prod_{i=1}^{N_0}(1 - s_i/u), \) \( Q_1(u) = \prod_{i=1}^{N_1}(1 - t_i/u) \) with the following properties. Set

\[
\begin{align*}
& a_0(u) = p_0 \prod_{l=1}^{M_0} \frac{1 - v_{0,l}/u}{1 - q_2^{-1}v_{0,l}/u} \cdot \frac{Q_0(q_2u)}{Q_0(q_2^{-1}u)} \frac{Q_1(q_3u)}{Q_1(q_3^{-1}u)} \frac{Q_1(q_1u)}{Q_1(q_1^{-1}u)}, \\
& a_1(u) = p_1 \prod_{l=1}^{M_1} \frac{1 - v_{1,l}/u}{1 - q_2^{-1}v_{1,l}/u} \cdot \frac{Q_1(q_2u)}{Q_1(q_2^{-1}u)} \frac{Q_0(q_3u)}{Q_0(q_3^{-1}u)} \frac{Q_0(q_1u)}{Q_1(q_1^{-1}u)}, \\
& p_0 = \tilde{p}q_1^{-M_0}, \quad p_1 = \bar{p}q_1^{-M_1}.
\end{align*}
\]

Then the roots \( \{s_i\}, \{t_j\} \) satisfy the Bethe ansatz equations

\[
\begin{align*}
& (5.4) \quad p_0 \prod_{l=1}^{M_0} \frac{s_i - v_{0,l}}{s_i - q_2^{-1}v_{0,l}} \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{N_0} \frac{q_2s_i - s_j}{q_2^{-1}s_i - s_j} \prod_{k=1}^{N_1} (q_1s_i - t_k)(q_3s_i - t_k) = -1, \quad (1 \leq i \leq N_0), \\
& (5.5) \quad p_1 \prod_{l=1}^{M_1} \frac{t_i - v_{1,l}}{t_i - q_2^{-1}v_{1,l}} \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{N_1} \frac{q_2t_i - t_j}{q_2^{-1}t_i - t_j} \prod_{k=1}^{N_0} (q_1t_i - s_k)(q_3t_i - s_k) = -1, \quad (1 \leq i \leq N_1).
\end{align*}
\]

The corresponding eigenvalue of \( T_\nu(u) \) is given by

\[
T_\nu(u) = \varphi_\nu(u) \frac{Q_\nu(q_2^{-1}u)}{Q_\nu(u)} \sum_{\lambda \in \mathfrak{C}} \prod_{\square \in \lambda} a_{c_\nu(\square)}(q^{-\square}u).
\]

Here \( c_\nu(\square) \equiv a - b + \nu \pmod{2} \) stands for the color of a node \( \square = (a, b) \in \lambda \), and we set

\[
\begin{align*}
& \varphi_\nu(u) = \prod_{l=1}^{M_\nu} \Phi_{\nu,l}(v_{\nu,l}/u) \prod_{k=1}^{M_{1-\nu}} \Phi_{\nu,1-\nu}(v_{1-\nu,k}/u), \\
& \Phi_{\nu,\nu}(v) = \exp \left( \sum_{r>0} \frac{1}{r} \left( \frac{1 - q_2^{-r}}{1 - q_2^{-2r}} \right) \left( \frac{1 + q_2^{-r}}{1 - q_2^{-3r}} \right) v^r \right), \\
& \Phi_{\nu,1-\nu}(v) = \exp \left( \sum_{r>0} \frac{1}{r} \left( \frac{1 - q_2^{-r}}{1 - q_1^{-2r}} \right) \left( \frac{q_1^{-r} + q_3^{-r}}{1 - q_3^{-3r}} \right) v^r \right).
\end{align*}
\]

6. The Intermediate Long Wave Limit

In this section we study the limit \( q_1, q_2, q_3 \to 1 \), keeping \( r \) and \( p \) fixed. More specifically, with the identification \( (5.5) \) we set

\[
x^{2r} = e^h, \quad x^{2s} = e^{2r}, \quad \beta = \frac{r - 1}{r},
\]

and let \( h \to 0 \). Thus, \( x \to 1 \) and \( s \to \infty \) while \( r, \tau, \beta \) stay fixed. We call this limit Intermediate Long Wave (ILW) limit.
6.1. The limit of Hamiltonians. In the ILW limit the reduced DVA current \( T^{DV}(z) \) becomes
\[
T^{DV}(z) = 2 + \beta h^2 \left( \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} L_n z^{-n} + \frac{(1 - \beta)^2}{4\beta} \right) + O(h^4),
\]
where \( L_n \) are the generators of the Virasoro algebra with central charge and highest weight
\[
(6.1) \quad c = 1 - 6 \left( 1 - \frac{1-\beta}{\beta} \right), \quad \Delta = \frac{(1 - \beta)^2}{4\beta}(\pi^2 - 1).
\]

The Heisenberg part is a vertex operator, \( \Delta Z(z) =: \exp \left( \sum_{m \neq 0} \alpha_m z^{-m} \right) \), where
\[
[\alpha_m, \alpha_n] = \delta_{m+n, \frac{m}{2}} h^2 \left( 1 - m \coth(m\tau) \frac{1}{r} h + O(h^2) \right).
\]
Rescaling further as \( \alpha_m = \sqrt{\beta h \left( 1 + O(h^2) \right)} a_m \), \( \alpha_m \approx \sqrt{\beta h \left( 1 - m \coth(m\tau) h/r + O(h^2) \right)} a_m \)
\( (m > 0) \), we have \( [a_m, a_n] = \delta_{m+n, 0} m/2 \) and \( [a_m, L_n] = 0. \)
Combining these we obtain

**Lemma 6.1.** In the ILW limit, one obtains from the first non-trivial elliptic local integral of motion
\[
I_1 = 2 + \beta h^2 I_1 + \beta^{3/2} h^3 I_2 + O(h^4),
\]
with
\[
(6.2) \quad I_1 = L_0 + \frac{(1 - \beta)^2}{4\beta} + 2 \sum_{m > 0} a_{-m} a_m,
\]
\[
(6.3) \quad I_2 = \sum_{m \neq 0} L_{-m} a_m - \frac{2(1 - \beta)}{\sqrt{\beta}} \sum_{m > 0} m \coth(m\tau) a_{-m} a_m + \frac{1}{3} \sum_{k+l+m=0} a_k a_l a_m.
\]

\( \square \)

Operators \( (6.2), (6.3) \) are the first two members of the commuting family introduced and termed ILW hierarchy in [L].

The limit of other integrals is harder to compute, nevertheless the limit of the algebra generated by the local integrals of motion is a commutative algebra of the same size. Following [L], we expect that for generic values of parameters, the operator \( I_2 \) is diagonalizable and has simple spectrum. Therefore the higher Hamiltonians can be found by the condition of commuting with \( I_2 \). In particular, any eigenvector of \( I_2 \) is automatically an eigenvector of the whole commutative algebra.

6.2. The Bethe ansatz. We study the limit of the solutions of the Bethe ansatz equations \( (5.1) \) with \( M = 2 \) in the ILW limit.

We set \( \epsilon = h/r, v_i = 1 + \tilde{v}_i \epsilon + o(\epsilon) \).

**Proposition 6.2.** Let \( \tau \neq 0 \) and let \( t_i, i = 1, \ldots, N \) be a solution of \( (5.1) \). Then in the ILW limit we have the following asymptotics: \( t_i = 1 + t_i \epsilon + o(\epsilon), \) as \( \epsilon \to 0 \) for \( i = 1, \ldots, N \). Moreover,
the main terms \( \bar{t}_i \) satisfy the following system of equations:

\[
(6.4) \quad e^{2\tau} \prod_{s=1}^{2} \frac{\bar{t}_s - \bar{t}_i - 1}{\bar{t}_s - \bar{t}_i + 1} \prod_{j=1}^{N} \frac{\bar{t}_i - \bar{t}_j - 1}{\bar{t}_i - \bar{t}_j + 1} \frac{\bar{t}_i - \bar{t}_j + r}{\bar{t}_i - \bar{t}_j - r} \frac{\bar{t}_i - \bar{t}_j + r + 1}{\bar{t}_i - \bar{t}_j - r - 1} = -1,
\]

\( i = 1, \ldots, N \).

Proof. Suppose for some \( a \in \mathbb{C} \cup \{ \infty \}, a \neq 1 \), we have a nonempty set \( J \subset \{1, \ldots, N \} \) such that \( t_j = a + \epsilon v_j + o(\epsilon) \) as \( \epsilon \to 0 \) for \( j \in J \). Let us assume \( \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} t_j \neq a \) for \( j \not\in J \). Then substituting these asymptotics to the Bethe ansatz equations with \( j \in J \) and taking the main term we obtain the following equations for \( s_j \):

\[
e^{2\tau} \prod_{j \in J} \frac{s_i - s_j - a}{s_i - s_j + a} \frac{s_i - s_j + ra}{s_i - s_j - ra} \frac{s_i - (r - 1)a}{s_i - (r - 1)a} = -1.
\]

But this system of equations has no solutions. Indeed, multiplying all equations together we obtain \( e^{\lvert J \rvert \tau} = 1 \) which is a contradiction, since \( \tau \neq 0 \) and \( J \) is nonempty.

It follows that \( \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} t_i = 1 \) for all \( i \). The proposition follows. \( \square \)

Equation (6.4) coincides with (3.1) in \([L]\) with identification of parameters

\[
(6.5) \quad \sqrt{-1}b = \sqrt{\beta}, \quad P^2 = \frac{c - 1}{24} \pi^2, \quad \sqrt{-1}x_j = \frac{1 - \beta}{\sqrt{\beta}} t_j.
\]

We note that \( P \) in (6.5) originated in \([L]\) should not be confused with the zero mode operator \( P \) in Section 4.1 originated in \([FKSW]\).

From Corollary 5.2 and Proposition 6.2 we obtain a proof of a conjecture of \([L]\).

**Corollary 6.3.** For each eigenvector \( w \) of \( I_2 \) given by (6.3), there exists a solution \( \bar{t}_1, \ldots, \bar{t}_N \) of the equation (6.4) such that the eigenvalue of \( I_2 \) is \( \sqrt{\beta}^{-1} (1 - \beta) \sum_{j=1}^{N} \bar{t}_j \).

Proof. By Corollary 5.2 and formula (5.3), the corresponding eigenvalue of \( I_1 \) is given by

\[
I_1 = -q(1 - q_1)(1 - q_3) \sum_{j=1}^{N} t_j + q^{-1}(v_1 + v_2).
\]

We choose \( q^{-1}(v_1 + v_2) = 2 \). Recall that \( q_1 = e^{-(r-1)\epsilon}, q_2 = e^{-\epsilon}, q_3 = e^{\epsilon r} \) and \( \beta = (r - 1)/r \). In the limit \( \epsilon = h/r \to 0 \) we have \( t_j = 1 + \epsilon \bar{t}_j + \cdots \), so that the RHS becomes

\[
2 + 2\beta h^2 N + \beta^{3/2} h^3 \times \frac{1 - \beta}{\sqrt{\beta}} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \bar{t}_j + O(h^4).
\]

Comparing this with the expansion in Lemma 6.1 we obtain the stated result. \( \square \)

**7. Quantum toroidal \((\mathfrak{gl}_N, \mathfrak{gl}_M)\) duality of XXZ models**

We discuss the quantum toroidal analog of a duality known for Gaudin models, see \([MTV]\), \([MTV1]\).

\[\text{We believe that there is a sign error in the formula (3.2) of \([L]\) for the eigenvalue of \( I_2 \), namely, the formula } h_2^{(N)}(\tau, P) = -i \sum_{j=1}^{N} x_j \text{ must read } h_2^{(N)}(\tau, P) = i \sum_{j=1}^{N} x_j.\]
7.1. The \((\mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_n)\) duality. Consider an \(n\)-fold tensor product \(\mathcal{F}(u_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{F}(u_n)\) of Fock representations of algebra \(\mathcal{E}_1 = \mathcal{E}_1(q_1, q_2, q_3)\). At the same time consider the Fock representation \(\mathcal{F}_{\mu}^\vee(v)\) of \(\mathcal{E}_n = \mathcal{E}_n(q_1^\vee, q_2^\vee, q_3^\vee)\). We always assume \(q_2^\vee = q_2 = q^2\) while \(q_1, q_1^\vee\) and \(u_1, \ldots, u_n, v\) are arbitrary non-zero parameters.

We identify the \(\mathcal{F}(u_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{F}(u_n)\) with the “sector” \(\mathcal{F}_{\mu, \beta} = \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathbb{C}e^\beta \subset \mathcal{F}_{\mu}^\vee(u)\) of fixed \(\beta \in \hat{Q} + \hat{\Lambda}_\mu\) by identifying the respective generators of the Heisenberg algebras as follows.

Let \(H_{m,(i)}^\perp = 1 \otimes \cdots \otimes H_m^\perp \otimes \cdots \otimes 1\) be the Heisenberg generators for \(\mathcal{E}_1\) modules and \(H_{m,i}^\perp\) for the \(\mathcal{E}_n\) module.

For \(n \geq 3\) we set

\[
(q_3^m - q_3^{-m})H_{i,m} = (q_3^2 q_2^i - q_2^{-m} H_{-m}^\perp) (q_3^m - q_3^{-m})H_{i,m} = (q_3^2 q_2^i - q_2^{-m} H_{-m}^\perp) ,
\]

where \(m > 0\) and \(H_{m,0}^\perp\) is understood as \((q_3 q_2^2)^m H_{m,0}^\perp\). For \(n = 2\) we modify this as

\[
(q_3^m - q_3^{-m})H_{0,m}^\perp = q_2^m H_{-m}^\perp - H_{-m}^\perp , \quad (q_3^m - q_3^{-m})H_{1,m}^\perp = -q_3^m q_2^m H_{-m}^\perp + (q_3)^m H_{-m}^\perp ,
\]

and for \(n = 1\)

\[
H_{-m}^\perp \frac{1}{1 - (q_3^{-m})} = H_{-m}^\perp \frac{1}{1 - (q_1^{-m})} , \quad H_{m,i}^\perp \frac{1}{1 - (q_3^{-m})} = H_{m,i}^\perp \frac{1}{1 - (q_1^{-m})} .
\]

The Taylor coefficients \(\{I_N\}\) of \(\mathcal{E}_1\) transfer matrix depend on the parameters \(q_1, q_2, q_3\) of the algebra and the twisting parameter \(p = \bar{p}q_2^{-n/2}\). We also use \(p^* = \bar{p}q_2^{n/2}\) so that \((p^*)^{-1/n}p^{1/n}q_2 = 1\).

The Taylor coefficients \(\{G_{\nu,N}\}\) of \(\mathcal{E}_n\) transfer matrix act on each ‘sector’ \(\mathcal{F}_{\nu}^\vee(\mu,\beta)\). These coefficients depend on the parameters \(q_1^\vee, q_2^\vee, q_3^\vee\) of the algebra and the twisting parameters

\[
p^\vee = \bar{p}^\vee q , \quad p_1^\vee = \bar{p}_1^\vee q^{-\langle \alpha_1, \beta \rangle} , \quad \ldots , \quad p_{n-1}^\vee = \bar{p}_{n-1}^\vee q^{-\langle \alpha_{n-1}, \beta \rangle} .
\]

We also use \(p^{*\vee} = \bar{p}^\vee q_2\).

We match the two sets of parameters as follows.

\[
q_1^\vee = (p^*)^{-1/n} , \quad q_2^\vee = q_2 , \quad q_3^\vee = p^{1/n} , \quad p^\vee = q_3 , \quad p^{*\vee} = q_2^-1 ,
\]

\[
p_1^\vee = \frac{u_2}{u_1} , \quad \ldots , \quad p_{n-1}^\vee = \frac{u_n}{u_{n-1}} .
\]

The parameters \(v\) and \(\prod_{i=1}^n u_i\) are not essential for what follows and can be arbitrary.

The \((\mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_n)\) duality of XXZ systems is then the following statement.

**Theorem 7.1.** The \(\mathcal{E}_1(q_1, q_2, q_3)\) transfer matrices commute with the \(\mathcal{E}_n(q_1^\vee, q_2^\vee, q_3^\vee)\) transfer matrices on the sector \(\mathcal{F}_{\mu,\beta}^\vee\).
This is a re-interpretation of the commutativity between the elliptic local and non-local integrals of motion, established in [FKSW], [FKSW1], [KS] by direct computation. Note that to prove Theorem 7.1 it is sufficient to prove commutativity of the first non-trivial integrals only. Indeed, we know that \( E_n \) transfer matrices commute and that the \( E_1 \) transfer matrices commute. We also know that the spectrum of the \( E_1 \) integral \( I_1 \) is simple when acting on a generic tensor product of Fock representations. So, the computation is considerably simpler than in [FKSW], [FKSW1], [KS], where the commutativity of all integrals of motions was checked by a tedious direct computation.

Note also that in the non-affine versions of the duality, see for example, [MTV]-[MTV2], the twisting parameters of the model on one side correspond to the evaluation parameters on the other side. However, in the affine setting we observe a new feature. Namely, the twisting parameter corresponding to the null root is exchanged with the parameter of the dual algebra.

It should be stressed that the commutativity concerns only the integrals of motion; the quantum toroidal algebras \( E_1(q_1, q_2, q_3) \) and \( E_n(q_1^\vee, q_2^\vee, q_3^\vee) \) do not commute themselves. For instance, we have for \( n = 1 \)

\[
(w - z)(w - q_1^\vee q_3 z)(q_1 w - z)(q_3 w - z)F_1^1(\varnothing,z)F_1^1(w) = (z - w)(z - q_1 q_3^\vee w)(q_1^\vee z - w)(q_3 z - w)F_1^1(w)F_1^1(z).
\]

For \( n > 1 \) the relations are more complicated.

### 7.2. The general case.

In general we conjecture that the following is true.

Let \( m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1} \). Consider the \( nm \) bosons \( H_s^{(i,j)}, \ s \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}, \ i = 1, \ldots, n, \ j = 1, \ldots, m, \ [H_s^{(i,j)}, H_t^{(k,l)}] = s\delta_{ik}\delta_{jl}\delta_{s,-r} \). Let \( U_{m,n} = \mathbb{C}[H_s^{(i,j)}, \ s < 0]_{i=1,\ldots,m} \).

Consider the group \( G = \mathbb{Z}^m \) and fix free generators \( e^{(i,j)}, \ i = 1, \ldots, n, \ j = 1, \ldots, m \).

For any \( a \in \mathbb{Z}, \ i \in \{1, \ldots, n\} \), denote \( \mathbb{Z}^{m-1}_{t,a} = \sum_{j=1}^m a_j e^{(i,j)} \mid \sum_{j=1}^m a_j = a \subset G \). Then \( \mathbb{Z}^{m-1}_{t,0} \) is a subgroup of \( \mathbb{Z}^m \) isomorphic to \( \mathbb{Z}^{m-1} \), the set \( \mathbb{Z}^{m-1}_{t,a} = ae^{(i,1)} + \mathbb{Z}^{m-1}_{t,0} \) is a coset, and \( G = \bigoplus_{a} \mathbb{Z}^{m-1}_{t,a} \).

Similarly, for any \( b \in \mathbb{Z}, \ j \in \{1, \ldots, m\} \), denote \( \mathbb{Z}^{n-1}_{j,b} = \sum_{i=1}^n b_i e^{(i,j)} \mid \sum_{i=1}^n b_i = b \subset G \).

Let \( G \) be the group ring of \( G \) and \( \mathbb{C} \mathbb{Z}^{m-1} \), \( \mathbb{C} \mathbb{Z}^{n-1} \) the corresponding complexifications.

Consider the vector space \( V = U_{m,n} \otimes \mathbb{C} G \). Choose \( \nu_1, \ldots, \nu_n \in \{0, 1, \ldots, m-1\} \) and \( \mu_1, \ldots, \mu_m \in \{0, 1, \ldots, n-1\} \).

Then we expect that one can define an action of quantum toroidal algebras \( \mathcal{E}_m(q_1, q_2, q_3) \) and \( \mathcal{E}_n(q_1^\vee, q_2^\vee, q_3^\vee) \) on \( V \) such that the following is true.

(i) As an \( \mathcal{E}_m \) module, \( V \) is a direct sum of tensor products of \( n \) Fock modules: for any \( a = (a_1, \ldots, a_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n \), there exist \( (u_1(a), \ldots, u_n(a)) \subset (\mathbb{C}^x)^n \), such that

\[
V_{n,a} := U_{m,n} \otimes (\otimes_{i=1}^n \mathbb{C} \mathbb{Z}^{m-1}_{i,a_i}) \cong (\otimes_{i=1}^n F_{\nu_i}(u_i(a))).
\]

(ii) As an \( \mathcal{E}_n \) module, \( V \) is a direct sum of tensor products of \( m \) Fock modules: for any \( b = (b_1, \ldots, b_m) \in \mathbb{Z}^m \), there exist \( (v_1(b), \ldots, v_m(b)) \subset (\mathbb{C}^x)^m \), such that

\[
V_{m,b} := U_{m,n} \otimes (\otimes_{j=1}^m \mathbb{C} \mathbb{Z}^{n-1}_{j,b_j}) \cong (\otimes_{j=1}^m F_{\mu_j}(v_j(b))).
\]

(iii) The \( \mathcal{E}_n \) transfer matrices commute with \( \mathcal{E}_m \) transfer matrices. Namely, let \( a, b \) be such that \( \sum_{i=1}^n a_i = \sum_{j=1}^m b_j \), and consider \( V_{a,b} := V_{n,a} \cap V_{m,b} \). Then the \( \mathcal{E}_m \) and \( \mathcal{E}_n \) transfer matrices act in \( V_{a,b} \). Moreover, these transfer matrices commute if the twisting
parameters \((p_1, \ldots, p_{m-1})\) are related to evaluation parameters \((v_1(b) : \cdots : v_m(b))\) and, similarly \((p_1^\vee, \ldots, p_{m-1}^\vee)\) are related to \((u_1(a) : \cdots : u_n(a))\) while the twisting parameters corresponding to the null root, \(p\) and \(p^\vee\), are related to \(q_2^\vee\) and \(q_3\).

The products \(\prod_{i=1}^n u_i(a)\) and \(\prod_{j=1}^m v_j(b)\) are not fixed by the requirement of commutativity of transfer matrices and can be chosen arbitrarily.

Theorem \(7.1\) asserts that above picture holds for the case of \(m = 1\) and any \(n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}\). In this case we have \(G = \mathbb{Z}^n\). We can choose in an arbitrary way the following parameters: \(q_1, q_1^\vee, q_2 = q_2^\vee, a \in \mathbb{Z}, v \in \mathbb{C}^\times, \nu \in \{0, \ldots, n-1\}, i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}\), and \((u_1, \ldots, u_n) \in (\mathbb{C}^\times)^n\).

Then, the space \(\mathcal{U}_n \otimes \mathbb{C}Z_{\delta}^n\) is identified with \(\mathcal{E}_m\) module \(\mathcal{F}_\nu(v)\) and the space \(\mathcal{U}_n \otimes \mathbb{C}(0, \ldots, a, \ldots, 0)\), where \(a\) is in the \(i\)-th position, with \(\mathcal{E}_1\) module \(\mathcal{F}(u_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{F}(u_n)\).

We plan to describe the \((\mathcal{E}_m, \mathcal{E}_n)\) duality in a subsequent paper.

### 7.3. The duality between qKdV and quantum affine Gaudin models

We discuss the specializations of the \((\mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_2)\) duality of XXZ systems to ILW and then, further, to conformal limits.

Recall the \((\mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_2)\) duality of XXZ systems discussed in Section \(7.2\). The algebras of local integrals of motion \(I_N\) and of non-local integrals of motion \(G_{\nu,N}\) commute. In particular, the Bethe vectors of the two algebras are the same (up to proportionality). Therefore, we expect that there is a natural bijection between solutions of the \(\mathcal{E}_1\) Bethe ansatz equations \((5.1)\) with \(M = 2\) and those of \(\mathcal{E}_2\) Bethe ansatz equations \((5.4)\) with \(M_0 = 1\) and \(M_1 = 0\) (where all parameters have checks).

We considered the ILW limit of the \(\mathcal{E}_1\) side in Section \(6\). For the \(\mathcal{E}_2\) side we have

\[
q_1^\vee = e^{-\tau} (1 + \epsilon + o(\epsilon)) , \quad q_2^\vee = 1 - \epsilon + o(\epsilon) , \quad q_3^\vee = e^\tau , \quad p^\vee = 1 + \epsilon + o(\epsilon) , \quad p_1^\vee = 1 - \frac{\pi}{2} \epsilon + o(\epsilon) , \quad v, \tau : \text{fixed} .
\]

It is not immediate to take the limit of the non-local integral of motions \(G_{\nu,N}\). However, we can easily take the limit of the \(\mathcal{E}_2\) Bethe ansatz equations.

Let \(s_i, t_j\) be solutions of \((5.4)\) with \(M_0 = 1\) and \(M_1 = 0\). Let their ILW limits be \(\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} s_i = \tilde{s}_i\), and \(\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} t_j = \tilde{t}_j\), where \(i = 1, \ldots, N_0, j = 1, \ldots, N_1\). Then we easily get the following lemma.

**Lemma 7.2.** The limits \(\tilde{s}_i, \tilde{t}_j\) satisfy the system of equations:

\[
\frac{r - \pi}{\tilde{s}_i} - 2 = \frac{1}{\tilde{s}_i - \nu} - \sum_{k=1, k \neq i}^{N_0} \frac{2}{\tilde{s}_i - \tilde{s}_k} + \sum_{k=1}^{N_1} \left( \frac{1}{\tilde{s}_i - e^{\tau} \tilde{t}_k} + \frac{1}{\tilde{s}_i - e^{-\tau} \tilde{t}_k} \right) = 0, \tag{7.1}
\]

\[
\frac{\pi}{\tilde{t}_j} - 1 = \sum_{k=1, k \neq j}^{N_1} \frac{2}{\tilde{t}_j - \tilde{t}_k} + \sum_{k=1}^{N_0} \left( \frac{1}{\tilde{t}_j - e^{\tau} \tilde{s}_k} + \frac{1}{\tilde{t}_j - e^{-\tau} \tilde{s}_k} \right) = 0, \tag{7.2}
\]

where \(i = 1, \ldots, N_0\) and \(j = 1, \ldots, N_1\). \(\square\)

Thus, we expect that there is a natural bijection between solutions of the equations \((7.1),\) \((7.2)\) and those of \((6.4)\). This is a duality of the XXX type equation with a Gaudin type equation. In a spirit, it is similar to the duality between trigonometric Gaudin \(\mathfrak{g}_m\) model and XXX (Yangian related) \(\mathfrak{g}_m\) model, observed in [MTV2]. On the other hand, the equations described in Lemma \(7.2\) do not seem to be in the literature.
In the conformal limit we further send $\tau \to 0$. Let $\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \tilde{s}_i = \bar{s}_i$, and $\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \tilde{t}_j = \bar{t}_j$ be the corresponding limits. Then we obviously have the following lemma.

**Lemma 7.3.** The limits $\bar{s}_i, \bar{t}_j$ satisfy the system of equations:

\begin{align}
\frac{r - \hat{\pi} - 2}{\bar{s}_i} - \frac{1}{\bar{s}_i - v} - \sum_{k=1, k \neq i}^{N_0} \frac{2}{\bar{s}_i - \bar{s}_k} + \sum_{k=1}^{N_1} \frac{2}{\bar{s}_i - \bar{t}_k} &= 0, \\
\frac{\hat{\pi} - 1}{\bar{t}_j} - \sum_{k=1, k \neq j}^{N_1} \frac{2}{\bar{t}_j - \bar{t}_k} + \sum_{k=1}^{N_0} \frac{2}{\bar{t}_j - \bar{s}_k} &= 0,
\end{align}

where $i = 1, \ldots, N_0$ and $j = 1, \ldots, N_1$. □

Curiously, these equations coincide with the Bethe ansatz equations for the trigonometric Gaudin model associated with the vacuum level 1 representation $L_{1,0}$ of the affine Lie algebra $\hat{sl}_2$.

One can also think of these equations as the Bethe ansatz equations for the Gaudin model associated with the affine Lie algebra $\hat{sl}_2$ acting on a tensor product of the basic representation $L_{1,0}$ and of the Verma module $V_{\tau - \hat{\pi} - 2, \hat{\pi} - 1}$ with highest weight $(r - \hat{\pi} - 2)\Lambda_0 + (\hat{\pi} - 1)\Lambda_1$. The Hamiltonians are expected to commute with the diagonal action of $\hat{sl}_2$ and therefore the model is reduced to the coset theory. The spaces of singular vectors are irreducible modules $M_{c,\Delta}^{Vir}$ of central charge $c$ and highest weight $\Delta$ over the Virasoro algebra given by the Sugawara construction.

If

$$L_{1,0} \otimes V_{a,b} = V_{a+1,b} \otimes M_{c,\Delta}^{Vir} + \ldots,$$

then it is well known that $k = a + b$ and

$$c = 1 - \frac{6}{(k+2)(k+3)}, \quad \Delta = \frac{b(b+2)}{4(k+2)(k+3)}.$$

Substituting $a = r - \hat{\pi} - 2$, $b = \hat{\pi} - 1$, we see that the parameters $(c, \Delta)$ of the Virasoro module coincide with $(6.1)$.

In particular, it is natural to expect that the Gaudin model for the affine $\hat{sl}_2$ model is dual to the qKdV model. The Bethe ansatz equations in Lemma 7.3 with $N_0 = N_1 = N$ are dual to the Yangian type equations (6.4) with $\tau = 0$ and to the equations (3) of [BLZ4], cf. also (2.17) of [L]. We note that this duality is similar to the known duality between trigonometric Gaudin and XXX models in the non-affine setting, see [MTV2]. Note, that, the level $r - 3$ of $\hat{sl}_2$ on the Gaudin side is related to the parameter of the algebra on the XXX side.

We summarize the models discussed above in the following diagram.
Here we give the names of the models, refer to corresponding Bethe ansatz equations and indicate dualities between various models by the vertical dashed lines.

7.4. **Spectrum of non-local integrals of motion of qKdV model.** According to [FKSW], it is expected that in the conformal limit the non-local integrals of motions \( G_{\nu,m} \) turn into non-local integrals of motion for the qKdV model of [BLZ]. By Corollary 3.4, the non-local integrals of motions \( G_{\nu,m} \) coincide with coefficients of transfer matrices associated to \( E_2 \). By Conjecture 5.4, the spectrum of these transfer matrices is given by Bethe ansatz equations (5.4), (5.5). Therefore we expect that the affine Gaudin Bethe equations (7.3), (7.4) describe the spectrum of non-local integrals of motion for the qKdV model of [BLZ].

Recall that a polynomial \( f \) in variables \( x_j, y_i \) is called supersymmetric if it is symmetric in variables \( x_j \), symmetric in variables \( y_i \) and \( f \) does not depend on \( z \). Alternatively, supersymmetric polynomials are polynomials in power sums \( p_k = \sum x_j^k - \sum y_i^k, k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \).

Consider conformal limit of \( a_0 \) and \( a_1 \) in Conjecture 5.4. Then Taylor coefficients of \( u^{-n} \) are supersymmetric polynomials in \( s_j, t_i \) of degree \( n \).

Consider the non-local integral of motion \( G_n \), given by formula (2.16) of [BLZ] (also (56) in [BLZ]), acting on the Virasoro Verma module with parameters (6.1). Recall also the function \( G_1^{(vac)} \) given by (61) in [BLZ]:

\[
G_1^{(vac)} = \frac{4\pi^2 \Gamma(1-2\beta)}{\Gamma(1-\beta-2P)\Gamma(1-\beta+2P)},
\]

where \( P \) is as in (6.5), and our \( \beta \) is \( \beta^2 \) in [BLZ].

**Conjecture 7.4.** There exist supersymmetric polynomials in infinitely many variables \( r_n \) of degree \( n \) such that every eigenvalue of non-local integral of motion \( G_n \) in the subspace of level \( N \) has the form \( r_n(\bar{s},\bar{t}) \) for some solution \( \bar{s} = (\bar{s}_i)_{i=1,...,N_0}, \bar{t} = (\bar{t}_j)_{j=1,...,N_1} \) of affine Gaudin Bethe ansatz equations (7.3), (7.4) with \( N_0 = N_1 = N \).
In particular, we have

\[ r_1(\bar{s}, \bar{t}) = G_{1}^{(\text{vac})} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{\nu} \frac{2(1 - 2\beta)}{1 - \beta + 2F} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (\bar{s}_i - \bar{t}_i) \right). \]  

(7.6)

For generic \( r \) and \( \hat{\pi} \) the number of solutions \( \bar{s}_j, \bar{t}_i \) of affine Gaudin Bethe ansatz equations (7.3), (7.4) with \( N_0 = N_1 = N \) equals the number of partitions of \( N \).

7.5. The BLZ oper. Let us remark that the Bethe ansatz equations in Lemma 7.3 for \( \bar{t}_j \) can be interpreted as Gaudin equations associated to \( \mathfrak{sl}_2 \) with values in the tensor product of Verma module of highest weight \( \hat{\pi} - 1 \) evaluated at 0, and 3-dimensional modules (of highest weight 2) evaluated at \( \bar{s}_k, k = 1, \ldots, N_0 \). Then, it is well-known that the eigenvalues \( \gamma_i \) of the quadratic Gaudin Hamiltonian associated to representation at \( \bar{s}_i \) is

\[ \gamma_i = \frac{\hat{\pi} - 1}{\bar{s}_i} + \sum_{k=1, k \neq i}^{N_0} \frac{2}{\bar{s}_i - \bar{s}_k} - \sum_{k=1}^{N_1} \frac{2}{\bar{s}_i - \bar{t}_k}. \]

Therefore the equations for \( \bar{s}_i \) can be rewritten as

\[ \gamma_i = \frac{1}{\bar{s}_i - \nu} + \frac{r - 3}{\bar{s}_i}. \]  

(7.7)

Further, to the solution \( \bar{t}_1, \ldots, \bar{t}_{N_1} \) of the \( \mathfrak{sl}_2 \) Gaudin equations, one often associates a scalar differential operator of order 2 which has the form:

\[ D = \partial_z^2 - \frac{l(l+1)}{z^2} + \sum_{i=1}^{N_0} \frac{\gamma_i}{z} - \sum_{i=1}^{N_0} \left( \frac{2}{(z - \bar{s}_i)^2} + \frac{\gamma_i}{z - \bar{s}_i} \right), \]

(7.8)

where \( 2l = \hat{\pi} - 1 \).

Such a differential operator is called an oper. In general, an oper is a connection of special form on a principal \( G \) bundle defined for each eigenvector of Gaudin system associated to simple Lie algebra \( \mathfrak{g} \) with corresponding Lie group \( G \). In type \( A \) opers reduce to scalar differential operators.

The oper (7.8) looks tantalizingly similar to the BLZ oper from \[\text{[BLZ4]}, \quad \text{see also (2.17) in [L]. Moreover, both opers correspond to the same eigenvector. However, they seem to be fundamentally different in several ways. First, the condition (7.7) is different from the BLZ condition \( \gamma_i z_i = \kappa \). Next, the kernel of (7.8) consists of quasipolynomials (up to a trivial factor), while the BLZ oper has a singularity of order 3 at infinity and transcendental solutions. Finally, the sum \( \sum_j \bar{s}_j \) is related to the eigenvalue of a non-local integral of motion, see (7.5), whereas the sum \( \sum_j z_j \) in the BLZ oper is related to the eigenvalue of a local integral of motion.

Probably, it is fair to say that the BLZ oper and (7.8) are in some sense dual to each other. It is highly desirable to clarify this correspondence.

**Appendix A. Appendix**

We prove here a combinatorial identity used in the text. We use the standard notation \(|\lambda| = \sum_i \lambda_i\) and

\[ (z)_m = \prod_{s=0}^{m-1} (1 - zp^s), \quad (z)_{\infty} = \prod_{s=0}^{\infty} (1 - zp^s), \]
where \( m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \).

**Proposition A.1.** We have an equality of formal power series in variables \( p, q_1, q_3 \):

\[
\sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}} p^{\lambda} \left( \frac{1}{(1 - q_1)(1 - q_3)} - \sum_{(i,j) \in \lambda} q_1^{i-1} q_3^{j-1} \right) = \frac{(pqq_3)_\infty}{(q_1)_\infty(q_3)_\infty}.
\]

**Proof.** The LHS can be rewritten as

\[
\sum_{a,b \geq 0} q_1^a q_3^b \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}, (a,b) \notin \lambda} p^{\lambda}.
\]

The sum over \( \lambda \) is the Poincare series of hook partitions which is easily computed by the Durfee square argument, see [MM]:

\[
\sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}, (a,b) \notin \lambda} p^{\lambda} = \sum_{s=0}^{\min\{a,b\}} \frac{p^{(a-s)(b-s)}}{(p)^{a-s}(p)^{b-s}}.
\]

Resumming the LHS one more time we see that it equals to

\[
\sum_{m,n \geq 0} \frac{p^{mn}}{(p)^m(p)^n} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} q_1^{m+s} q_3^{n+s} = \frac{1}{1 - q_1 q_3} \sum_{m,n \geq 0} \frac{p^{mn} q_1^m q_3^n}{(p)^m(p)^n}.
\]

Using the obvious identity

\[
\sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{z^n}{(p)^n} = \frac{1}{(z)_\infty}
\]

with \( z = p^n q_3 \), we, therefore, reduce the identity in the proposition to

\[
\sum_{m \geq 0} \frac{q_1^m (q_3)_m}{(p)^m} = \frac{(q_1 q_3)_\infty}{(q_1)_\infty}.
\]

It suffices to check this identity at the points \( q_3 = p^s, s = 1, 2, \ldots \). Then it reduces to an analog of the Newton binomial formula which is readily proved by induction on \( s \). The proposition follows. \( \square \)
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