Age at Injury is Associated with the Long-Term Cognitive Outcome of Traumatic Brain Injuries

Wei Li,1 Shannon L. Risacher,2 Thomas W. McAllister,3 Andrew J. Saykin,2 for the Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative*

Corresponding author:
Dr. Wei Li
1Master of Physician Assistant Studies, School of Health & Rehabilitation Sciences, Indiana University-Purdue University Indianapolis
2039 N. Capitol Avenue, Indianapolis, IN 46202
Tel: (317)-278-9575; Fax: 317-278-9555; email: wl23@iu.edu

Dr. Shannon L. Risacher
2Center for Neuroimaging, Department of Radiology and Imaging Sciences, and Indiana Alzheimer Disease Center Indiana University School of Medicine,
Indianapolis, Indiana
355 W. 16th Street, Suite 4100, Indianapolis, IN 46202

Thomas W. McAllister
3Department of Psychiatry, Indiana University School of Medicine, Indianapolis,
Indiana
355 W. 16th Street, Suite 4800, Indianapolis, IN 46202

This is the author's manuscript of the article published in final edited form as:
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dadm.2017.01.008
Dr. Andrew J. Saykin

2Center for Neuroimaging, Department of Radiology and Imaging Sciences, and
Indiana Alzheimer Disease Center Indiana University School of Medicine,
Indianapolis, Indiana
355 W. 16th Street, Suite 4100, Indianapolis, IN 46202

*Data used in preparation of this article were obtained from the Alzheimer's
Disease Neuroimaging Initiative (ADNI) database (adni.loni.usc.edu). As such,
the investigators within the ADNI contributed to the design and implementation of
ADNI and/or provided data but did not participate in analysis or writing of this
report. A complete listing of ADNI investigators can be found at:
http://adni.loni.usc.edu/wp-content/uploads/how_to_apply/
ADNI_Acknowledgement_List.pdf

Acknowledgments

Data collection and sharing for this project was funded by the Alzheimer's
Disease Neuroimaging Initiative (ADNI) (National Institutes of Health Grant U01
AG024904) and DOD ADNI (Department of Defense award number W81XWH-
12-2-0012). Analyses in the present report were supported by NIA R01 AG19771
(to AJS), NIA K01 AG049050 (SLR), and funding from Indiana Alzheimer
Disease Center (P30 AG10133). The authors recognize the contributions of Dr.
Ulla Connor, Esen Gokpinar-Shelton, and Matthew Hume from the International
Center for Intercultural Communication at IU School of Liberal Arts for their
editorial support.
ABSTRACT

INTRODUCTION: The association between age at injury (AAI) and long-term cognitive outcome of traumatic brain injuries (TBI) is debatable.

METHODS: Eligible participants with a TBI history from Alzheimer’s Disease Neuroimaging Initiative were divided into a childhood TBI (cTBI) group (the AAI ≤ 21 years old) and an adult TBI (aTBI) group (the AAI > 21 years old).

RESULTS: The cTBI group has a higher Everyday Cognition (ECog) total score than the aTBI group. All perceived cognitive functions are worse for the cTBI group than for the aTBI group except memory. By contrast, the cTBI group has higher assessment scores on either the Boston Naming Test (BNT) or Rey Auditory Verbal Learning Test (RAVLT) than the aTBI group.

DISCUSSION: The AAI is associated with the long-term cognitive outcomes in older adults with a TBI history.
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INTRODUCTION

Age at injury (AAI) has been shown to influence cognitive outcome in patients with traumatic brain injuries (TBI) [1]. However, the association between AAI and the long-term cognitive outcome of TBI is debatable. On one hand, the AAI was not associated with the cognitive outcome measured within the first year post-TBI for children who sustained TBI before 6 years of age [2]. The AAI was also not an effective predictor for the long-term cognitive outcome in patients with a history of severe TBI when they were evaluated at an average of 14 years post-TBI [3]. On the other hand, pediatric TBI patients with a younger AAI (< 8 years old) were associated with a worse cognitive outcome when they were tested at least 6 years post-TBI [4]. Moreover, a younger AAI was reported to be associated with a better long-term cognitive outcome of TBI in a study with a follow-up duration of 30 years [1]. Although the AAI has been studied with regard to its effects on cognitive performance in young patients with TBI [4-7], no study has been done to compare the long-term cognitive outcome between patients sustained with childhood TBI (cTBI) and adult TBI (aTBI). In this report, the AAI was investigated for its relationship with the long-term cognitive outcome of TBI by analyzing the cognitive performance of elderly participants enrolled in the Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative (ADNI). The findings have important implications for making prognosis and therapeutic plans for patients with a history of TBI.
METHODS

ADNI

Data used in the preparation of this report were obtained from the ADNI database (adni.loni.usc.edu). ADNI is the result of efforts by many co-investigators from a broad range of academic institutions and private corporations. Participants have been recruited from over 50 sites across the U.S. and Canada. To date, ADNI has recruited over 1800 adults, ages 55 to 90, to participate in the research, consisting of cognitively normal older individuals, people with early or late mild cognitive impairment (MCI), and people with early Alzheimer’s disease (AD). Further information can be found at http://www.adni-info.org/ and in previous reports [8-13].

AAI

ADNI participants with a TBI history were selected by searching keywords from the medical history database as previously described [14]. For multiple traumatic brain injuries with the same severity (mild versus moderate or severe), the date for the first injury was used to determine the AAI. When one TBI was more severe than the other ones, the date for the most severe TBI was used to derive the AAI. Based on the AAI, all participants with a history of TBI were divided into a childhood TBI (cTBI) group (the AAI ≤ 21 years old) and an adult TBI (aTBI) group (the AAI > 21 years old).
Cognitive Assessments

All the participants had completed a battery of neuropsychological tests including Everyday Cognition (ECog) ratings, Boston Naming Test (BNT), and Rey Auditory Verbal Learning Test (RAVLT). The subjective ECog ratings are used to assess the participants’ perceptions about their capability to perform normal everyday tasks, in comparison to activity levels 10 years prior, on a 5-point scale (1 = no change or actually performs better than 10 years ago; 2 = occasionally performs the task worse but not all of the time; 3 = consistently performs the task a little worse than 10 years ago; 4 = performs the task much worse than 10 years ago; 5 = participant/caregiver does not know) [15]. The ECog ratings cover multiple cognitive domains, including language, memory, visual spatial ability, and executive function, including planning, organization, and divided attention. The BNT is a language function test sensitive to both aphasia and object recognition deficit with a maximum score of 30 points. The RAVLT is a test for episodic memory to recall a list of words immediately after presentation and recall and recognize the words after a 30-minute delay interval [16].

Statistic Analysis and Figures

Two-way analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) was used to compare ECog ratings and cognitive performance on the BNT and RAVLT between the cTBI and aTBI groups, using AAI and baseline diagnosis as independent variables. Baseline age, gender, and education were controlled as potential confounding factors. A multivariate analysis of covariance (MANCOVA) model was used to compare
ECog performance in different domains between the cTBI and aTBI groups. Results are shown in the form of mean ± standard error, and p < 0.05 is considered as significant for all statistical analyses with SPSS (version 23.0; IBM Corp., Armonk, NY). Figures were created using Microsoft Excel or Sigmaplot (version 10.0).

RESULTS

The AAI shows a bimodal distribution

In this study, the average lag time was 39.21 ± 23.07 years (n=119), which refers to the delay between the sustaining time of TBI and the cognitive assessment time. The AAI of all participants with TBI showed a typical bimodal distribution. The first peak appeared in the range of 5 to 40 years old; the other peak was in the range of 60 to 90 years old (see Fig. 1).

AAI is associated with ECog ratings

The cTBI group had an ECog total score of 1.96 ± 0.10 (95% CI: 1.76-2.16, n=31). This is higher than the same measure for the aTBI group of 1.50 ± 0.09 (95% CI: 1.32-1.69, n=33, p=0.002) (Fig. 2). Furthermore, AAI interacts with baseline diagnosis for affecting the ECog total score (p=0.012), such that the effects of AAI were seen in participants with a baseline diagnosis of cognitive impairments, especially those with AD, but not with cognitively normal participants. Subsequent MANCOVA showed that the cTBI group has
significantly higher ECog assessment scores than the aTBI group for all ECog domains except memory (p=0.105) (Table 1).

**AAI is associated with performance on BNT and RAVLT**

The analyses showed that the cTBI and aTBI groups have significantly different performance on the BNT or RAVLT delayed recognition (Fig. 3). The BNT total score is 27.72 ± 0.50 (95% CI: 26.72-28.72, n=47) for the cTBI group, which is higher than the same measure for the aTBI group of 26.07 ± 0.48 (95% CI: 25.11-27.03, n=64, p=0.025). Similarly, the cTBI group has a RAVLT total score of 12.28 ± 0.48 (95% CI: 11.32-13.23, n=47), which is higher than the same measure for the aTBI group of 10.42 ± 0.46 (95% CI: 9.51-11.34, n=64) (p=0.009).

**DISCUSSION**

This retrospective study investigated the association between AAI and the long-term cognitive outcome in elderly participants with a TBI history. The participants with a TBI history were divided into cTBI and aTBI groups based on the sustaining age of TBI. The cognitive performance on ECog ratings, BNT and RAVLT was compared between the two groups of participants.

The mean lag time was more than 39 years in the current study. This is the longest follow-up duration for studying the long-term cognitive outcome of TBI in the literature. The bimodal distribution of AAI was expected because the
youngest and the oldest members of a population are always at the greatest risk for sustaining a TBI [17].

The cTBI group has higher ECog scores than the aTBI group in all domains, although the difference in the memory domain does not reach significance (Table 1). In general, a higher ECog item assessment score represents more subjective complaints. However, the ECog rating differences seen in this report are probably not clinically significant due to the small differences between the aTBI and cTBI groups. The absolute ECog rating values are below 2 for both cTBI and aTBI groups (2 = occasionally performs the task worse but not all of the time), suggesting minimal subjective complaints in these groups.

However, the cTBI group has a significantly better performance on both the BNT and RAVLT recognition scores than the aTBI group. The results suggest that immature brains are more resilient for maintaining the episodic memory/recognition and language functions than mature brains. The findings are consistent with those from a previous report that adult TBI patients with a relatively younger AAI (55-64 years old) were suggested to be more resilient to dementia development than those with an older AAI (65-74 years old) [18]. However, the convergence of subjective ratings (more complaints in cTBI) and objective performance (better performance in cTBI) is interesting and should be further investigated.
This study has several limitations. Pre-injury social economic status has been shown to be associated with the long-term cognitive outcome of TBI [3]. Pre-injury ability was also identified as a significant predictor of post-injury cognitive status in those patients with cTBI [19, 20]. However, neither pre-injury SES nor pre-injury ability was controlled as potential confounding factors in the current study due to unavailability of information. In addition, the history of TBI was based on self-report information from either participants or informants, which may bring some inaccuracy. Although injury severity has been reported to be associated with the long-term cognitive outcome of TBI [3, 21-23], the role of TBI severity was not investigated in this study because of the self-reported medical history. Finally, participants with a history of TBI were divided into the cTBI and aTBI groups using an arbitrary age of 21 years old. Since a significantly higher rate of cognitive dysfunction is only seen in patients with TBI sustaining after age 11 than in the general population [24], it might be more reasonable to group patients by brain maturation phases in future studies [25].

In summary, the findings from this study showed that age at injury is associated with the long-term cognitive outcome of individuals with a history of TBI. People with TBI occurring before age 22 had better cognitive performance in language and episodic memory/recognition than those with aTBI, despite an increased level of self-perceived cognitive decline in all cognitive domains on the ECog assessments.
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**Fig. 1.** The AAI showed a bimodal distribution.

TBI: traumatic brain injuries

**Fig. 2.** The AAI affected the ECog total score.

The cTBI group had a higher ECog total score than the aTBI group. AAI: age at injury; ECog: Everyday Cognition; cTBI: childhood TBI; aTBI: adult TBI

**Fig. 3.** The AAI had effects on language and memory functions.

The cTBI group had a significantly better performance than the aTBI group on two cognition function tests, the BNT (panel A) and the RAVLT delayed recognition (panel B). AAI: age at injury; TBI: traumatic brain injury; cTBI: childhood TBI; aTBI: adult TBI; RAVLT: Rey Auditory Verbal Learning Test; BNT: Boston Naming Test