Branch-Specific Microtubule Destabilization Mediates Axon Branch Loss during Neuromuscular Synapse Elimination

Monika S. Brill, Tatjana Kleele, Laura Ruschkies, Mengzhe Wang, Natalia A. Marahori, Miriam S. Reuter, Torben J. Hausrat, Emily Weigand, Matthew Fisher, Andrea Ahles, Stefan Engelhardt, Derron L. Bishop, Matthias Kneusel, and Thomas Misgeld

Institute of Neuronal Cell Biology, Technische Universität München, Biedersteiner Straße 29, 80802 Munich, Germany
University Medical Center Hamburg-Eppendorf, Center for Molecular Neurobiology (ZMNH), Institute for Molecular Neurogenetics, Falkenried 94, 20251 Hamburg, Germany
Ball State University, Department of Biology, 2000 West University, Muncie, IN 47306, USA
Institute of Pharmacology and Toxicology, Technische Universität München, Biedersteiner Straße 29, 80802 Munich, Germany
German Center for Cardiovascular Research, DZHK, Partner site Munich Heart Alliance, Biedersteiner Straße 29, 80802 Munich, Germany
Indiana University School of Medicine, Department of Cellular and Integrative Physiology, Medical Science Building 385, Indianapolis, IN 46202, USA
Stark Neurosciences Research Institute, Indiana University School of Medicine, 320 W. 15th Street, Indianapolis, IN 46202, USA
Center of Integrated Protein Science (CIPSM), Butenandtstraße 5-13, 81377 Munich, Germany
German Center for Neurodegenerative Diseases (DZNE), Feodor-Lynen-Straße 17, 81377 Munich, Germany
Munich Cluster of Systems Neurology (SyNergy), Feodor-Lynen-Straße 17, 81377 Munich, Germany

Monika S. Brill: monika.brill@lrz.tum.de; Thomas Misgeld: thomas.misgeld@tum.de

Corresponding author monika.brill@lrz.tum.de

Corresponding author thomas.misgeld@tum.de

Received 2016 Feb 21; Revised 2016 Aug 14; Accepted 2016 Sep 21.

Copyright © 2016 The Authors

This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Summary

Developmental axon remodeling is characterized by the selective removal of branches from axon arbors. The mechanisms that underlie such branch loss are largely unknown. Additionally, how neuronal resources are specifically assigned to the branches of remodeling arbors is not understood. Here we show that axon branch loss at the developing mouse neuromuscular junction is mediated by branch-specific microtubule severing, which results in local disassembly of the microtubule cytoskeleton and loss of axonal transport in branches that will subsequently dismantle. Accordingly, pharmacological microtubule stabilization delays neuromuscular synapse elimination. This branch-specific disassembly of the cytoskeleton appears to be mediated by the microtubule-severing enzyme spastin, which is dysfunctional in some forms of upper motor neuron disease. Our results demonstrate a physiological role for a neurodegeneration-associated modulator...
of the cytoskeleton, reveal unexpected cell biology of branch-specific axon plasticity and underscore the mechanistic similarities of axon loss in development and disease.
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Introduction

Many developing neurons initially form exuberant axonal projections. Postnatal pruning then selectively removes redundant or anatomically inappropriate axon branches (Kano and Hashimoto, 2009, Lichtman and Colman, 2000). Such pruning comes in diverse manifestations that differ in morphology and extent, as well as in driving force, which in some cases are stereotypical molecular cues while in others are activity-dependent competition. Still, in this variety of settings—ranging from invertebrates to mammals and the peripheral to the central nervous system—one property appears to be conserved: individual branches of an axonal arbor are selectively pruned back to their branch point while leaving the remainder of the axon intact or even strengthened (Luo and O’Leary, 2005, Riccomagno and Kolodkin, 2015, Schuldiner and Yaron, 2015). Currently, our understanding of such branch-specific remodeling is sketchy, even though its significance extends beyond development, as pruning and neurodegenerative “die-back” might share a core set of cell biological mechanisms and molecular mediators (Coleman and Perry, 2002, Yaron and Schuldiner, 2016). For example, many forms of axon pruning and degeneration are characterized by disruption of the cytoskeleton (d’Ydewalle et al., 2011, Kurup et al., 2015, Massaro et al., 2009, Watts et al., 2003). Microtubules seem especially critical in disease settings, as they not only impart shape, but also mediate transport and signaling (Conde and Cáceres, 2009, Fletcher and Mullins, 2010). Moreover, numerous post-translational modifications and associated proteins can regulate microtubule turnover and might hence determine local axon stability (Janke and Bulinski, 2011, Janke and Kneussel, 2010). Indeed, a number of pharmacological and genetic perturbations of microtubules manifest in axonal die-back (Niwa et al., 2013, Röyttä and Raine, 1986, Solowska and Baas, 2015). In converse, microtubule stabilization has been hailed as a potential therapy for various neurologic conditions (Cartelli et al., 2013, Das and Miller, 2012, Ertürk et al., 2007, Fanara et al., 2007, Fassier et al., 2013, Hellal et al., 2011, Sengottuvel et al., 2011). Beyond pathological settings, however, the role of the microtubular cytoskeleton in local axon loss is more controversial. While some previous studies have found microtubule loss in developmental pruning (Bishop et al., 2004, Maor-Nof et al., 2013, Schuldiner and Yaron, 2015, Watts et al., 2003, Williams and Truman, 2005), other influential models surmise a critical role for intact microtubules and transport in the pruning process (Barber and Lichtman, 1999, Morris and Hollenbeck, 1993, Riley, 1981). For example, it has been proposed that organelles are “evacuated” by fast retrograde transport from dismantling axon branches, inconsistent with the notion that microtubule loss would be an early and causative step in axon loss (Liu et al., 2010). Moreover, whether microtubule disassembly in a remodeling axon arbor is locally confined, and which factors might mediate it, remains elusive. Overall, experimental interrogation of the relationship between cytoskeletal changes and axon remodeling is challenging, as few forms of developmental pruning are accessible to branch-specific assays that allow concomitant assessment of cytoskeletal and axon dynamics.

In this work, we take advantage of the developing mammalian neuromuscular junction (NMJ), a prime vertebrate model of branch-specific axon pruning, to address the mechanisms that mediate axon branch loss (Lichtman and Colman, 2000). During prenatal neuromuscular synaptogenesis, up to ten branches of different motor axons converge on the same synaptic site on a muscle fiber (Tapia et al., 2012).
Subsequently (in the mouse during the first two postnatal weeks), all but one of these branches are removed by activity-dependent synapse elimination. During this process, a motor neuron typically loses the majority of its initial branches while simultaneously stabilizing and expanding those that remain. We have previously shown that dismantling axon branches eventually sheds fragments that are digested by glial cells (Bishop et al., 2004, Song et al., 2008). This final dismantling to establish single innervation is preceded by a phase of ongoing competition, where one of the synaptic inputs expands at the expense of the other (Walsh and Lichtman, 2003). While this competition process is not necessarily monotonic, as it is affected by the outcome of other remote synaptic competitions that the involved motor neurons engage in (Kasthuri and Lichtman, 2003), the synaptic territory of a branch can still be used as a surrogate to predict likely outcome (Walsh and Lichtman, 2003). Notably, expanding and shrinking axon branches of all stages of competition coexist along the same developing motor unit, intermingled in an apparently stochastic distribution (Keller-Peck et al., 2001). Despite this relatively comprehensive understanding of the general ruleset of this synapse remodeling process and its significance for the final neuro-motor circuit, the cell biological events that precede the dismantling of single branches of a developing motor unit have remained obscure. We now elucidate the mechanisms that underlie axon branch dismantling by monitoring cytoskeletal function and stability in axon branches during different stages of synapse elimination using tools that we have previously developed (Kleele et al., 2014, Misgeld et al., 2007, Sorbara et al., 2014). Our results reveal loss of microtubules as a driving force of branch-specific axon loss during synapse elimination, in part mediated by the neurodegeneration-associated microtubule-severing protein spastin.

Results

Organelle Evacuation Does Not Precede Initiation of Axon Branch Loss

We first explored whether there is a phase of organelle evacuation from retreating branches as proposed in the original model of neuromuscular synapse elimination (Riley, 1981) and since demonstrated for remodeling Drosophila NMJs (Liu et al., 2010) or whether the opposite prediction, namely of absent transport in dismantling axon branches, holds true. To this end, we devised a method of sequential photo-bleaching in postnatal days 7–13 (P7–P13) nerve-muscle explants from Thy1-XFP mice (Thy1-YFP-16 or Thy1-CFP-5; Feng et al., 2000) to determine the fraction of a neuromuscular postsynaptic site that a given motor axon branch occupied (Figures 1A–1E; Brill et al., 2011, Turney and Lichtman, 2012). This territory can serve as a predictor of the outcome of synaptic competition (Walsh and Lichtman, 2003). We then measured the relationship of net delivery (i.e., anterograde minus retrograde flux) of fluorescently labeled mitochondria (Thy1-mitoCFP-K; Misgeld et al., 2007) to the synaptic territories held by a pair of competing branches (Figure 1F; Figures S1A and S1B). Notably, apparently “shrinking” axon branches (i.e., those that held 40% or less of a synapse’s area) showed neither mitochondrial delivery nor evacuation (net transport not significantly different from 0; p = 0.12, Wilcoxon signed rank test; n = 53 axons/53 mice). In contrast, “undecided” (centered on 50% territory) and apparently “growing” (>60%) axon branches received a stable net number of mitochondria (net transport for 41%–60% branches did not differ significantly from branches that innervated 61%–80%, 81%–99%, or 100% of a synapse; p = 0.844, Kruskal-Wallis test; 41%–60%, n = 41 axon/41 mice; 61%–80%, n = 30/30; 81%–99%, n = 24/24; and 100%, n = 128/66). In addition to mitochondria, also the transport of peroxisomes was selectively abolished in retreating branches (Figures S1C and S1D; measured in Thy1-PeroxiYFP mice; Sorbara et al., 2014). These results argue against an “evacuation” model of axon dismantling for the mouse NMJ (Liu et al., 2010, Riley, 1981) and further...
suggest the remodeling of microtubular transport tracts as a possible cause of these branch-specific transport deficits, as transport of at least two organelles in both directions was affected (Figure S1).

The Microtubular Cytoskeleton Is Specifically Dismantled in Terminal Axon Branches before They Retreat

To characterize the status of the microtubular cytoskeleton with single-branch precision, we determined synaptic territories by sequential photo-bleaching and then processed NMJs for quantitative immunostainings of βIII-tubulin (normalized to transgenically expressed YFP; see Supplemental Experimental Procedures for details). In retracting axon branches, tubulin levels dropped as territory shrank, with retraction bulbs showing a substantial (52%) reduction compared to synapses in the midst of competition (Figure 1G; 0% versus 41%–60%, p < 0.0001, Mann-Whitney test; 0%, n = 55 axons/9 mice; 41%–60%, n = 25/7), while in consolidated axons (>60%), tubulin levels further increased (by 27%; Figure 1G; 41%–60% versus 100%, p = 0.05, Mann-Whitney test; 100%, n = 54 axons/9 mice). Comparable results were obtained with further antibodies directed against βIII-tubulin and α-tubulin, while neurofilaments were unaffected (Figure S2), suggesting that microtubules were specifically lost. Indeed, when we took advantage of mice that express a fluorescently labeled plus-end binding protein (Figure 2; Thy1-EB3-YFP; Kleele et al., 2014), we found a substantial increase in the density of EB3 “comets” in axon branches with territory of 40% or less (Figure 2A; Movie S1; significance was already reached in axons with 21%–40% territory versus 41%–60%, p < 0.01, unpaired t test; 21%–40%, n = 16 axons/11 mice; 41%–60%, n = 14/8). This assay highlights growing microtubule tips that are capped by end-binding proteins (such as EB3). It allows visualizing an aspect of the dynamics of the microtubule cytoskeleton and—when combined with a measure of microtubule mass, such as tubulin staining—can be used as a surrogate measure of microtubule length (Perez et al., 1999, Stepanova et al., 2003, Baas et al., 2016). Indeed, the ratio of EB3 over βIII-tubulin increased dramatically as axons shrank to less than 40% of territory. Thus, a highly destabilized and fragmented microtubular cytoskeleton exists in such apparently shrinking inputs, while in apparently growing axon branches, the cytoskeleton appears rather stable (Figure 2B). Importantly, the observed changes are not the result of a general developmental expansion and stabilization of the microtubule cytoskeleton from which retiring branches were excluded, as we found for all measures (mitochondrial transport, tubulin immunostaining, and EB3 density) that axon branches of all territory bins at P7/P8 exhibited the same characteristics as their counterparts two days later (P9/P10), i.e., across a developmental time window typically required for a synapse to transition from double to single innervation (Walsh and Lichtman, 2003). For example (Figure S3), this developmental profile indicates that retraction bulbs at P9/P10 contain less tubulin than the two branches serving a doubly innervated synapse did at P7/P8, i.e., those axon branches of which one will convert to a retraction bulb in 62% of cases within the next two days (percentage of doubly innervated synapses at P7/P8: 25.2% ± 3.4% versus P9/P10: 9.7% ± 1.3%, n ≥ 6 mice). To corroborate that reduced tubulin staining indeed corresponded to actual loss of microtubules and concurrently validate the bleaching approach, we reconstructed a subset of bleached NMJs by correlated electron microscopy (Figures 3A and 3B; Movie S2; Bishop et al., 2004). This approach confirmed a profound loss of microtubules in apparently shrinking branches (Figures 3C–3F), following a quantitative relationship similar to the one seen previously by immunohistochemistry (Figure 3G, cf. Figure 1G), even though the latter appears to also label a base level of tubulin not detectable by electron microscopy.

The fact that during NMJ synapse elimination single branches in an axonal arbor are dismantled, while sister branches are preserved and even strengthened (Colman et al., 1997, Keller-Peck et al., 2001), suggests that microtubule destabilization must be a precisely tuned and compartmentalized process. Indeed, when we
measured EB3 comet density in proximal and distal positions along axon branches tipped by retraction bulbs, we found the same average density of EB3 comets (Figures 2C and 2D), while the stem axon of a retraction bulb showed the same level of EB3 comets as stem axons of branches that had established single innervation (Figures 2E and 2F). Thus, microtubular destabilization is specific to and compartmentalized within retreating branches.

**Pharmacological Stabilization of Microtubules Delays Synapse Elimination**

Our data suggest that branch-specific microtubule destabilization represents a seminal step in branch dismantling. This predicts that stabilizing the microtubular cytoskeleton should delay synapse elimination. Notably, stabilizing microtubules using epothilone, a readily bioavailable alternative to paclitaxel, is currently being explored as an approach to preserve axons after trauma (Ruschel et al., 2015) and during neurodegeneration (Cartelli et al., 2013, Zhang et al., 2012). Treatment of mice with a single injection of epothilone B on P5 caused a significant delay in synapse elimination (Figure 4A) with multiple innervation present at least until P21 (Figure 4B). We confirmed that epothilone did not delay overall neuromuscular development (Figures S4A–S4D), while it increased tubulin content and reduced EB3 comet density in both retreating and established “winner” axons in a dose-dependent manner (Figures 4C–4E; Figures S5A–S5C). Finally, stabilizing microtubules increased mitochondrial transport in retreating axon branches. This effect was relatively less pronounced in the unbranched stem of axons found in the intercostal nerve and in terminal axon branches that have established single innervation (Figures S5D and S5E).

**Spastin Destabilizes Microtubules in Retreating Axons and Promotes Synapse Elimination**

The fact that global stabilization of microtubules delayed branch removal raised the question of which mechanism might mediate specific destabilization of the microtubular cytoskeleton in apparently shrinking branches. A number of post-translational modifications have been linked to microtubule turnover (Janke and Bulinski, 2011, Janke and Kneussel, 2010) and hence might contribute to the molecular changes that accompany branch-specific microtubule destabilization. Using immunostainings for post-translational tubulin modifications in retreating axons (normalized to βIII-tubulin to account for the diminishing overall tubulin levels; Figures 5A–5H), we found the expected change in glutamylation/tyrosination ratio characteristic of a hyper-dynamic microtubular cytoskeleton, as well as a selective drop of polyglutamylation, which occurred once an axon’s territory had fallen below 40% (Figure 5I). There was no evidence for selective deacetylation (Figures 5E and 5F), which has previously been linked to microtubule destabilization (d’Ydewalle et al., 2011, Matsuyama et al., 2002). These data suggested that tubulin deacetylases are not causal in the branch-specific dismantling process but that other microtubule-modifying enzymes, some of which are sensitive to post-translational modifications (Lacroix et al., 2010, Valenstein and Roll-Mecak, 2016), might mediate the local destabilizing effects on the microtubule cytoskeleton. For example, microtubule-severing enzymes, such as spastin, would be expected to cause an increase in the number of microtubule ends, while at the same time potentially inducing the loss of microtubule mass (Baas et al., 2016). Spastin especially has been implicated, on the one hand, in regulating axon branching and synapse development (Trotta et al., 2004, Wood et al., 2006, Yu et al., 2008), as well as, on the other hand, degeneration of motor tracts in hereditary spastic paraparesis (Solowska and Baas, 2015). Notably, the most affected axon projection in this disease, the corticospinal tract, is also a site of axon branch remodeling (Stanfield et al., 1982).

To test the involvement of spastin in synapse elimination and the microtubule destabilization that...
accompanies it, we generated a new spastin knockout (KO) mouse via the “knockout-first” approach to characterize synapse elimination, as well as axonal microtubule content and turnover (Figure 6). In contrast to other species, where knockdowns of spastin results in motor axon defects (Trotta et al., 2004, Wood et al., 2006), in mice, spastin deletion does not result in an obvious phenotype in young animals (Tarrade et al., 2006, Kasher et al., 2009). In line with these previous murine loss-of-function mutants of spastin, our spastin knockout mice also showed no detectable neuromuscular phenotype at birth (such as abnormal sprouting; Figure S6) or during postnatal maturation of neuromuscular junctions (Figures S4E–S4H).

Strikingly, synapse elimination was substantially delayed in these mutants (Figures 7A and 7B). When we measured the speed with which retraction bulbs shortened in spastin-mutant mice (Bishop et al., 2004), we found a significant reduction compared to the wild-type situation (Figures 7C–7E). As expected, βIII-tubulin content was increased in retreating, as well as stabilized, axon branches, while an increase in EB3 comet density commensurate to an increased microtubule mass was only evident in stabilized branches at singly innervated NMJs, but not in retraction bulbs (Figures 7F and 7G). Hence, global suppression of spastin gene expression selectively—albeit only partially—reduced microtubule degradation as indicated by the ratio of comet density and tubulin content (Figure 7H). As spastin is widely expressed (Ma et al., 2006), we ensured the site of action of spastin by using a ChAT-Cre mouse line (cre recombinase driven by the choline acetyltransferase [ChAT] promoter; Figure 7I) to generate a cell-type-specific spastin knockout mouse lacking spastin gene expression selectively in cholinergic neurons. Again, when analyzing the degree of multiple innervation, we found that loss of spastin gene expression in motor neurons only led to a significant delay in synapse elimination from P7 to P21 (Figure 7J). Similarly, when we intraventricularly injected adeno-associated virus expressing codon-improved cre recombinase into early postnatal (P1/P2) pups, we found that postnatal deletion of spastin (as indicated by a tdTomato reporter, which was also expressed in a cre-dependent manner) resulted in delayed synapse elimination at P9 (30.9% ± 0.03% of spastinfl/fl axon branches that expressed the cre-dependent marker were still engaged in competition versus 20.5% ± 0.03% of axon branches that either were spastinwt/wt or did not express the indicator of recombination; n ≥ 126 NMJs from 12/9 muscles/mice and 8/5 for spastinfl/fl and control, respectively; p < 0.05, unpaired t test).

**Discussion**

Here we demonstrate that during NMJ synapse elimination, branch-specific destabilization of the microtubular cytoskeleton parallels failure of organelle delivery and induces axon branch dismantling. This effect is mediated in part by the microtubule-severing protein spastin. Our results provide insight into a hitherto largely unresolved question related to neuronal remodeling and reveal new parallels between developmental plasticity and neurodegeneration. Moreover, while dendritic sub-compartments play an important role in many models of circuit plasticity (Cichon and Gan, 2015, Kanamori et al., 2013, Losonczy et al., 2008, Yang et al., 2014), axon branches are less frequently discussed in this context (Riccomagno and Kolodkin, 2015).

Notably, our results establish that axons are capable of branch-specific remodeling of the microtubular cytoskeleton, which results in changed resource allocation by axonal transport. Here our work has two important implications: (1) early microtubule degradation in branches destined for dismantling argues against the necessity of evacuation by axonal transport (Liu et al., 2010, Morris and Hollenbeck, 1993, Riley, 1981) but is consistent with our previous work that suggested local piece-meal shedding and degradation of dismantling axon branches and their content (Bishop et al., 2004, Song et al., 2008) and (2)
within an axonal arbor, organelle transport is matched to the down-stream target size. This holds true even at the level of terminal axon branches, where anterograde transport corresponds to synaptic territory (Figure S1B), which in turn corresponds to the degree of local microtubule destabilization (Figures 1G and 2A). This implies that axonal transport in developing axonal arbors is carefully regulated and capable of following alterations in synaptic target size (Aletta and Goldberg, 1982). Thus, axonal transport might provide a cell biological correlate for the resource allocation that previous modeling and experimental work have predicted as an important outcome determinant of synapse elimination (Barber and Lichtman, 1999, Kasthuri and Lichtman, 2003).

In any case, microtubule degradation likely not only affects transport into dismantling branches, but could directly contribute to shrinking and atrophy of the axon branch (Bernstein and Lichtman, 1999), as microtubules can directly impart shape, in part, by cross-talk to the actin cytoskeleton (Conde and Cáceres, 2009, Fletcher and Mullins, 2010). Indeed, our previous work on the final phase of neuromuscular axon remodeling has shown that the disassembly of the tip of a retracting axon branch by “axosome shedding” correlates with general cytoskeletal disruptions (Bishop et al., 2004). However, an early instructive role of local microtubule dismantling was not anticipated. Moreover, the involvement of the neurodegeneration-associated protein spastin in this setting is new. Indeed, while spastin can clearly sever microtubules in vitro, and its disruption can affect long axon tracts—including motor neurons—in human disease and related models (Wood et al., 2006, Butler et al., 2010, Fassier et al., 2013), how spastin acts at its putative site of action, the microtubular cytoskeleton, remains somewhat controversial (Solowska and Baas, 2015).

Our work clarifies this for mammalian axons in vivo, as deletion of spastin manifests in our assays of microtubule content and fragmentation as a (weaker) version of microtubule stabilization (cf. Trotta et al., 2004). This effect appears to primarily affect retracting branches and, to only a lesser extent, winner branches that have established single innervation (cf. Figure 7H versus Figure S5C, where a dose of 0.25 μg/μL epothilone displayed a similar action profile as loss of spastin). This suggests that either spastin acts specifically in retracting branches (e.g., because of selective activation of spastin or locally constrained microtubule modifications/microtubule binding proteins that alter susceptibility to severing; Lacroix et al., 2010, Valenstein and Roll-Mecak, 2016) or compensation by other microtubule severing proteins (e.g., katanin; Havlicek et al., 2014) might be more efficient in patent winner branches. In line with this preservation of a cytoskeletal scaffold that acts as transport tracts, both epothilone treatment and, to a lesser extent, spastin deletion could partially restore organelle transport to retraction bulb-tipped axon branches (epothilone; see Figures S5D and SSE; spastinKO anterograde: 0.1 ± 0.1 in KO versus 0.0 ± 0.0; retrograde: 0.3 ± 0.2 in KO versus 0.1 ± 0.1 mitochondria/hr in control, n ≥ 9 axons/9 mice).

It might seem paradoxical that, in our setting, spastin’s action apparently mediates branch loss and suppresses axonal transport, while spastin is known to be required for axon branching in vitro and in non-mammalian species (Wood et al., 2006, Yu et al., 2008) and also to promote axonal transport in vitro (Kasher et al., 2009). In our spastin mutants (as in previously reported mouse mutants; Tarrade et al., 2006, Kasher et al., 2009), we could not detect a phenotype that would indicate a severe axon outgrowth disturbance (as reported in spastin knockdowns using morpholinos in zebrafish; Wood et al., 2006), potentially suggesting differential species-specific compensation. At the same time, the fact that, in different setting, spastin might affect neuronal geometry and organelle dynamics differentially is perhaps not so surprising given that the basic enzymatic activity of spastin is simply to sever microtubules. In some settings (e.g., during axon elaboration), local severing is needed to seed new microtubules and allow collateral formation; in others, it might be required for dismantling. Similarly, depending on the state of the
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microtubule cytoskeleton, severing might improve or diminish the ability to support organelle translocation. Indeed, the double-edged nature of changing the stability of microtubules for neuronal cell biology is well appreciated—after all, the main side effect of drugs that stabilize microtubules is neuropathy (Röyttä and Raine, 1986). At the same time, such drugs might be useful in promoting axon regrowth and survival (Ruschel et al., 2015).

Interestingly, the interplay of transport, cytoskeletal destabilization, and spastin in synaptic remodeling and axon degeneration that we implicate here in neuromuscular synapse elimination has precedent: recently, it was shown that synapse disassembly during C. elegans development, albeit in the absence of gross morphological changes in neuritic arbors, depends on a similar mechanism to the one described here (Kurup et al., 2015). Moreover, katanin-like proteins are involved in developmental severing of dendrites in flies (Lee et al., 2009). Also, in vitro, some of the acute toxicity of the Alzheimer disease-associated Aβ peptide might be due to spastin-mediated disassembly of microtubules (Zempel et al., 2013). However, in contrast to Zempel et al. (2013), we did not observe a spike in polyglutamylated microtubules (compared to the overall tubulin stain) at early stages of synapse elimination, but rather a late decay (in axon branches with <20% synaptic territory; Figure 5I), suggesting that the relative drop in this microtubule species is not necessarily indicative of an instructive role of this post-translational modification. Rather, it could be the result of a shift in local turnover to which, in addition to local severing activity, loss of selective stabilizers or altered function of the enzymatic machinery that imposes this post-translational modification could contribute. In line with the interpretation that the loss of polyglutamylated tubulin in losing axon branches is in part due to spastin, we observed a massive increase in this post-translational modification in spastin knockout mice, especially in retracting axon branches (8.5- ± 0.23-fold increase in retraction bulbs, p < 0.0001 Mann-Whitney test; KO, n ≥ 34 axons/4 mice; WT, n ≥ 18/3; 3.8- ± 0.1-fold increase in singly innervating axon branches, p < 0.0001, Mann-Whitney test; KO, n ≥ 86 axons/4 mice; WT, n ≥ 47/3).

Together, our new work and the current literature suggests that the local microtubule-severing mechanism during axonal remodeling, documented here for mammalian axon development, might in its core be evolutionarily conserved and reactivated during disease (Yaron and Schuldiner, 2016; cf. Sekar et al., 2016, for another recent example). At the same time, a role for microtubule destabilization in physiological pruning processes provides a caveat for ongoing efforts to harness microtubule stabilization for therapy (e.g., using epothilone), especially after acute insults, such as spinal cord injury, where axonal remodeling and synapse elimination might be important mechanisms of compensatory plasticity (Raineteau and Schwab, 2001, Bareyre et al., 2004).

**Experimental Procedures**

**Animals**

*Thy1-YFP-16* mice (cytoplasmic YFP expression in all motor neurons; Jackson Laboratory #003709, *Tg(Thy1-YFP)16Jrs/J; Feng et al., 2000*) were used to measure synaptic territory of individual axons by sequential photo-bleaching for quantitative immunohistochemistry, electron microscopy, and analysis of the number of poly-innervated NMJs following epothilone B injections. To study axonal transport and visualize synaptic territory simultaneously, we used double-transgenic *Thy1-YFP-16 × Thy1-mitoCFP-K* mice (CFP is selectively expressed in neuronal mitochondria; Misgeld et al., 2007). We measured transport of peroxisomes and synaptic occupancy in *Thy1-PeroxiYFP-339* or *Thy1-PeroxiYFP-376* (labeling of neuronal

---

peroxisomes; Sorbara et al., 2014) crossed to Thy1-CFP-5 or Thy1-OFP-3 mice (expression of CFP or OFP in all motor neurons; Feng et al., 2000, Brill et al., 2011). EB3 comet density and synaptic territory were assessed in double-transgenic Thy1-CFP-5 × Thy1-EB3-YFP-J045 (specific labeling of growing plus-end tips of microtubules; Kleele et al., 2014).

To generate a knockout-first allele (Testa et al., 2004) of spastin in mice, we used the targeting vector (PG00198_Z_2_G10, project CSD77496) generated by the trans-NIH Knock-Out Project and obtained from the KOMP Repository (for details, see Supplemental Experimental Procedures). Constitutive spastin KO mice were generated by deleting the floxed exon 5 in the germline using CMV-Cre mice (Jackson Laboratory #006054; Schwenk et al., 1995). Homozygous Spastin KO × Thy1-YFP-16 and Spastin WT × Thy1-YFP-16 littermate controls were used to analyze the number of poly-innervated NMJs and for quantitative immunohistochemistry. Thy1-EB3-YFP-J045 mice, which were either spastin KO or WT, were used to assess EB3 comet densities. Spastin was deleted in motor neurons (MN KO) by crossing the conditional KO mice to ChAT-Cre (Jackson Laboratory #006410, ChAT-tm2(cre)Lowl/J; Rossi et al., 2011). Cre activity was monitored in CAG-tdTomato reporter mice (Jackson Laboratory #007914, Gt(Rosa)26Sortm14(CAG-tdTomato)Hze/J; Madisen et al., 2010), and recombination was complete (100% ± 0%) in motor axons (n = 3 triangularis sterni muscles from 3 mice, ≥150 NMJs each). All animal experiments were approved by the responsible regulatory agencies.

See details of the generation of spastin knockout mice, imaging, immunohistochemistry, electron microscopy, and data analysis in Supplemental Experimental Procedures.

Statistics

Statistics were performed using GraphPad PRISM software. All datasets were tested for normal distribution with the D’Agostino-Pearson normality test. If a dataset failed this test, a non-parametric test was chosen to compare the significance of means between groups (Mann-Whitney test for two samples and Kruskal-Wallis test for more than two samples). For normally distributed datasets, a t test was chosen to compare two samples and ANOVA for multiple samples. Critical comparative datasets (epothilone treatment, spastin deletion, and shortening of retraction bulbs) were partially re-analyzed in a blinded fashion to exclude observer bias. p values < 0.05 were considered to be significant and indicated by “”; p values < 0.01 were indicated by “” and <0.001 by “.” Data in text and graphs are given as mean ± or + SEM, and the chosen statistical test is indicated, along with the corresponding p value, if applicable.
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Supplemental Information

Document S1. Supplemental Experimental Procedures and Figures S1–S6:

Movie S1, Related to Figure 2. Time Lapse Showing Microtubule Dynamics in a Nerve-Muscle Explant of a Thy1-EB3-YFP Mouse:

A singly innervated NMJ with a retraction bulb close by on the left and a doubly innervated NMJ on the right. Territories of competing inputs were quantified using photo-bleaching (pseudo-colored in magenta and white in still frames at end of movie).

Movie S2, Related to Figure 3. 3D Reconstruction of an NMJ Based on Serial Electron Micrographs:
A confocal projection following the sequential photo-bleaching procedure shows an axon that occupied 15% (magenta) versus one that occupied 85% of synaptic territory (white). A surface rendering of a complete scanning transmission electron microscopy reconstruction of the NMJ is overlaid onto the confocal projection. The EM surface rendering is rotated and membrane opacity adjusted to reveal the mitochondria (blue) and microtubules (red) for each axon. Microtubules were rendered only for the axon shaft, where all measurements of microtubule dynamics and content were done. Scale cube, 1 μm.

**Document S2. Article plus Supplemental Information:**
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**Figures and Tables**

**Figure 1**
Retreating Axon Branches Lack Mitochondrial Transport and Dismantle Their Microtubular Cytoskeleton

(A–C) Sequential photo-bleaching in transgenic mice, where all motor axons express a fluorescent protein, defines the synaptic territories of competing axon branches during synapse elimination. Confocal image of a small part of the synaptic field in a fixed triangularis sterni muscle at P9 (Thy1-YFP-16, white; α-bungarotoxin, orange) (A) with photo-bleaching steps for one NMJ (boxed in A and C; magnified in B). Schematic illustration of the photo-bleaching (B). Tracing of axons
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in (A) highlighting the branching pattern of motor units, with a retraction bulb (“rebu”), singly innervated (“sin”) and doubly innervated (territory in percentage) NMJs labeled (C).

(D and E) Examples of photo-bleached NMJs representative of the bins used in graphs below, shown in pseudo-color (D) and as schematic of one input (E); overall NMJ outline, red.

(F) Net delivery of fluorescently tagged mitochondria (anterograde minus retrograde flux) by an input to the NMJ versus synaptic territory of this input (n ≥ 24 axons, ≥24 Thy1-Mito-CFP-K × Thy1-YFP-16 mice per group).

(G) Level of βIII-tubulin immunostaining normalized to cytoplasmic YFP versus synaptic territory (n ≥ 17 axons, ≥6 Thy1-YFP-16 mice per group). Scale bars, 20 μm in (A) (applies also to C); 10 μm in (D) (applies also to E). Data are mean ± SEM. Significance statements are given in main text. See also Figures S1–S3.

Figure 2

Microtubules Are Locally Fragmented in Retreating Axon Branches

(A) EB3 comet density versus synaptic territory (n ≥ 10 axons, ≥6 Thy1-EB3-YFP × Thy1-CFP-5 mice per group).

(B) Normalized ratio of EB3 comet density over βIII-tubulin levels (as a measure of microtubule length) at different stages of synapse elimination (calculated from data shown in Figures 1G and 2A).

(C) Maximum intensity projection (left, 20 s) of a time-lapse sequence in a P9 Thy1-EB3-YFP explant showing a retraction
bulb (outlined on the right) next to a singly innervated NMJ. Dashed boxes indicate the sites of distal and proximal measurements on the retraction bulb.

(D) EB3 comet density at distal and proximal sites along retraction bulbs (n = 10 axons, 6 mice; points show individual measurements; values derived from the same branch are connected).

(E) Maximum intensity projections (20 s) of time-lapse recordings from stem axons, giving rise to branches ending in a retraction bulb (rebu; left) or at a singly innervated NMJ (sin; right; outlines below; P10 Thy1-EB3-YFP). Dashed boxes indicate sites of measurement in stem or branch of the indicated type.

(F) EB3 comet density in retraction bulbs and singly innervating branches compared to their respective stem axons (n ≥ 16 axons, ≥8 mice per group). Scale bars, 10 μm in (C); 5 μm in (E). Data are mean ± SEM; paired t test or Mann-Whitney test was used to determine significance in (D) and (F), respectively: p < 0.001; n.s. p ≥ 0.05. See also Figure S3.

Figure 3
Ultrastructural Analysis of Microtubule Loss in Retreating Axon Branches

(A–C) Correlated serial sectioning reconstruction of the ultrastructure of a doubly innervated P8 NMJ, which was first characterized by sequential photo-bleaching (A); Thy1-YFP-16; inputs pseudo-colored) and then reconstructed by EM and surface rendered in (B). Branch territories as determined by bleaching and EM are indicated in (A) and (B), respectively. Please note that the percentages of covered synaptic territory in (A) add to more than 100% due to branch overlaps that cannot be resolved in the light microscope, an issue first noted in Kasthuri and Lichtman (2003). Rendering of axonal microtubules (C) (red; displayed area indicated by dashed red box in B).

(D) Single electron micrograph (pseudo-colored as in A and B and orientation of plane as indicated in B) shows individual microtubule segments (pseudo-colored red).

(E and F) Higher magnification views of boxed areas in (D) (magenta input in E; white input in F) with individual microtubule segments marked by red arrowheads.

(G) Microtubule length per volume versus synaptic territory based on EM reconstruction of eight inputs from four NMJs and four mice. Magenta and white colored circles represent the axons shown in (A)–(F). Scale bars/boxes, 5 μm in (A), 1 μm in (B) and (C), and 1 μm in (D). See also Figure S2.

**Figure 4**
Pharmacological Stabilization of Microtubules Delays Synapse Elimination

(A) Percentage of poly-innervated synapses following epothilone B (0.5 μg/μL) or vehicle (polyethylene glycol, PEG) injection on P4 (n ≥ 7 Thy1-YFP-16 mice for P7; n ≥ 6 P9; n ≥ 5 P11; n ≥ 5 P13; n ≥ 3 P21).

(B) Confocal image of a doubly innervated NMJ in a P21 Thy1-YFP-16 mouse (white) injected with epothilone B on P4 (α-bungarotoxin, orange).

(C–E) βIII-tubulin levels (C); n ≥ 45 axons, ≥4 mice), EB3 comet density (D; n ≥ 13 axons, ≥5 mice) and EB3 comet to tubulin ratios (E) in retraction bulbs (rebu) and singly innervating (sin) branches of P6 Thy1-YFP-16 mice injected with epothilone B or PEG on P5. Scale bars, 5 μm in (B). Data are mean + SEM; Mann-Whitney test was used to determine significance: *p < 0.001; **p < 0.01; ***p < 0.05; n.s. p ≥ 0.05. See also Figures S4 and S5.
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Post-translational Modifications of Microtubules in Axon Branches of Different Competition State

(A)–(I) show stainings for post-translationally modified microtubules (red) superimposed on βIII-tubulin (white).
Quantification of post-translational modifications normalized to βIII-tubulin in bulb-tipped retreating axon branches (rebu) and in singly innervating axon branches (sin; B: n ≥ 38 axons from 4 mice per group; D: n ≥ 41, 6; F: n ≥ 57, 4; H: n ≥ 41, 5). Levels of polyglutamylated tubulin (normalized to βIII-tubulin) versus synaptic territory of competing axon branches (n ≥ 161 axons from 10 mice) (I). The monochrome left-hand panels are adjusted with non-linear gamma to enhance visibility of the thin retreating axons; in the merged right-hand panels, both channels are linearly adjusted (A, C, E, and G). Scale bars, 5 μm throughout. Data are mean ± SEM. Mann-Whitney test was used to determine significance: p < 0.001; p < 0.01; n.s. p ≥ 0.05.

Figure 6
Targeting of the Mouse Spastin Locus Using a “Knockout-First” Allele

(A) Schematic representation (not to scale) of the mouse wild-type (WT; first drawing) and mutant Spast gene locus (bottom three drawings). The vector Spast\textsuperscript{tm1a(KOMP)Wtsi} was used to generate spastin “knockout-first” mice (second
A floxed spastin allele was generated by genome-wide deletion of lacZ and NeoR using ACTB-FLPe mice (third drawing from top). Constitutive spastin KO mice were generated by deleting the floxed exon 5 in the germline using CMV-Cre mice (bottom drawing). Note that exon 4 in the Spast gene is an alternatively spliced exon.

(B) Southern blot following EcoRV digestion confirms correct insertion of the targeting cassette’s 5’ region (probe location is indicated in A). Wild-type, 18.5 kb. KO first, 14.8 kb.

(C) Long-range PCR proves correct insertion of the targeting cassette’s 3’ region. PCR product of primers 1 (“P1”) and 2 (“P2”): 5,043 bp (primer locations are indicated in A).

(D) PCR confirms deletion of exon 5 (green). Primer positions 3, 4, and 5 (“P3” to “P5”) are indicated in (A).

(E and F) Schematic (E) and table (F) detailing the structure and expected size of the spastin gene products.

(G) Western blot showing reduced spastin protein expression in P12 spinal cord from homozygous (−/−) and heterozygous (+/−) mice of the knockout-first allele compared to wild-type mice (+/+). Actin serves as loading control. Note the presence of spastin isoforms due to two translation initiation codons: the full-length M1 and the shorter isoform M87.

Figure 7
Genetic Stabilization of Microtubules Delays Synapse Elimination

(A) Percentage of poly-innervated synapses in spastin KO mice compared to wild-type (WT) littermates (n ≥ 5 mice for P7; n ≥ 6 mice for P7; n ≥ 8 P9; n ≥ 6 P11; n ≥ 4 P13; n ≥ 6 P21; evaluation either based on the Thy1-YFP-16 transgene or βIII-tubulin staining).

(B) Confocal image of a doubly innervated P21 NMJ in a spastin KO × Thy1-YFP-16 mouse (α-bungarotoxin, orange).

(C–E) Spastin deletion delays retraction of “loser” branches. Single frames spaced by about 3 hr taken in explants derived from WT (left) and spastin KO (right) pups crossed to Thy1-YFP-16 or Thy1-CFP-5 (C). Average speed of retraction (D) and distribution histogram of individual recordings (E) (KO: n ≥ 65 axons from 7 mice; WT: n ≥ 62 axons from 7 mice).

(F–H) βIII-tubulin levels (F); n ≥ 42 axons, ≥5 mice per genotype, P7–P9), EB3 comet density (G); n ≥ 20 axons, ≥8 mice, P8–P13) and EB3 to tubulin ratios (H) in retraction bulbs and singly innervating axon branches of spastin KO mice compared to wild-type littermates.

(I and J) Selective deletion of spastin in cholinergic neurons. Triangularis sterni muscle of a ChAT-Cre × CAG-tdTomato reporter mouse at P9 demonstrating recombination in all motor neurons (100% ± 0%; n = 3 mice; tdTomato, red; α-bungarotoxin, orange; βIII-tubulin, white) (I). Inset shows higher magnification of boxed area. Percentage of poly-innervated synapses from P7–P21 in motor-neuron specific spastin KO mice (Spastin<sup>MN KO</sup>; ChAT-Cre × Spastin<sup>fl/−</sup>) compared to littermate controls (Spastin<sup>MN WT</sup>; ChAT-Cre-negative Spastin<sup>fl/−</sup>, ChAT-Cre-negative Spastin<sup>fl/+</sup>, and ChAT-Cre-positive wild-type littermates; n ≥ 5 mice for P7; n ≥ 4 P9; n ≥ 8 P11; n ≥ 5 P13; n ≥ 5 P21) (J). Scale bars, 5 μm in (B), 3 μm in (C), and 50 μm in (I). Data are mean ± SEM. Mann-Whitney test was used to determine significance in (A), (D), (F), and (J) and unpaired t test in (G): p < 0.001; p < 0.01; p < 0.05; n.s. p ≥ 0.05. See also Figure S4 and S6.