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INTRODUCTION
It has been known that demineralization and remineralization of tooth structure occur over time. More importantly, the net balance between the pathologic and protective factors will determine the rate of caries lesion progression to a state that can be detected visually or by other caries detection methodologies.\(^1\text{-}^5\)

As a result of the widespread use and availability of fluoride, caries lesion behavior has changed significantly and a lower progression rate has been observed.\(^6,\text{ }^7\) The slow progress of the caries lesion gives the dental professional a substantial opportunity to diagnose and manage dental caries at an early stage, as non-cavitated lesions can be arrested or remineralized before irreversible destruction of the tooth structure occurs.\(^1,\text{ }^5,\text{ }^8\) Hence, early caries detection and monitoring can have a profound effect on the success of preventive treatment of non-cavitated caries lesions.\(^5\)

Occlusal, approximal, and free smooth surfaces at the gingival margins of the teeth are susceptible to dental caries, as caries lesions develop in areas of stagnation where plaque can accumulate undisturbed.\(^9\) Epidemiological studies in children, young adults and adults have shown a shift in caries prevalence from occlusal surfaces at younger age to approximal surfaces at adulthood.\(^10\text{-}^15\) Unlike the occlusal and free smooth surfaces, the approximal surfaces cannot be visualized directly due to presence of neighboring teeth. Therefore, clinicians commonly rely on bitewing radiographic signs of demineralization for early approximal caries detection and for caries lesion monitoring over time.\(^16\text{-}^18\)
Visual caries examination is the most frequently used method for caries detection in daily dental practice. This is perhaps because it is an expedient technique with no additional cost to the dentist and the patient. The main limitation of visual caries detection is related to its subjective nature, thus interpretation of clinical signs of caries lesions may vary among examiners. Studies evaluating visual examination for caries detection have reported results with an extensive range of sensitivity (Sn) and specificity (Sp) values. This wide variation in the results among studies may be at least partially due to the vast array of classification criteria, as well as differences in the conditions under which the examinations were performed. Consequently, an effort has been made to develop and validate visual caries detection systems such as the International Caries Detection and Assessment System (ICDAS). ICDAS records dental caries using an ordinal scale from 0 to 6 in an attempt to correlate the clinical appearance of the teeth to their histological status. ICDAS has been shown in vitro to be more reliable and accurate than radiographic examination for detecting and estimating the depth of early approximal lesions when the approximal surface was visualized directly.

Gimenez et al. performed a systematic review with meta-analysis to discern the overall performance of visual examination for caries detection. They found that studies that used firmly established scoring criteria demonstrated higher accuracy in approximal and occlusal caries detection than studies that did not report the scoring method or that used non-validated scoring systems. Additionally, since Sn and Sp are the most commonly used indicators of diagnostic test performance, they calculated Sn and Sp from published literature at two thresholds: “initial caries lesion” which defined as “all lesions independent of lesion depth or dental surface integrity,” and “more advanced caries...
lesions” which defined as lesion extended to dentin or cavitated lesions. Regarding “initial caries lesion” detection, they found that the Sn in vivo was 0.297 and Sp was 0.990 in permanent teeth, and 0.430 and 0.908, respectively, in primary teeth. In vitro the Sn was 0.715 and Sp was 0.796 in permanent teeth, while in primary teeth Sn was 0.709 and Sp was 0.865. They mentioned that the discrepancies between in-vivo and in-vitro studies may be related to the presence of saliva and dental soft tissues, which may reduce caries detection ability, leading to lower Sn values in vivo. They also mentioned that difficulty in reassembling the approximal contact in vitro could be a possible explanation. Nevertheless, visual examination possesses limited accuracy for early approximal caries detection. Therefore, additional methods, such as radiography, are likely to be used.

First described by Raper (1925), bitewing radiography in combination with visual examination has become the traditional method for approximal caries detection. Radiographs also help to estimate approximal and occlusal caries lesion depth and enable detection of lesions on visually inaccessible surfaces. Based on a recent systematic review with meta-analysis, radiographic examination has shown in in-vivo studies to be suitable for detecting cavitated approximal caries lesions; Sn ranged from 0.59 to 0.70 and Sp ranged from 0.97 to 0.99. However, with low Sn (ranging from 0.21 to 0.26) for detecting early approximal enamel and dentin lesions, diagnostic inaccuracy of bitewing radiography remains a problem (for review, please see).

A further limitation of radiographic caries detection is that image interpretation may vary significantly within or between examiners. Also, some technical factors may adversely affect the quality of bitewing radiography. Errors in vertical and horizontal angulation of the x-ray tube head, film, and film holder can lead to under-
over-estimation of the lesion depth.\textsuperscript{16, 34, 38-40} Moreover, difficulty in reproducing projection geometry limits the ability to assess lesion progression over time.\textsuperscript{16} In addition to errors related to technical factors, several operator variables may also affect the diagnostic ability of dental radiography. Image noise, such as structure noise\textsuperscript{41-43} and quantum noise\textsuperscript{41} lowers the precision of radiographic images. Geometry of the caries lesion,\textsuperscript{44} radiopacity of adjacent restorative materials,\textsuperscript{44-48} cervical burnout and Mach band effect,\textsuperscript{49} and viewing condition\textsuperscript{50} can also limit diagnostic accuracy.

An argument has been raised to re-evaluate clinicians’ over-reliance on using radiography for caries detection because of the following reasons: (1) decrease in caries prevalence,\textsuperscript{16} (2) slow progression rate of approximal caries lesions as the result of the widespread use of fluoride,\textsuperscript{6, 7} (3) the risk associated with low-dose radiation, especially for children.\textsuperscript{16} Although there is no conclusive evidence that dental radiographs taken during childhood increase the risk of malignant diseases,\textsuperscript{51, 52} it is still difficult to justify the repeated use of bitewing radiography to monitor caries lesions; or to evaluate the effectiveness of non-invasive dental preventive treatments.\textsuperscript{16, 19, 53-55} Therefore, because of these concerns, it is of vital importance to continue the development of new caries detection techniques with improved, Sn, Sp, and reliability, and with no additional risk to the patients.

Searching for a better caries detection method has resulted in many attempts. Methods based on light transillumination have been improved. Digital imaging fiber optic transillumination (DIFOTI) (Electro-Optical Sciences Inc., NY, USA) was introduced as a more sensitive, non-irradiative adjunctive method for early caries detection.\textsuperscript{56} However,
due to its high price, DIFOTI, has not been widely used, and a new less expensive generation of transillumination techniques has been introduced.

Using the same principle of transillumination as in DIFOTI, Near Infrared Digital Imaging Transillumination (NIDIT) was introduced in Europe as DIAGNOcam (KaVo, Biberach, Germany) in 2012, and a year later, in the United States as CariVu™ (DEXIS, LLC, Hatfield, PA, USA). Instead of using visible light like DIFOTI, this device uses a near infrared (wave length ~ 780 nm) light to transilluminate the tooth. The system (Figure 1) consists of a charged coupled device (CCD) sensor to capture images, connection to a computer, special software, and elastic arms containing a near infrared light source that transmits light through the gingiva, the alveolar bone, the root of the tooth, and up to the crown (Figure 2). The image is displayed from the occlusal and saved in digital format (Figure 3).

Kuhnisch J et al., performed an in-vivo study to compare the performance of visual examination, radiography, Laser Fluorescence pen (LF pen, DIAGNOdent Pen, KaVo Biberach, Germany) and NIDIT for detection of dentin-involved approximal caries lesions. The Sn values were 0.016 for visual, 0.667 for LF pen, 0.992 for NIDIT, and 0.961 for radiography. This study demonstrated the potential of NIDIT for approximal caries detection.

To our best knowledge, no study has evaluated NIDIT imaging for measuring the extension of lesion depth, validity and reliability. Hence, we observed the need for in-vitro validation of NIDIT regarding the detection of early non-cavitated caries lesions. This in-vitro model should be established to simulate the clinical situation in terms of
allowing the near infrared light to be transmitted through a certain material thickness and the roots up to the crown of the tooth.

Objectives

The objectives of this study were:

1. To evaluate the ability of the NIDIT to detect non-cavitated enamel and dentin approximal caries lesions.
2. To compare the performance among the NIDIT, ICDAS, digital radiography (DR), and DIFOTI.

Null Hypotheses

The null hypotheses of this study were 1) NIDIT cannot detect non-cavitated enamel and dentin approximal caries lesions, and 2) No difference exists in the performance among the NIDIT, ICDAS, DR, and DIFOTI.

Alternative Hypotheses

The alternative hypotheses of this study were 1) The NIDIT imaging system can detect non-cavitated enamel and dentin approximal caries lesions, and 2) A difference exists in the performance among NIDIT, ICDAS, DR, and DIFOTI.
REVIEW OF LITERATURE
In addition to visual/tactile examination and bitewing radiography, several adjunctive methods have been used for approximal caries detection. Examples include tooth separation, fiber optic transillumination (FOTI), digital imaging fiber optic transillumination (DIFOTI, Electro-Optical Sciences Inc, NY, USA), cone beam computed tomography (CBCT), optical coherence tomography (OCT), laser fluorescence (DIAGNOdent, KaVo, Biberach, Germany), ultrasound, light emitting diode (LED) fluorescence (Midwest Caries I.D.™), frequency–domain photothermal radiometry and modulated luminescence (PTR/LUM, The Canary System ®, Quantum Dental Technologies Inc., Toronto, Ontario, Canada).

TOOTH SEPARATION

Temporary tooth separation was advocated as it offers dentists a chance to determine whether the lesion is cavitated, active or inactive, especially in children and low caries risk and motivated patients. Tooth separation was introduced more than a century ago. It has been taught in a number of dental schools across Europe. Temporary tooth separation requires two appointments. At the first, an orthodontic elastic band is placed between the approximal surfaces to be diagnosed. The second appointment is 3 to 7 days later. At this appointment, the separator is removed, revealing a widened interproximal space that can be examined. Space closure occurs spontaneously within 48 hours of separator removal. Several studies have demonstrated that more approximal caries lesions were detected at much earlier stages when tooth
separation was performed.\textsuperscript{7, 64, 65} Temporary tooth separation can confirm presence or absence of cavitation and increases access for conservative restorations and preventive agent placement.\textsuperscript{7, 66-73} However, tooth separation may not always result in improved accessibility for direct examination of the approximal lesion, and may cause discomfort to the patient. Also, it requires an extra visit for the patient.\textsuperscript{16, 65} Thus, the use of tooth separation has not yet gained popularity as a routine method for approximal caries detection in the dental office.\textsuperscript{16, 60, 74}

**FIBER OPTIC TRANS ILLUMINATION (FOTI) AND DIGITAL IMAGING FIBER OPTIC TRANSILLUMINATION (DIFOTI)**

FOTI is a simple technique that uses a narrow beam white light to transilluminate the tooth. Friedman and Marcus\textsuperscript{75} suggested this technique for caries detection. The principle behind FOTI is when areas of disrupted enamel crystals that occur in demineralized tooth tissues are transilluminated, they appear as dark shadows due to changes in the light scattering and absorption of light photons.\textsuperscript{75}

The Sn of FOTI has been shown to vary between 0.50 and 0.85\textsuperscript{76, 77} with higher Sn value for dentin lesions than for enamel lesions.\textsuperscript{78-80} Peers et al.,\textsuperscript{81} compared \textit{in vitro} the performance of radiography and FOTI using histologic examination as a gold standard. They found no significant difference between the Sn values of radiography (0.59) and FOTI (0.67) regarding the approximal caries detection. Although, FOTI is widely accepted by clinicians to detect approximal caries in anterior teeth, it also may add substantially to dentin-involved caries detection in posterior teeth.\textsuperscript{20}

DIFOTI uses the same principle as FOTI. DIFOTI uses a visible light (wavelength range between 450 and 700 nm) to transilluminate the tooth and a charge
coupled device (CCD) camera. DIFOTI can capture a real time image from occlusal (DIFOTI-Occlusal) or buccal and lingual surfaces (DIFOTI-BL).

Schneiderman et al.,\textsuperscript{56} compared DIFOTI with radiography in an \textit{in-vitro} study with histologic examination as a gold standard. DIFOTI showed a higher Sn (0.56), but lower Sp (0.76), than radiography, which showed Sn and Sp values of 0.21 and 0.91, respectively. Moreover, DIFOTI was shown \textit{in vivo} to have higher Sn than visual examination for detection of cavitated approximal lesions when visual examination after cavity preparation was used as a reference standard.\textsuperscript{82} Furthermore, DIFOTI has shown a correlation between the degree of darkened shadow and the lesion depth in occlusal and smooth surface lesions \textit{in vivo}.\textsuperscript{83} Hence, it demonstrates a potential to provide objective data for caries lesion assessment as gray scale value may be used for this purpose.\textsuperscript{83}

DIFOTI offers several advantages over bitewing radiography, including: (1) elimination of the radiation hazard associated with bitewing radiography; (2) images are viewed in real time; (3) no film, which reduces patients’ discomfort associated with the use of intra-oral films or sensor; and (4) higher Sn than radiography for early caries detection.\textsuperscript{56,81,84} The disadvantages of DIFOTI are as follows: (1) it has not been proven that DIFOTI can objectively quantify lesion size, depth, volume, and mineral content; (2) DIFOTI cannot differentiate between caries lesions and developmental defects such as fluorosis; (3) DIFOTI does not determine caries activity; and (4) the higher Sn value might lead to higher false positive values, which might lead to over-treatment.

**CONE BEAM COMPUTED TOMOGRAPHY (CBCT)**

CBCT is a modification of medical computed tomography (medical CT), which uses cone beam of x-rays rather than fan beam in the conventional medical CT. CBCT
generates three-dimensional (3D) images at lower radiation doses and lower cost than the conventional medical CT. The subsequent 3D image can be sectioned using imaging software and viewed in frontal, sagittal, and axial planes. CBCT has been used for several dental diagnostic purposes, such as dental implant treatment, craniofacial anomalies, endodontics, orthodontics, and periodontics. Several studies have reported the use of CBCT for enamel and dentin caries detection. The majority of these studies were in vitro and compared the performance of CBCT with conventional or digital intraoral radiography and histology or micro computed tomography (micro-CT) as a gold standard. Most of these studies have shown that CBCT does not improve the accuracy of caries detection when compared with conventional or digital intraoral radiography.

Regarding cavitated approximal caries detection, CBCT demonstrated a significantly higher SN than intraoral conventional and digital radiography in vivo. In this in-vivo study, direct visual inspection of the approximal surface after tooth separation was used as a reference standard. However, none of the included teeth had metallic restorations; thus, they are less likely to show beam hardening artifact, which has a profound effect on the quality of CBCT images. In addition to beam hardening artifacts, the higher cost and higher radiation dose of the CBCT compared to intraoral radiography limit its use as a primary radiographic modality for dental caries detection.

OPTICAL COHERENCE TOMOGRAPHY (OCT)

Optical coherence tomography (OCT) is a novel non-invasive, non-irradiative imaging technique that uses infrared light to produce a real time cross-sectional image of a tissue. OCT constructs images from the back-scattered light of a transilluminated tissue based on the differences of the optical absorption and scattering properties of the tissue.
OCT has been used in several clinical applications, including dermatology, gastroenterology, ophthalmology, and dentistry. OCT in dentistry can be used for early caries detection, tooth crack diagnosis, and assessment of marginal integrity of existing restorations.

Swept-source optical coherence tomography (SS-OCT) is an improved modification of traditional OCT systems. One in-vivo study evaluated the performance of SS-OCT and bitewing radiography for approximal caries detection. The reference standard was based on information collected from direct visual examination before caries excavation, visual examination after caries excavation, radiography, and SS-OCT. SS-OCT demonstrated significantly higher Sn and Sp than radiography for enamel and outer one-third dentin caries detection. However, for deep dentin caries, SS-OCT produced significantly lower Sn than radiography, while the Sp was the same. This is due to significantly greater SS-OCT beam attenuation and scattering in dentin than in enamel. Also, in this study, pulp chambers did not appear clearly in the OCT images; therefore, lesion depth in relation to the pulp could not be determined. This is an important clinical shortcoming, especially in the case of symptomatic teeth.

LASER FLUORESCENCE (DIAGNODENT)

Laser Fluorescence (LF) caries detection (DIAGNOdent, KaVo Biberach, Germany) is based on the principle that when a red light (wavelength ~ 655 nm) is applied to a tooth, the caries-related changes in the tooth tissues lead to an increase in fluorescence. It was suggested that these changes in fluorescence are due to protoporphyrin, a photosensitive pigment present in carious tissues as a result of bacterial metabolic activities. Clean and healthy teeth produce little or no fluorescence, while
carious teeth produce fluorescence proportional to the degree of caries.\textsuperscript{121} LF provides values from a point of application that can be used to estimate the depth of the caries lesion. These values range from 0 to 99. For example, scores from 0-10 might be interpreted as healthy and scores above 30 might indicate a lesion that requires restorative treatment.\textsuperscript{57,122} A laser fluorescence pen (LF pen) (DIAGNOdent Pen, KaVo Biberach, Germany) was introduced with a smaller tip design to allow detection of approximal caries lesions. Lussi and Hellwig\textsuperscript{130} reported performance similar to the traditional DIAGNOdent on occlusal surfaces. Ribeiro et al.\textsuperscript{123} evaluated the performance of visual examination, bitewing radiography, and LF pen for detection of approximal caries on primary teeth \textit{in vivo}; micro-CT served as a gold standard after the teeth were exfoliated.\textsuperscript{123} Before tooth separation, the LF pen demonstrated higher Sn, but lower Sp, than visual and bitewing radiographic examinations for detection of enamel caries. For dentin lesions, the Sn of LF pen was higher than visual examination but lower than bitewing radiography, and the Sp was significantly lower than both visual examination and bitewing radiography. Tooth separation significantly improved the Sn and Sp values of visual and LF pen examinations for detection of both enamel and dentin caries lesions. For enamel caries, visual examination provided higher Sn, while LF pen showed significantly higher Sn for dentin caries detection. After tooth exfoliation, they performed \textit{in-vitro} LF pen examination and it showed improved Sn and Sp for both enamel and dentin lesions because the laser light could directly access the tooth surface.\textsuperscript{123} A systematic review of DIAGNOdent\textsuperscript{124} concluded that LF has higher Sn than other traditional diagnostic methods. However, LF has a higher tendency to produce false-positive diagnoses, which suggests that it should be used with caution and not as a
primary diagnostic method.\textsuperscript{121}

ULTRASOUND

The use of diagnostic ultrasound in dentistry was first reported more than 50 years ago.\textsuperscript{125} Ultrasound uses sound waves with higher frequency than humans are able to hear.\textsuperscript{126} This frequency limit is approximately 20 kilohertz. Ultrasound imaging offers several advantages, as it is simple, low-cost, with no harmful side effects, and it can provide a real time images.\textsuperscript{127} For caries detection, ultrasound is based on the substantial difference in sonic conductivity between sound and demineralized enamel.\textsuperscript{127} An \textit{in-vitro} study evaluated an ultrasonic caries detection device and radiography for cavitated approximal caries detection with histology as a gold standard.\textsuperscript{128} Both the Sn and Sp of the ultrasonic caries detection device were 1.00, while for radiography the Sn was 0.90 and Sp 0.92.\textsuperscript{128} \textit{In vivo}, the same ultrasonic device system produced a mean Sn (by three examiners) of 0.82 and Sp of 0.75 for cavitated approximal caries detection (visual examination after cavity preparation was used as the reference standard.)\textsuperscript{129} For radiography, the mean Sn and Sp were 0.49 and 0.90. The authors suggested that the specificity of the device can be improved by enhancing the ultrasound signal processing algorithm to reduce false positive diagnoses.\textsuperscript{129} Although, the ultrasonic caries detection device showed promising results, there are no further studies reporting the use of this system.

LIGHT EMITTING DIODE (LED) FLUORESCENCE (MIDWEST CARIES I.D.\textsuperscript{TM})

The principle of this method is that it detects differences in the reflection and refraction of infrared energy from red light-emitting diodes (LED) that is carried by a fiber optic cable to a tooth. The presence of a caries lesion will lead to changes in these
properties. Another fiber optic cable serves as a photodetector that transmits captured light to a microprocessor, which compares the signals to defined parameters. A Caries detection method based on this principle was introduced as Midwest Caries I.D.™ (Dentsply, York, PA, USA).

One in-vitro study evaluated the performance of LED Fluorescence, LF pen, radiography, and visual examination for approximal enamel and dentin caries detection. Visual examination and radiography performed better in terms of Sn and Sp for both enamel and dentin lesions. The authors concluded that LED fluorescence was not adequate for approximal caries detection, perhaps due to loss of signal during signal transduction through the occlusal part of the lesion.

FREQUENCY-DOMAIN INFRARED PHOTOTHERMAL RADIOMETRY AND MODULATED LUMINESCENCE (PTR/LUM, THE CANARY SYSTEM®)

The Canary System® (Quantum Dental Technologies Toronto, Ontario, Canada) is based on photothermal radiometry and modulated luminescence technology (PTR/LUM). The manufacturer claims that this system: (1) can detect caries from 50 µm to 5 mm depth, including caries under sealants and around the margins of restorations; (2) is not affected by stains or calculus, and (3) does not require a dry field.

PTR is based upon the modulated thermal infrared response (black body or Plank radiation) of a medium that results from repeated irradiation of a specimen. Black body radiation is a type of electromagnetic radiation surrounding or within a body in thermodynamic equilibrium with its environment or emitted by a black body when the temperature is uniform and constant. The radiation has a specific constant and intensity dependent only on the temperature of the body. A change in the temperature of the
sample surface will occur as a result of the conversion of the absorbed radiation energy to thermal energy. The change in the thermal emissions caused by the modulated temperature can be measured using an infrared detector that constitutes the PTR signal.\textsuperscript{132, 134}

LUM is based on the conversion of optical energy to radiation energy. When a molecule absorbs optical energy from a laser source, it results in excitation of its chromophores to a higher energy state, then de-excitation to a lower energy state, and longer wavelength energy is emitted. This emitted longer wavelength can be detected via a photodetector, which constitutes the LUM signal.\textsuperscript{132, 134}

The PTR/LUM caries detection method has demonstrated higher Sn and Sp than visual examination, radiography, and DIAGNOdent for early occlusal caries detection.\textsuperscript{135} For early approximal caries detection, PTR has shown an increase in the amplitude by more than 300\% after 80 hours of artificial demineralization. However, LUM was found to have lower ability than PTR to detect early approximal lesions.\textsuperscript{136} An \textit{in-vitro} study evaluated the performance of PTR/LUM, visual examination, and radiography, with polarized light microscopy as a gold standard for approximal caries detection. The Sn of PTR/LUM was higher than both visual examination and radiography. There was no significant difference in Sp between PTR/LUM and radiography, but visual examination was significantly lower.\textsuperscript{137} The PTR/LUM method is still new and further studies are needed to evaluate its performance.

**MICROFOCUS COMPUTED TOMOGRAPHY (M-CT)**

In order to calculate Sn and Sp of a diagnostic test, a gold standard must be established.\textsuperscript{138} Traditionally, histological examination of sliced tooth sections has been
used for this purpose.\textsuperscript{138} However, histological examination has several limitations.
Mechanical sectioning of the teeth may damage the caries site. Also, the sectioned slice may not resemble the real extension of the lesion or the corresponding examined site, which may result in under- or over-estimation of the lesion extension. Further, this method requires destruction of specimens, which excludes the possibility for \textit{in-vitro} monitoring of the lesion.\textsuperscript{139-141}

On the other hand, microfocus computed tomography (\(\mu\text{-}CT\)) allows non-destructive 3D visualization of the morphological characteristics of teeth and the determination of the mineral content in teeth and bones.\textsuperscript{142-149} \(\mu\text{-}CT\) is a microscopic version of the medical CT. A major difference between \(\mu\text{-}CT\) systems and medical CT scanners is that, in the \(\mu\text{-}CT\) units, the specimen moves while the x-ray source and detector are stationary.\textsuperscript{142} The basic components of \(\mu\text{-}CT\) are: (1) an X-ray source, and (2) a detector that receives attenuated X-rays from an irradiated object.\textsuperscript{150, 151} The principle of \(\mu\text{-}CT\) is based upon mathematical reconstruction of the linear attenuation coefficient from measurements of attenuated X-ray beams that pass through an object at different angles.\textsuperscript{150, 151} The linear attenuation coefficient depends on photon energy, chemical composition, and density of the material.\textsuperscript{150, 151}

\(\mu\text{-}CT\) has been used as a gold standard for validation of diagnostic performance of different caries detection methods in several studies.\textsuperscript{98, 123, 152, 153} In addition to the nondestructive characteristic of \(\mu\text{-}CT\), the main advantage of \(\mu\text{-}CT\) validation is the ability to examine countless numbers of tooth sections; therefore, it allows determining the actual lesion site and depth.\textsuperscript{153}
METHODS AND MATERIALS
TEETH SELECTION

Figure 4 illustrates a flow of the current study. Eighty-five teeth were selected from a pool of extracted teeth. They included twelve sound, as well as 73 carious premolars that had approximal non-cavitated caries lesions surrounded by sound enamel. The presence of caries was determined by visual tooth surface changes. The extracted human teeth were collected from dental practitioners across the United States. The collection of human teeth for use in dental laboratory research studies has been approved by the Indiana University (IU) Institutional Review Board (IU-IRB#:1512034387). All specimens were stored in 0.1% thymol solution at 4°C until used. Teeth were cleaned using a Robinson’s brush with water on a slow speed handpiece.

INITIAL MICROFOCUS COMPUTED TOMOGRAPHY (M-CT) IMAGE ACQUISITION

The selected eighty-five teeth were mounted and secured on plastic Lego® bricks (The LEGO Group, Billund, Denmark) using utility wax (Heraeus Kulzer Inc., Lafayette, IN, USA). The teeth were scanned using microfocus computed tomography (µ-CT) to establish a gold standard assessment. The µ-CT images were acquired using Skyscan µ-CT machine (Figure 5) (Skyscan 1172, Kontich, Belgium) at 80 kV, 134 µA, 8.9 µm pixel size resolution. An Al + Cu filter was used. The specimens were rotated at 180° with rotation step of 0.7° and frame average of 4. Three-dimensional (3D) image reconstruction was done using NRecon version 1.6.6 software (Bruker microCT, Kontich, Belgium). The reconstructed images were stored in 16-bit TIFF files. Visual
interpretation of sagittal views of the μ-CT images was performed using image display software (CT-Analyzer, Bruker microCT, Kontich, Belgium) in a dark room using a digital screen (DELL, U2412Mb, Limerick, Ireland). The images were evaluated by two examiners (NA, MA) according to the criteria previously prescribed using the scale of $E_0$ to $D_2$ (Table I). For each specimen, the image with the deepest lesion was considered for score determination. In case of disagreement, the two examiners performed the examination again until consensus agreement was achieved.

MODEL ASSEMBLING

After initial μ-CT examination, carious teeth with lesion extension into the inner two-thirds of dentin ($D_2$ lesions) were excluded. Also, cracked teeth and teeth with obvious fluorosis were excluded. Eventually, in addition to the twelve sound teeth, twenty-seven carious teeth were selected for the main examination and for training and calibration sessions. Figure 6 illustrates samples distribution after model assembling.

For the main examination, thirty extracted premolars were selected based on lesion depth extension according to μ-CT. The distribution was as follows: sound surface ($E_0$: $n=12$); lesion in the outer half of the enamel ($E_1$: $n=6$); lesion in the inner half of the enamel ($E_2$: $n=6$); lesion in the outer one-third of the dentin ($D_1$: $n=6$).

Three of the twelve sound teeth used for the main examination were also used for training and calibration. Furthermore, additional nine carious teeth (not included in the main examination) were selected for training and calibration. The distribution of the teeth for training and calibration was as follows: sound surface ($E_0$: $n=3$), lesion in the outer half of the enamel ($E_1$: $n=3$), lesion in the inner half of the enamel ($E_2$: $n=3$), lesion in the outer one-third of the dentin ($D_1$: $n=3$).
For each specimen, the apical one-third of the root was reduced using diamond discs (Lapcraft’s L’il Trimmer™, Powell, Ohio, USA), leaving the coronal two-thirds of the root. The teeth were mounted on Lego® plastic bricks (The LEGO Group, Billund, Denmark) with the test surface adjacent to a sound tooth. The height of contact, lesion and marginal ridge were standardized at the same level for all specimens. Triad® visible light cure resin (DENTSPLY International, Inc., York, PA, USA) was applied around the root and the cervical part of the teeth at the level of the cemento-enamel junction resembling the anatomy of the gingiva. The selection of triad® visible light cure resin was based on a pilot study. Several extracted premolars were mounted using different imbedding materials. NIDIT Images were acquired and evaluated in order to obtain images with contrast comparable with in-vivo NIDIT images available in public sources.58, 59, 154, 155 Dental floss was used to confirm the presence of the approximal contact (Figure 7). The assembled models were kept in a sealed plastic container with wet gauze to maintain humidity.

MAIN M-CT IMAGE ACQUISITION

After model assembling, the eighteen carious teeth selected for the main examination were scanned using μ-CT in the same manner described previously in the “Initial Microfocus Computed Tomography (μ-CT) Image Acquisition” section.

DIGITAL RADIOGRAPHY (DR) IMAGE ACQUISITION

The mounted teeth were placed on a custom film holder with a beam-aiming device (Figure 8). The DR images were obtained using Schick 33 CDR sensor (Sirona Dental Inc., Long Island City, NY, USA) at 60kV, 7 mA/ 0.20 seconds (Sirona, Heliodent DS. Bensheim, Germany). Plexiglass, 10×2.5×10 cm, was placed to simulate
the soft tissue effect between the x-ray tube head and the tooth (Figure 8.) The images were saved using dedicated software (CDR® DICOM, Schick Technologies Inc., Long Island City, NY). The images were extracted and stored in uncompressed TIFF format.

Digital Imaging Fiber Optic Transillumination (DIFOTI) Image Acquisition

An investigator (NA), who did not participate in DIFOTI examinations, obtained the images under standard conditions. The DIFOTI instrument (Electro-Optical Sciences Inc., Irvington, NY) was used to transilluminate the teeth to acquire the images and display them on the monitor. The images were obtained after air-drying in a dark room using two types of mouthpieces. The occlusal mouthpiece was used to transilluminate the tooth with a visible white light in a direction parallel to the CCD camera to obtain the occlusal image (DIFOTI-Occlusal). The approximal mouthpiece was used to transilluminate the tooth in a direction perpendicular to the CCD sensor to obtain the axial (buccal and lingual) images (DIFOTI-Buccal, DIFOTI-Lingual) (Figure 9). A dedicated DIFOTI program (DIFOTI version 2, Electro-Optical Sciences Inc., Irvington, NY, USA) was used to save and display the images.

TRAINING AND CALIBRATION

Three examiners (MA, AH, AG) who had more than 10 years of clinical teaching and research experience were trained on ICDAS, DR, DIFOTI and NIDIT examinations, prior to the main examination. The training course included theoretical elements in a PowerPoint presentation for one hour, and hands-on training on the previously obtained specimens for ICDAS and NIDIT, DR and DIFOTI images for three hours. Nine out of
the twelve calibration samples prescribed in “Model Assembling” were used for the hands-on training.

Prior to the calibration session, a project manual (Appendix) was distributed to the examiners with full instructions for each examination. The examiners performed the examination during separate sessions. NA randomly ordered the samples between examiners and before each examination using the random function of Microsoft Excel software (Microsoft® Excel® version 14.6.0, Microsoft Corporation, Redmond, WA, USA).

ICDAS CALIBRATION

The samples were placed on a tabletop just above knee level of the examiners. The examiners were instructed to sit in an upright position and to have no direct access to the approximal surfaces. The ICDAS examination was performed under a dental light unit, using a ball-ended probe and air-water syringe. Each specimen was removed from a container with 100-percent humidity, examined, air dried up to 5 seconds and examined again. The examiners used a mouth mirror to examine from the buccal and the lingual sides. The test approximal surface of each specimen was examined and the highest score from 0 to 4 was recorded according to ICDAS-II (Table II).26

DR CALIBRATION

The images were displayed randomly on a digital screen in a dark room (DELL, U2412Mb, Limerick, Ireland) via image viewer software (Windows Photo Viewer, version Windows 7, Microsoft, Redmond, WA). The images were evaluated by the three examiners according to the criteria previously prescribed23 using the scale of E₀ to D₁ (Table III).
DIFOTI CALIBRATION

The images were displayed randomly in a dark room on a digital screen via dedicated DIFOTI software (Electro-Optical Sciences Inc., Irvington, NY). The occlusal image (DIFOTI-Occlusal) was viewed and scored first for presence of approximal caries, followed by buccal and lingual images (DIFOTI-Buccal, DIFOTI-Lingual). Each approximal surface was scored for presence of shadowing as a caries lesion according to previously described criteria (Table IV).156,157

NIDIT CALIBRATION

After air-drying, each specimen was examined with NIDIT (CariVu™, DEXIS, LLC, Hatfield, PA) in a dark room. The examiners were instructed to place the light aperture and make it contact the gingiva; the NIDIT camera was centered perpendicularly over the test tooth. The live picture was monitored on the digital screen (DELL, U2412Mb, Limerick Ireland) and when the examiners were satisfied with the captured image, they were asked to save it and report the score. The images were captured and saved through the software (DEXIS, version 9.4.0, Hatfield, PA). The scoring criteria are described in Table V.

REPEATED CALIBRATION

Each examiner performed ICDAS, DR, DIFOTI, and NIDIT calibration again at least two days after the initial calibration and in the same manner as previously described.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS AFTER CALIBRATION
Intra-examiner repeatability and inter-examiner agreement of all methods were assessed using intraclass correlation coefficients (ICCs). Two-way tables were also used to provide additional information about the repeatability and agreement.

CALIBRATION RESULTS

The intra-examiner repeatability-ICCs after calibration were as follows: ICDAS (0.58), DR (0.86), NIDIT (0.71), DIFOTI-Occlusal (0.53), DIFOTI-Buccal (0.92), and DIFOTI-Lingual (0.85). The inter-examiner agreement-ICCs were as follows: ICDAS (0.58), DR (0.86), NIDIT (0.71), DIFOTI-Occlusal (0.53), DIFOTI-Buccal (0.92), and DIFOTI-Lingual (0.83).

The repeatability and agreement were not satisfactory except for DR, DIFOTI-Buccal and DIFOTI-Lingual. Therefore, the training and calibration of all methods except DR were performed again as previously described. The intra-examiner repeatability-ICCs were as follows: ICDAS (0.49), NIDIT (0.81), DIFOTI-Occlusal (0.87), DIFOTI-Buccal (0.87), DIFOTI-Lingual (0.83). The inter-examiner agreement-ICCs were as follows: ICDAS (0.48), NIDIT (0.81), DIFOTI-Occlusal (0.87), DIFOTI-Buccal (0.86), DIFOTI-Lingual (0.83).

MAIN EXAMINATIONS

ICDAS MAIN EXAMINATION

The examiners performed ICDAS examination in the same manner as described in the “ICDAS Calibration.” Repeated ICDAS examination was performed one week after main examination.
DR MAIN EXAMINATION

The examiners performed DR examination in the same manner as described in the “DR Calibration.” Repeated DR examination was performed one week after main examination.

DIFOTI MAIN EXAMINATION

The examiners performed DIFOTI examination in the same manner as described in the “DIFOTI calibration.” Repeated DIFOTI examination was performed one week after main examination.

NIDIT MAIN EXAMINATION

The examiners performed NIDIT examination in the same manner as described in the “NIDIT calibration.” Repeated NIDIT examination was performed one week after main examination.

SAMPLE SIZE JUSTIFICATION

Data from previous studies indicated a correlation of approximately 0.7 between methods. With a sample size of 10 sound teeth and 5 teeth for each of E1, E2, and D1, the study had an 80% power to detect a difference in the area under receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve of 0.23 (0.67 vs. 0.90), assuming a two-sided test with a 5% significance level.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

DIFOTI was assessed using three views – occlusal, buccal, and lingual. The maximum among the three views was used for the analyses labeled as DIFOTI, only the
occlusal view was used for DIFOTI-Occlusal, and the maximum of the DIFOTI-Buccal and DIFOTI-Lingual views was used for the analyses labeled as DIFOTI-BL.

Intra-examiner repeatability and inter-examiner agreement of all methods were assessed using intraclass correlation coefficients (ICCs). Two-way tables were also used to provide additional information about the repeatability and agreement.

Comparisons among the ICDAS, Digital Radiography, DIFOTI, and NIDIT methods for sensitivity (Sn), specificity (Sp), and area under the receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves (Az) were performed using bootstrap analyses. The correlations among the measurements and the correlations of the measurements with the µ-CT were also calculated using bootstrap methods.
RESULTS
A total of 30 approximal sites were examined. Based on the µ-CT gold standard, 12 teeth were sound (E₀); six teeth exhibited lesion depth extension in the outer half of the enamel (E₁); six teeth had lesion depth extension in the inner half of the enamel (E₂), and six teeth had lesion depth extension in the outer one-third of the dentin (D₁).

The intra-examiner repeatability and inter-examiner agreements are presented in Table VI and Figure 10. Intraclass correlation coefficients (ICCs), values of the intra-examiner repeatability, and inter-examiner agreements were interpreted based on Landis and Koch. The repeatability and agreement were almost perfect for DIFOTI, substantial for ICDAS and NIDIT, and moderate for DR.

Overall sensitivity (Sn), specificity (Sp), and area under the ROC curve (Az) for DIFOTI, DR, ICDAS, and NIDIT are presented in Table VII. Sn and Sp are presented in Figure 11. The Sn was significantly lower for DR than for DIFOTI (p = 0.003), DIFOTI-BL (p = 0.017), and ICDAS (p = 0.006). The Sn of DR was not significantly different from NIDIT (p = 0.251). The Sn was significantly lower for NIDIT than for DIFOTI (p = 0.028) and ICDAS (p = 0.049), but was not significantly different from DIFOTI-BL (p = 0.0142). The Sn was not significantly different between DIFOTI and ICDAS (p = 0.827). The Sp was not significantly different among the methods (all p > 0.05).

For µ-CT = E₁ (Figure 12, Table VII), Sn was significantly lower for DIFOTI-Occlusal than for DIFOTI (p = 0.001), DIFOTI-BL (p = 0.010), ICDAS (p < 0.001), and NIDIT (p = 0.044). No other significant differences in Sn were found among the methods.
(all \( p > 0.12 \)). For \( \mu\)-CT = \( E_2 \), Sn was significantly lower for DIFOTI-Occlusal than for DIFOTI (\( p = 0.025 \)). No other significant differences in Sn were found among the methods (\( p > 0.06 \)). For \( \mu\)-CT = \( D_1 \), Sn was significantly lower for DR than for DIFOTI (\( p = 0.010 \)), DIFOTI-BL (\( p = 0.029 \)), and ICDAS (\( p = 0.019 \)). No other significant differences in Sn were found among the methods (\( p > 0.06 \)).

Area under the ROC curve (\( A_z \)) (Figure 13 and Table VII) was significantly lower for DIFOTI-Occlusal than for DIFOTI (\( p < 0.001 \)), DIFOTI-BL (\( p < 0.001 \)), ICDAS (\( p = 0.001 \)), and NIDIT (\( p = 0.034 \)), but was not different from DR (\( p = 0.915 \)). \( A_z \) was significantly lower for DR than for DIFOTI (\( p = 0.002 \)), DIFOTI-BL (\( p = 0.001 \)), and ICDAS (\( p = 0.005 \)) but was not different from NIDIT (\( p = 0.052 \)). DIFOTI, DIFOTI-BL, ICDAS, and NIDIT were not significantly different from each other (\( p > 0.12 \)).

For correlations between the methods (DIFOTI, DR, ICDAS, and NIDIT) and \( \mu\)-CT (Table VIII and Figure 14), DIFOTI (correlation = 0.79, \( p < 0.001 \)), DIFOTI-BL (correlation = 0.80, \( p < 0.001 \)), ICDAS (correlation = 0.74, \( p < 0.001 \)), and NIDIT (correlation = 0.65, \( p < 0.001 \)) were moderately associated. DIFOTI-Occlusal was weakly associated with \( \mu\)-CT (correlation = 0.39, \( p = 0.028 \)). DR was not associated with \( \mu\)-CT (correlation = 0.19, \( p = 0.289 \)).
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FIGURE 2.  
b. Illustration of NIDIT tip position for image acquisition.
FIGURE 3. Near Infrared Digital Imaging Transillumination image of a premolar with approximal caries lesion. The blue circle indicates the caries lesion.
FIGURE 4. Flow chart of the study
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FIGURE 5.  a). Skyscan 1172 high-resolution microfocus computed tomography machine. b). Tooth sample in rotating stage inside scanning chamber.
FIGURE 6. Sample distribution flow chart.
FIGURE 7. Sample assembly on Lego® bricks.
FIGURE 8.  a) Custom film holder with beam aiming device and X-ray tube positioning. b) Main components for digital radiography image acquisition. 1) X-ray tube head. 2) Plexiglass. 3) Assembled teeth. 4) X-ray sensor.
FIGURE 9. Digital Imaging Fiber Optic Transillumination (DIFOTI) images acquisition. The blue circles indicate the examined sites.
FIGURE 10. Intra-examiner repeatability and inter-examiner agreements using intra-class correlation coefficient from three examiners.

DIFOTI = Digital Imaging Fiber Optic Transillumination.

DIFOTI-BL = Digital Imaging Fiber Optic Transillumination from and lingual views.

DIFOTI-Occlusal = Digital Imaging Fiber Optic Transillumination from occlusal.

ICDAS = International Caries Detection and Assessment System.

NIDIT = Near Infrared Digital Imaging Transillumination.
FIGURE 11. Overall sensitivity and specificity of caries detection methods.

DIFOTI = Digital Imaging Fiber Optic Transillumination.

DIFOTI-BL = Digital Imaging Fiber Optic Transillumination from buccal and lingual views.

DIFOTI-Occlusal = Digital Imaging Fiber Optic Transillumination from occlusal.

ICDAS = International Caries Detection and Assessment System.

NIDIT = Near Infrared Digital Imaging Transillumination.
FIGURE 12. Sensitivity of caries detection methods at three caries depth thresholds. 

$\mu$CT = Microfocus computed tomography.

$E_1$ = lesion in the outer half of enamel.

$E_2$ = Lesion in the inner half of enamel.

$D_1$ = lesion in the outer one-third of dentin.

DIFOTI = Digital Imaging Fiber Optic Transillumination.

DIFOTI-BL = Digital Imaging Fiber Optic Transillumination from buccal and lingual views.

DIFOTI-Occlusal = Digital Imaging Fiber Optic Transillumination from occlusal.

ICDAS = International Caries Detection and Assessment System.

NIDIT = Near Infrared Digital Imaging Transillumination.
FIGURE 13. Receiver operating characteristic curves.

DIFOTI = Digital Imaging Fiber Optic Transillumination.

DIFOTI-BL = Digital Imaging Fiber Optic Transillumination from buccal and lingual views.

DIFOTI-Occlusal = Digital Imaging Fiber Optic Transillumination from occlusal.

ICDAS = International Caries Detection and Assessment System.

NIDIT = Near Infrared Digital Imaging Transillumination.
FIGURE 14. Spearman correlation coefficient of the detection methods with microfocus computed tomography (µCT).

DIFOTI = Digital Imaging Fiber Optic Transillumination.

DIFOTI-BL = Digital Imaging Fiber Optic Transillumination from buccal and lingual views.

DIFOTI-Occlusal = Digital Imaging Fiber Optic Transillumination from occlusal.

ICDAS = International Caries Detection and Assessment System.

NIDIT = Near Infrared Digital Imaging Transillumination.
### TABLE I

Microfocus computed tomography (µ-CT) scoring criteria

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Image</th>
<th>Criterion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>E₀</td>
<td><img src="image1.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>No radiolucency present.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E₁</td>
<td><img src="image2.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>Radiolucency extends to the outer half of the enamel.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E₂</td>
<td><img src="image3.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>Radiolucency extends to the inner half of the enamel and does not extend beyond the DEJ.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D₁</td>
<td><img src="image4.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>Radiolucency extends to the outer one-third of the dentin.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D₂</td>
<td><img src="image5.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>Radiolucency extends to the inner two-thirds of the dentin.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**TABLE II**

International Caries Detection and Assessment System, ICDAS-II scoring criteria

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Criterion</th>
<th>Image</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>No evidence of visible caries when viewed clean and after prolonged air-drying (5 seconds). Surfaces with developmental defects such as enamel hypomineralization (including fluorosis); tooth wear (attrition, abrasion and erosion), and extrinsic or intrinsic stains were recorded as sound.</td>
<td><img src="image1.png" alt="Image" /> <img src="image2.png" alt="Image" /> <img src="image3.png" alt="Image" /> <img src="image4.png" alt="Image" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Opacity or discoloration not visible on wet surface, but distinctly visible after 5 seconds of air-drying. Usually seen from lingual/buccal or from occlusal.</td>
<td><img src="image5.png" alt="Image" /> <img src="image6.png" alt="Image" /> <img src="image7.png" alt="Image" /> <img src="image8.png" alt="Image" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Opacity or discoloration distinctly visible on wet surface. Usually seen from lingual/ buccal or from occlusal as a shadow confined to enamel.</td>
<td><img src="image9.png" alt="Image" /> <img src="image10.png" alt="Image" /> <img src="image11.png" alt="Image" /> <img src="image12.png" alt="Image" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Caries loss of enamel integrity viewed from buccal and lingual direction. Confirmation can be assisted with use of explorer gently across the surface to confirm enamel micro-cavity/discontinuity.</td>
<td><img src="image13.png" alt="Image" /> <img src="image14.png" alt="Image" /> <img src="image15.png" alt="Image" /> <img src="image16.png" alt="Image" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>It appears as a shadow of grey, blue or brown discolored dentin. Directly seen from lingual /buccal and when a discolored dentin is visible through the occlusal marginal ridge.</td>
<td><img src="image17.png" alt="Image" /> <img src="image18.png" alt="Image" /> <img src="image19.png" alt="Image" /> <img src="image20.png" alt="Image" /></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### TABLE III

Radiography scoring criteria

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Image</th>
<th>Criterion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>E&lt;sub&gt;0&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td><img src="image1" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>No radiolucency present.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E&lt;sub&gt;1&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td><img src="image2" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>Radiolucency extends to the outer half of the enamel.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td><img src="image3" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>Radiolucency extends to the inner half of the enamel and does not extend beyond the dentino-enamel junction (DEJ).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D&lt;sub&gt;1&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td><img src="image4" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>Radiolucency extends to the outer one-third of the dentin.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TABLE IV

Digital imaging fiber optic transillumination scoring criteria

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Criterion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>No caries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Probably no caries present</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Not sure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Probably caries is present</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Caries present</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### TABLE V

Scoring criteria for near infrared digital imaging transillumination

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Image</th>
<th>Criterion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>E&lt;sub&gt;0&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td><img src="image1.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>No shadowing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E&lt;sub&gt;1&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td><img src="image2.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>Shadow in outer half of enamel.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td><img src="image3.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>Shadow in inner half of enamel, but not to dentino-enamel junction (DEJ).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D&lt;sub&gt;1&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td><img src="image4.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>Shadow extended to DEJ, but not beyond outer one third of dentin.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Method</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>Examiner 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIFOTI</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIFOTI-BL</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIFOTI-Occlusal</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Digital Radiography</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICDAS</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NIDIT</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.78</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DIFOTI = Digital Imaging Fiber Optic Transillumination.

DIFOTI-BL = Digital Imaging Fiber Optic Transillumination from buccal and lingual views.

DIFOTI-Occlusal = Digital Imaging Fiber Optic Transillumination from occlusal.

ICDAS = International Caries Detection and Assessment System.

NIDIT = Near Infrared Digital Imaging Transillumination.
### TABLE VII

Specificity, sensitivity, area under Receiver Operating Characteristic curve

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Sn</th>
<th>Sp</th>
<th>Sn for $\mu$CT=E$_1$</th>
<th>Sn for $\mu$CT=E$_2$</th>
<th>Sn for $\mu$CT=D$_1$</th>
<th>$A_z$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DIFOTI</td>
<td>0.91$^a$</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.72$^a$</td>
<td>1.00$^a$</td>
<td>1.00$^a$</td>
<td>0.91$^a$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIFOTI-BL</td>
<td>0.86$^{a,b}$</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.69$^a$</td>
<td>0.92$^{a,b}$</td>
<td>0.97$^a$</td>
<td>0.92$^a$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIFOTI-Occlusal</td>
<td>0.42$^c$</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.03$^b$</td>
<td>0.50$^b$</td>
<td>0.72$^{a,b}$</td>
<td>0.60$^c$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Digital Radiography</td>
<td>0.50$^c$</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.39$^{a,b}$</td>
<td>0.67$^{a,b}$</td>
<td>0.44$^b$</td>
<td>0.61$^{b,c}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICDAS</td>
<td>0.89$^a$</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.81$^a$</td>
<td>0.92$^{a,b}$</td>
<td>0.94$^a$</td>
<td>0.90$^a$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NIDIT</td>
<td>0.68$^{b,c}$</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.50$^a$</td>
<td>0.61$^{a,b}$</td>
<td>0.92$^{a,b}$</td>
<td>0.81$^{a,b}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sn = Sensitivity.

Sp = Specificity.

$A_z$ = Area under Receiver Operating Characteristic (ROC) curve.

$\mu$CT = Microfocus computed tomography.

E$_1$ = lesion in the outer half of enamel.

E$_2$ = lesion in the inner half of enamel.

D$_1$ = lesion in the outer one-third of dentin.

DIFOTI = Digital Imaging Fiber Optic Transillumination.

DIFOTI-BL = Digital Imaging Fiber Optic Transillumination from buccal and lingual views.

DIFOTI-Occlusal = Digital Imaging Fiber Optic Transillumination from occlusal.

ICDAS = International Caries Detection and Assessment System.

NIDIT = Near Infrared Digital Imaging Transillumination.

Within column, same superscript letters indicate no significant differences.
TABLE VIII
Spearman correlation coefficient of the methods

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Correlation with µCT</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DIFOTI</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>p&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIFOTI-BL</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>p&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIFOTI-Occlusal</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>p=0.028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Digital Radiography</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>p=0.289</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICDAS</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>p&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NIDIT</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>p&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DIFOTI = Digital Imaging Fiber Optic Transillumination.
DIFOTI-BL = Digital Imaging Fiber Optic Transillumination from buccal and lingual views.
DIFOTI-Occlusal = Digital Imaging Fiber Optic Transillumination from occlusal.
ICDAS = International Caries Detection and Assessment System.
NIDIT = Near Infrared Digital Imaging Transillumination.
µCT = Microfocus computed tomography.
DISCUSSION
Dental caries is a dynamic process. As de- and remineralization of tooth structure occurs over time, such that the net balance of these events will determine the rate of lesion progression to a state that can be detected by any means of caries detection methodologies.\textsuperscript{1-5} When dental caries is detected before surface cavitation occurs, preventive therapy can arrest the progression of active caries lesions before they reach to cavitation stage.\textsuperscript{159} This treatment approach requires valid as well as reliable early caries detection methods in order to successfully detect and monitor the change in lesion progression, especially at non-cavitated stages.\textsuperscript{5, 160}

Approximal caries detection is a difficult task for clinicians mainly because of the presence of the contact with neighboring teeth, which limits direct visual access of the unaided eyes.\textsuperscript{161} Radiography is traditionally used, as it can show signs of demineralization of clinically inaccessible surfaces.\textsuperscript{16-18, 34} However, methods based on optical observation of approximal surfaces are improving and it appears that methods based on light transillumination can detect lesions at early stages.\textsuperscript{56, 84}

Regarding the Near Infrared Digital Imaging Transillumination (NIDIT), the available scientific data are limited. Kuhnisch et al.\textsuperscript{59} performed an \textit{in-vivo} study to evaluate the performance of NIDIT. They compared the performance of NIDIT with bitewing radiography, laser fluorescence, and visual examination for detection of dentin-involved approximal caries. The reference standard was established after clinical caries excavation using radiography for lesion depth measurement. For this current study,
sensitivity (Sn) values at dentin threshold were agreed with Kuhnisch et al. However, one of the disadvantages of Kuhnisch et al. study can be attributed to the unavoidable selection bias in any in-vivo diagnostic study. For ethical reasons, only teeth that showed visual and radiographic signs of dentin-involved approximal caries lesions were included in their sample. Due to lacking of negative control, specificity (Sp) values could not be calculated. Our current in-vitro study was designed in order to evaluate the detection performance of this novel method.

Near infrared (NIR) light (wavelength: 700 nm to 2000 nm) can penetrate deeper enamel due to enamel’s reduced scattering coefficient ($\mu_s$) within NIR wavelength spectrum. The scattering coefficient of enamel in the visible wavelength is 60 cm$^{-1}$ at 632 nm and decreases as the wavelength increases to the NIR spectrum ($\mu_s = 2$ to 3 cm$^{-1}$ at 1310 nm and 1550 nm). Fried et al. demonstrated that when NIR light was delivered close to the cementoenamel junction, the approximal lesions could be viewed from the occlusal. This is because light with wavelength in the NIR range can penetrate deeper enamel thicknesses. Staninec et al. evaluated the performance of a prototype device that uses NIR light from buccal, lingual and occlusal views at 1310 nm for approximal caries detection in vivo. Thirty-three lesions were included based on bitewing radiography that served as the reference standard. NIR prototype device detected 32 lesions when all views (occlusal, buccal and lingual) were used to evaluate the approximal sites. NIR prototype device buccal and lingual views detected thirty lesions, while the occlusal view detected twenty-seven lesions. This can be explained as the emitted light in NIR needed to travel through a large amount of surrounding enamel in order to reach the occlusal surface. The NIDIT manufacturer provides only
occlusal tips to acquire the images from the occlusal view. Development of an approximal tip allows imaging from the buccal and lingual view. This may improve NIDIT performance for approximal caries detection.

The performance of DIFOTI in this current study was in agreement with two previous in-vitro studies regarding sensitivity (Sn) and specificity (Sp), as well as the inter-examiner agreement and intra-examiner repeatability. However, previous studies did not clearly mention whether DIFOTI was used to detect lesions from occlusal or buccal and lingual views or a combination of all views. To the authors’ knowledge, no information was found to demonstrate which view is more suitable to detect approximal caries. Our current study showed higher Sn and Sp values for DIFOTI-bucco-lingual (BL) than DIFOTI-Occlusal. A possible explanation is that DIFOTI relies on visible light (wavelength: 450 nm to 700 nm) to transilluminate the tooth. However, the wavelengths in the visible range (wavelength: 400 nm to 700 nm) are limited by strong light scattering by the tooth tissues, making it difficult to image through 1 mm to 2 mm thickness of tooth structure. In DIFOTI-Occlusal view, the visibility of the early approximal lesion can be obscured by the presence of a sound marginal ridge, as the light needs to travel through a large amount of sound enamel. The results for this study illustrates that DIFOTI buccal and lingual view are more valid and reliable than DIFOTI occlusal views for early approximal caries detection.

In this current study, DIFOTI and NIDIT demonstrated that lesions involving the inner half of the enamel were more detectable than lesions in the outer half. This is in agreement with Ando’s observations regarding occlusal and smooth surface caries detection in vivo using DIFOTI. A possible explanation is that increased demineralization
may lead to increased light scattering and absorption.\textsuperscript{168} This indicates the potential of light transillumination methods to quantify caries lesion.\textsuperscript{168}

The superior performance of ICDAS, DIFOTI and NIDIT over DR could be attributed to the ability of the three former methods to detect early changes in the optical properties of demineralized enamel\textsuperscript{20}; in contrast, a 30-percent to 40-percent mineral loss is necessary for the radiographic detection of enamel caries.\textsuperscript{169} Furthermore, DR failed to show any association with µ-CT depth scores, while NIDIT showed a moderate association. Radiographs usually underestimate the depth of the lesion. Location, shape and extent of the lesion, as well as the anatomy of the tooth, can influence radiographic depiction.\textsuperscript{16} For example, superficial but widespread lesions across the approximal surface may appear as an overestimated deep lesion on a radiographic image, while deep but localized demineralization may appear as a shallow lesion.\textsuperscript{16}

One of the disadvantages of NIDIT is that it cannot delineate the dental pulp because of the strong light scattering of the dentin.\textsuperscript{59} Consequently, it is difficult to estimate the lesion depth in dentin in relation to the pulp. Therefore, the probability of approximal cavitation based on lesion depth cannot be estimated with the same confidence as in radiography.\textsuperscript{7} Further study is needed to identify possible quantitative or qualitative indicators that can be used to determine or estimate dentin lesion depth.

Argument has been raised regarding the use of bitewing radiography for caries detection is justified and how long the intervals between radiographic examinations should be.\textsuperscript{55,170} The concern associated with bitewing radiography for caries detection is primarily due to the radiation risk and low sensitivity for detecting non-cavitated lesions.\textsuperscript{51,52} Therefore, it is still difficult to justify the repeated use of bitewing radiography to
detect lesions and consequently to evaluate the effectiveness of non-invasive preventive treatments.\textsuperscript{16, 19, 53-55} One of the main advantages of NIDIT over radiography is the absence of ionizing radiation. Although the absorbed radiation dose from bitewing radiography is very low, further reduction or elimination of ionizing radiation is desirable.\textsuperscript{16, 19, 53-55} The results of this \textit{in-vitro} study demonstrated the potential of NIDIT for approximal non-cavitated caries detection. However, the performance of NIDIT for detection of early non-cavitated caries requires further \textit{in-vivo} validation using a well-accepted gold standard (for example, \textit{in-vitro} validation after primary tooth exfoliation).

Extrapolation of the results of this current \textit{in-vitro} study should be considered very carefully. The main concern that may impact the clinical implication is the difficulty in simulating clinical approximal contacts. Although all examined approximal sites were in contact with adjacent teeth, the \textit{in-vitro} assembling of the specimens may have produced smaller than “normal” contact points, leaving significant portions of the approximal surfaces visually accessible. Approximal point contacts are typically seen in younger patients.\textsuperscript{171} However, within increase in age, the contact point become larger due to frictional wear of one approximal surface against another during physiologic movement of the teeth.\textsuperscript{171} This will result in obscuring the approximal surface to direct visual access. Not surprisingly, \textit{in-vitro} studies, including this current study, that evaluated ICDAS performance for early approximal caries detection\textsuperscript{31, 141} showed significantly higher Sn values than typically reported in \textit{in-vivo} studies\textsuperscript{30, 172, 173} Another explanation for the better performance of ICDAS can be attributed to the examiners’ experience. It has been shown that the correct use of ICDAS-II and the examiner’s experience may improve the precision of visual examination.\textsuperscript{26, 174}
A concern regarding an additional diagnostic yield is that it may lead to more false-positive diagnosis and consequently over-treatment, especially in populations regularly exposed to fluoride.\textsuperscript{16, 17} However, the key for appropriate caries detection is found in the outcomes of caries diagnosis process. False positive diagnosis of an active non-cavitated caries lesion will not result in operative treatment, but in preventive non-surgical intervention, such as plaque control, topical fluoride and dietary modification. Although this means a cost to the patient, it does not result in a harmful health outcome. On the other hand, there are consequences of misdiagnose an active caries lesion. If the patient is caries-active with irregular dental visits, there is a risk of progression of non-cavitated lesion to cavitation before they are detected; thus requiring surgical intervention, such as restoration.\textsuperscript{175, 176} However, if the patient has a low caries risk with regular dental visits, the lesion will probably be detected in a later visit before it progresses to the cavitation stage.\textsuperscript{138}

In summary, although radiographic examination remains the most common method used to detect approximal caries lesions, our data suggest that radiography has less sensitivity than methods based on the optical properties of demineralized tooth structure. The results from NIDIT showed the potential of the method to serve as a useful non-irradiative method for approximal caries detection. ICDAS and DIFOTI presented higher validity and reliability than DR in this \textit{in-vitro} study design.
SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION
For caries management, early detection of dental caries is an essential task. Early caries detection methods should be reliable and valid. Approximal caries detection remains a challenge for clinicians primarily because of the presence of the contact with a neighboring tooth. It limits direct visual access of the unaided eyes. Methods based on optical observation of approximal lesions are improving. It appears that methods based on light transillumination can detect lesions at early stages. Near Infrared Digital Imaging Transillumination (NIDIT) is a novel method that, to the authors’ knowledge, no study has had evaluated its performance for early approximal caries detection. Our objectives were to evaluate the ability of NIDIT to detect non-cavitated enamel and dentin approximal caries lesions and to compare its performance to International Caries Detection and Assessment System (ICDAS), digital radiography (DR), and Digital Imaging Fiber Optic Transillumination (DIFOTI).

Thirty human extracted premolars were selected. The approximal surface status ranged from sound to surfaces with non-cavitated caries lesions into the outer one-third of the dentin. Lesion depth was determined by micro-computed tomography (µ-CT) as a gold standard. Teeth were mounted in a custom-made device to simulate approximal contact. Three examiners were trained and calibrated. The intra-examiner repeatability and inter-examiner agreement of all methods were assessed using intraclass correlation coefficients (ICCs). ICDAS, DR, DIFOTI, and NIDIT examinations were performed and repeated. Sensitivity, specificity, area under ROC curve (Az), inter- and intra-class
correlation coefficients (ICCs) of each method, and correlation among the methods were determined.

ICCs for intra-/inter-examiner agreement were almost perfect for DIFOTI (0.85/0.83), substantial for ICDAS (0.79/0.72) and NIDIT (0.69/0.64), and moderate for DR (0.52/0.48). Sensitivity/specificity for DIFOTI, ICDAS, DR and NIDIT were 0.91/0.69, 0.89/0.83, 0.50/0.64, and 0.68/0.93, respectively. As for the comparison among the methods, Az of DR (0.61) was significantly lower than that of DIFOTI (0.91, p = 0.002) and ICDAS (0.90, p = 0.005), but was not significantly different from NIDIT (0.81, p = 0.052). DIFOTI, ICDAS, and NIDIT were not significantly different from each other (p > 0.13). Spearman correlation coefficients with µ-CT for DIFOTI (0.79, p < 0.001), ICDAS (0.74, p < 0.001), and NIDIT (0.65, p < 0.001) demonstrated a moderate association, while that of DR suggested no association (0.19, p = 0.289).

Within the limitations of this in-vitro study, NIDIT system demonstrated a potential for early approximal caries detection. ICDAS, DIFOTI, and NIDIT were superior to DR in terms of validity and reliability. The encouraging results of NIDIT justify continuing investigation via an in-vivo model.
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APPENDIX
Performance of Near Infrared Digital Imaging Transillumination for Detection of Non-cavitated Approximal Caries

Timeline & Examination Manual
Participants

1- Masatoshi Ando (PI)  
2- Anderson T Hara  
3- Ana Gossweiler  
4- George J. Eckert  
5- Naif Abogazalah
Objectives of the Study

1) To evaluate the ability of the Near Infrared Digital Imaging Transillumination (NIDIT) system to detect non-cavitated enamel and dentin approximal caries lesions.

2) To determine the correlation among NIDIT, visual examination: International Caries Detection and Assessment System (ICDAS), Digital Radiography (DR), and Digital Imaging Fiber-Optic Trans-Illumination (DIFOTI).
A Flow Chart of the Study

Teeth Selection

Initial Microfocus Computed Tomography (μ-CT) Image Acquisition for lesion depth evaluation

Model Assembling

Main μ-CT Image Acquisition to establish gold standard

Training

Calibration

Repeated Calibration

Statistical Analysis after Calibration

Main Examination

Repeated Examination

Statistical Analysis
Project Schedule for Training, Calibration, Main Examination and Repeated Examination

Sessions from Nov 1, 2015 to Dec 18, 2015

All examinations and hands on training will take place at the Early Caries Detection Laboratory

Room #131, Indiana University Oral Health Research Building.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Participant</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Note</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Training</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 1</td>
<td>8:00 - 8:50 AM</td>
<td>All Examiners</td>
<td>ICDSD NIDIT DR</td>
<td>Theoretical explanation and discussion using PowerPoint presentation including photograph examples. It will cover the criteria of ICDAS, DR, NIDIT and DIFOTI.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9:00 -12:00 AM</td>
<td>All Examiners</td>
<td>ICDSD NIDIT DR</td>
<td>Hands on training session and discussion covering all detection methods. N = 8 (sound=2), (E1=2), (E2=2) &amp; (D1 = 2).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>DIFOTI</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>……</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1:00 - 2:00 PM</td>
<td>Examiner (…)</td>
<td>ICDAS</td>
<td>Examination of 12 approximal sites of assembled teeth.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2:00 - 3:00 PM</td>
<td>Examiner (…)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3:00 - 4:00 PM</td>
<td>Examiner (…)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calibration</td>
<td>Day 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8:00 - 9:00 AM</td>
<td>Examiner (…)</td>
<td>NIDIT</td>
<td>Examination of 12 approximal sites of assembled teeth.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9:00 - 10:00 AM</td>
<td>Examiner (…)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10:00-11:00 AM</td>
<td>Examiner (…)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>……</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1:00 - 2:00 PM</td>
<td>Examiner (…)</td>
<td>DR</td>
<td>Examination of 12 approximal sites of assembled teeth.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2:00 - 3:00 PM</td>
<td>Examiner (…)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3:00 - 4:00 PM</td>
<td>Examiner (…)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 3</td>
<td>8:00 - 9:00 AM</td>
<td>Examiner (…)</td>
<td>DIFOTI</td>
<td>Examination of 12 approximal sites of assembled teeth.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9:00 - 10:00 AM</td>
<td>Examiner (…)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10:00-11:00 AM</td>
<td>Examiner (…)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1:00 - 2:00 PM</td>
<td>Examiner (…)</td>
<td>ICDAS</td>
<td>Repeated examination of 12 approximal sites of assembled teeth.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2:00 - 3:00 PM</td>
<td>Examiner (…)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3:00 - 4:00 PM</td>
<td>Examiner (…)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 4</td>
<td>8:00 - 9:00 AM</td>
<td>Examiner (…)</td>
<td>NIDIT</td>
<td>Repeated examination of 12 approximal sites of assembled teeth.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9:00 - 10:00 AM</td>
<td>Examiner (…)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10:00-11:00 AM</td>
<td>Examiner (…)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1:00 - 2:00 PM</td>
<td>Examiner (…)</td>
<td>DR</td>
<td>Repeated examination of 12 approximal sites of assembled teeth.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2:00 - 3:00 PM</td>
<td>Examiner (…)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3:00 - 4:00 PM</td>
<td>Examiner (…)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 5</td>
<td>8:00 - 9:00 AM</td>
<td>Examiner (…)</td>
<td>DIFOTI</td>
<td>Repeated examination of 12 approximal sites of assembled teeth.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9:00 - 10:00 AM</td>
<td>Examiner (…)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10:00-11:00 AM</td>
<td>Examiner (…)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Statistical Analysis: One Week
## Project Schedule Continue

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Day 6</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Examiner</th>
<th>Test</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8:00 - 10:00 AM</td>
<td>Examiner (…)</td>
<td>ICDAS</td>
<td>Examination of 30 approximal sites of assembled teeth.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10:00 AM-12:00 PM</td>
<td>Examiner (…)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1:00 - 3:00 PM</td>
<td>Examiner (…)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 7</td>
<td>8:00 - 10:00 AM</td>
<td>Examiner (…)</td>
<td>NIDIT</td>
<td>Examination of 30 approximal sites of assembled teeth.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10:00 AM-12:00 PM</td>
<td>Examiner (…)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1:00 - 3:00 PM</td>
<td>Examiner (…)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Main Examination**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Day 8</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Examiner</th>
<th>Test</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8:00 - 10:00 AM</td>
<td>Examiner (…)</td>
<td>DR &amp; DIFOTI</td>
<td>Examination of 30 approximal sites of assembled teeth.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10:00 AM-12:00 PM</td>
<td>Examiner (…)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1:00 - 3:00 PM</td>
<td>Examiner (…)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Second Week**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Day 9</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Examiner</th>
<th>Test</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8:00 - 10:00 AM</td>
<td>Examiner (…)</td>
<td>ICDAS</td>
<td>Repeated examination of 30 approximal sites of assembled teeth.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10:00 AM-12:00 PM</td>
<td>Examiner (…)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1:00 - 3:00 PM</td>
<td>Examiner (…)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 10</td>
<td>8:00 - 10:00 AM</td>
<td>Examiner (…)</td>
<td>NIDIT</td>
<td>Repeated examination of 30 approximal sites of assembled teeth.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10:00 AM-12:00 PM</td>
<td>Examiner (…)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1:00 - 3:00 PM</td>
<td>Examiner (…)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 11</td>
<td>8:00 - 10:00 AM</td>
<td>Examiner (…)</td>
<td>DR &amp; DIFOTI</td>
<td>Repeated examination of 30 approximal sites of assembled teeth.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10:00 AM-12:00 PM</td>
<td>Examiner (…)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1:00 - 3:00 PM</td>
<td>Examiner (…)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Statistical Analysis**
Instructions for ICDAS Examination Procedure:

Sound, as well as carious, premolars with approximal non-cavitated caries lesions were assembled for the examination. The test teeth were kept in a container with saturated gauze with 0.1% thymol solution to maintain humidity. The teeth are mounted on Lego® blocks using fibered pink resin. The station is equipped with a light unit and air syringe. On the bench, you will find a front surface mirror, explorer, and an assembled extracted premolar, which will remain fixed during the whole time, and it will serve as an adjacent to the test tooth. The facilitator will take the responsibility to replace and mount each test-tooth during the examination. The examiner will examine the approximal site of the test tooth in contact with the adjacent tooth and he will report the score verbally to the facilitator.
ICDAS Scoring Criteria for Approximal Caries

0 = Sound tooth surface. Show no evidence of visible caries when viewed clean and after prolonged air-drying (5 seconds). Surfaces with developmental defects such as enamel hypomineralization (including fluorosis); tooth wear (attrition, abrasion and erosion), and extrinsic or intrinsic stains will be recorded as sound.

1 = First visual changes in Enamel. Opacity or discoloration not visible on wet surface, but distinctly visible after 5 seconds of air-drying. Usually seen from lingual/ buccal or from occlusal.

2 = Distinct visual change in enamel. Opacity or discoloration distinctly visible on wet surface. Usually seen from lingual/ buccal or from occlusal as a shadow confined to enamel.

3 = Localized enamel breakdown with no visible dentin. Caries loss of enamel integrity viewed from buccal and lingual direction. Confirmation can be assisted with use of the explorer gently across the surface to confirm enamel micro-cavity/discontinuity.

4 = Non-cavitated surface with underlying dentin dark shadowing. It appears as appears as a shadow of grey, blue or brown discolored dentin. Directly seen from lingual /buccal and when a discolored dentin is visible through the occlusal marginal ridge.
Decision Tree for ICDAS Scoring

Is there any visible signs of caries when the tooth has been cleaned and when viewed wet?

No

Dry tooth to dehydrate any possible lesion (approx 5s)

Is there any opacity /discolouration when viewed from lingual/ buccal or from occlusal?

No

Code 0

Sound

Yes

Code 1

First visible sign of caries

Yes

Code 2

Microcavitation

Is there a microcavity or obvious cavity?

No

Yes

Is there a distinct opacity at when viewed from lingual/ buccal or from occlusal?

No

Yes

Is dentine visible at the base of the cavity?

No

Yes

Is more than half of the crown involved in caries?

Yes

Code 6

No

Code 5
ICDAS Scoring Criteria summary

0 = Sound tooth surface
1 = White spot lesion under wet condition
2 = White spot lesion under a dry condition
3 = Localized enamel breakdown
4 = Lesion with dentin shadowing
Near Infrared Digital Imaging Transillumination (NIDIT)

System Components

1. Aperture for laser beam
2. Opening for camera window
3. Occlusal Tip
4. Ring Switch
5. Control Button 1
6. Control Button 2
7. Headpiece
8. USB cable to connect to computer

Instructions for NIDIT Examination Procedure

The facilitator will take the responsibility to replace and mount each test tooth during the examination. The steps to take NIDIT images are as follow:
1- Place the light aperture and make it contact the gingiva and monitor the live picture.
2- If the picture is too bright, bring the tip little down apically. If it is too dark you may bring the tip up occlusal
3- Tip the probe slightly if needed.
4- When you are satisfied with the captured image, press the ring switch (4) to freeze the image or press for three seconds to save the image.
5- Please, report the score to the facilitator.
The scoring criteria for NIDIT system

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>No Shadowing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E₁</td>
<td>Shadow in outer half of enamel.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E₂</td>
<td>Shadow in inner half of enamel, but not to DEJ.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D₁</td>
<td>Shadow extended to DEJ, but not beyond outer one third of dentin.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Instructions for Digital Radiography Examination Procedure

Mounted teeth were radiographed. The images will be viewed in a dark room on a digital screen. The facilitator will be responsible for viewing the images and writing the scores. Please, report the approximal surface score of the defined teeth to the facilitator.

Radiographic Scoring Criteria

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>No Radiolucency.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E₁</td>
<td>Radiolucency in the outer half of the enamel.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E₂</td>
<td>Radiolucency in the inner half of the enamel and not beyond the DEJ.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D₁</td>
<td>Radiolucency in the outer one-third of dentin.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Instructions for Digital Imaging Fiber-Optic Trans-Illumination (DIFOTI) Examination

Procedure

DIFOTI images were previously obtained. For each tooth, three images are available to obtain a view for the occlusal, buccal and lingual surfaces. The facilitator will be responsible for viewing the images and writing the score. Please, report the approximal surface score of the defined teeth to the facilitator.

DIFOTI Scoring Criteria

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Criterion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>No caries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Probably no caries present</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Not sure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Probably caries is present</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Caries present</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DIFOTI Image Views of teeth with approximal caries lesion
ABSTRACT
Objective: The objectives of this in-vitro study were: 1) to evaluate the ability of Near-Infrared Digital Imaging Transillumination (NIDIT) to detect non-cavitated approximal caries lesions; and 2) to compare the performance among NIDIT, Digital Radiography (DR), Digital Imaging Fiber-Optic Trans-Illumination (DIFOTI) and International Caries Detection and Assessment System (ICDAS).
Methods: Thirty human extracted premolars were selected. The approximal surface status ranged from sound to surfaces with non-cavitated caries lesions into the outer one-third of the dentin. Lesion depth was determined by micro-computed tomography (µ-CT) and used as a gold standard. Teeth were mounted in a custom-made device to simulate approximal contact. ICDAS, DR, DIFOTI and NIDIT examinations were performed and repeated by three trained and calibrated examiners. Sensitivity, specificity, area under ROC curve (Az), inter- and intra-class correlation coefficients (ICCs) of each method, and correlation among the methods were determined.

Results: ICCs for intra-/inter-examiner agreement were almost perfect for DIFOTI (0.85/0.83), substantial for ICDAS (0.79/0.72) and NIDIT (0.69/0.64), and moderate for DR (0.52/0.48). Sensitivity/specificity for DIFOTI, ICDAS, DR, and NIDIT were 0.91/0.69, 0.89/0.83, 0.50/0.64, and 0.68/0.93, respectively. Az of DR (0.61) was significantly lower than that of DIFOTI (0.91, p = 0.002) and ICDAS (0.90, p = 0.005), but was not significantly different from NIDIT (0.81, p = 0.052). DIFOTI, ICDAS, and NIDIT were not significantly different from each other (p > 0.13). Spearman correlation coefficients for DIFOTI (0.79, p < 0.001), ICDAS (0.74, p < 0.001), and NIDIT (0.65, p < 0.001) demonstrated a moderate association with µ-CT, while that of DR suggested no association (0.19, p = 0.289).

Conclusion: Within the limitations of this in-vitro study, NIDIT system demonstrated a potential for early approximal caries detection. ICDAS, DIFOTI, and NIDIT were superior to DR in terms of validity and reliability.
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