Antidiabetic thiazolidinediones induce ductal differentiation but not apoptosis in pancreatic cancer cells
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AIM: Thiazolidinediones (TZD) are a new class of oral antidiabetic drugs that have been shown to inhibit growth of same epithelial cancer cells. Although TZD were found to be ligands for peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor $\gamma$ (PPAR$\gamma$), the mechanism by which TZD exert their anticancer effect is presently unclear. In this study, we analyzed the mechanism by which TZD inhibit growth of human pancreatic carcinoma cell lines in order to evaluate the potential therapeutic use of these drugs in pancreatic adenocarcinoma.

METHODS: The effects of TZD in pancreatic cancer cells were assessed in anchorage-independent growth assay. Expression of PPAR$\gamma$ was measured by reverse-transcription polymerase chain reaction and confirmed by Western blot analysis. PPAR$\gamma$ activity was evaluated by transient reporter gene assay. Flow cytometry and DNA fragmentation assay were used to determine the effect of TZD on cell cycle progression and apoptosis respectively. The effect of TZD on ductal differentiation markers was performed by Western blot.

RESULTS: Exposure to TZD inhibited colony formation in a PPAR$\gamma$-dependent manner. Growth inhibition was linked to G1 phase cell cycle arrest through induction of the ductal differentiation program without any increase of the apoptotic rate.

CONCLUSION: TZD treatment in pancreatic cancer cells has potent inhibitory effects on growth by a PPAR$\gamma$-dependent induction of pancreatic ductal differentiation.
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INTRODUCTION

Pancreatic cancer is a devastating disease characterized by an increased incidence in western industrialized countries, an extremely poor median survival of 4-6 mo after diagnosis$^1$, and limited therapeutic options$^2$.

The majority of pancreatic cancers arises from the pancreatic duct cells and is characterized by uncontrolled growth, inability to express the differentiated features of normal duct cells and progressive accumulation of multiple genetic abnormalities$^3$. It has been suggested that the pharmacological induction of cellular differentiation might be an alternative to conventional tumor chemotherapy. The activation of the terminal differentiation program in genetically abnormal tumor cells is strictly associated with irreversible growth arrest$^4$. In pancreatic cancer cells, retinoids, for example, induce differentiation and inhibit growth by activation of their specific nuclear receptors$^5$$^6$, suggesting that other nuclear receptors involved in the regulation of cellular differentiation might be targets for novel therapeutic strategies of pancreatic cancer.

Thiazolidinediones (TZD) such as pioglitazone (PGZ) and rosiglitazone (RGZ) are a new class of antidiabetic drugs, which attenuate the insulin resistance associated with obesity, hypertension and impaired glucose tolerance in humans as well as in several animal models of non-insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus$^7$. TZD were found to be ligands for peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor $\gamma$ (PPAR$\gamma$), a member of the nuclear receptor superfamily of ligand-dependent transcription factors that is predominantly expressed in adipose tissue although it is also expressed in other tissue at much lower levels$^8$-10. In adipose tissue PPAR$\gamma$ functions as a master regulator of adipogenesis and...
its ligand-mediated activation in fibroblasts induces adipocyte differentiation and lipid storage\(^{[14,13]}\). TZD activate PPAR\(\gamma\) and promote association with 9-cis retinoic acid receptor (RXR) to form functional heterodimers, which recognize their cognate response element at the level of target genes\(^{[13,14]}\).

Several recent studies have indicated that TZD may have anticancer properties in a variety of different epithelial malignancies including breast, prostate and gastrointestinal cancers\(^{[15-17]}\). Treatment of cultured breast and colon cancer cells with TZD resulted in a reduction in growth rate and induction of apoptosis\(^{[18,19]}\). Furthermore, human colorectal cancer cells implanted in nude mice were shown to grow more slowly in mice treated with TZD, with a 50% reduction of tumor volume\(^{[20]}\). In addition loss-of-function mutation of the PPAR\(\gamma\) gene has been found in some human colon and thyroid carcinomas\(^{[21,22]}\). As a consequence, PPAR\(\gamma\) has become a molecular target for anticancer drug development, and TZD have been proposed for therapy of PPAR\(\gamma\)-expressing tumors.

Although preliminary evidence has shown that, troglitazone, the first TZD marketed for use in humans, inhibited pancreatic cell proliferation\(^{[23,24]}\), the mechanism by which these drugs inhibit cell growth in these cells has not been conclusively established.

In this study we demonstrated that exposure of pancreatic cancer cells to TZD inhibited anchorage-independent growth with a PPAR\(\gamma\)-dependent differentiation-inducing mechanism. Surprisingly the ductal pro-differentiation program induced by PPAR\(\gamma\) activation was not associated with apoptosis in these cells.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Materials**

Most chemicals and supplies were purchased from Sigma Chemical Company (St. Louis, MO). Nitrocellulose and Nytran were from Schleicher and Schuell, Inc., (Keene, NH). Agarose, trypsin, all restriction endonucleases, DNA-modifying enzymes, and tissue culture media were purchased from Gibco BRL (New Brunswick, NJ). Fetal bovine serum (FBS) was from HyClone Laboratories (Logan, UT), and DMEM/5% dialyzed FBS containing 0.3% agarose and 50 mL/L CO\(_2\)/95% air at 37°C. After 14 d the colonies were counted by an Omnicon 3 600 Colony Counter and photographed.

**Cell cycle analysis**

Cells (4×10\(^6\)) were exposed to TDZ for 4 d in medium supplemented with 5% dialyzed FBS. Total cells both in suspension and adherent, were collected, washed, suspended in cold PBS, and stained in trypan blue. Both blue and non-blue cells were counted. The cells were adjusted to 1×10\(^6\) viable cells/mL and fixed in 2:1 ratio (v/v) in methanol overnight before staining with propidium iodide. Cell cycle status was analyzed with Becton Dickinson Flow Cytometer and CellFIT Cell-Cycle Analysis software.

**Protein extract and Western blot**

Whole-cell proteins were extracted from the different adenocarcinoma cell lines. Cells were cultured in the presence or absence of test agents and were homogenized in Laemml buffer\(^{[25]}\). Nuclear proteins were isolated from treated and untreated cells based on micro preparation methods\(^{[26]}\). The nuclear extracts were suspended in 20 mmol/L HEPES (pH 7.9), 40 mmol/L NaCl, 1.5 MgCl\(_2\), 0.2 mmol/L ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid, 25% glycerol, 0.5 mmol/L dithiothreitol, and 0.2 mmol/L phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride. Lysates were centrifuged at 20 000 g for 10 min at 4°C and supernatants were frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80°C until use. Nuclear and whole-cell extracts (40 μg protein) were fractionated in 12% sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis and electroblotted onto nitrocellulose filters. Proteins were detected by incubating the filters with the following primary antibody: mouse anti-human PPAR\(\gamma\) (1:500) (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA, USA), sheep anti-human carbonic anhydrase II (1:500)\(^{[27]}\) cytookeratin 7 (1:1 500) (Novocastra Lab, Newcastle UK), p21 (1:1 000) (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA) and p27 (1:1 000) (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA), mouse anti-human bel-2, bax, and bel-xl (1:1 000) (Transduction, Laboratories, San Diego CA) mouse anti human bax (1:1 000), and mouse anti-human bak (1:1 000) (CalBiochem, San Diego CA). Detection of the protein bands was performed using the Amersham ECL kit (Arlington Heights, IL).

**RNA extraction and RT-PCR**

Total RNA was extracted from cultured cells and by guanidinium-phenol-chloroform methods of Chomczynski and Sacchi\(^{[28]}\) with minor modifications\(^{[29]}\). One mg of RNA from tumor cells was reverse transcribed with Molony murine leukemia virus (MMLV) reverse transcriptase (Life Technologies Inc., Paisley, UK) at 42°C for 60 min in a 20-μL mixture in the presence of random hexamers. The nucleotide bases used for human PPAR\(\gamma\) were 5’-TCTGGCCCCACC-AACTTTGGG-3’ and 5’-CTTCACAAGCATGA-
ACTCA-3'. Two μL of a reverse-transcribed mixture was subjected to PCR in a 20-μL reaction solution [10 mmol/L Tris-HCl (pH 8.3), 50 mmol/L KCl, 2.0 mmol/L MgCl₂, 0.01% gelatin, 20 mmol/L deoxynucleotide triphosphate, 0.5 units of Taq polymerase (Life Technologies Inc., Paisley, UK), and 0.25 pmol of primers]. Twenty-five cycles of reaction at 94°C for 50 s, 60°C for 45 s, and 72°C for 90 s were carried out by DNA thermal cycler (Perkin-Elmer Cetus Norwalk, CT). Efficiency of RT was controlled in each sample by PCR amplification of human β₂-microglobulin (5'-GCAAAAGATGAGTATGCCTG-3', 5'-TTCACTCAATCCAAATGCGG-3').

**Transient transfection of culture cells**

Cells were transfected at the density of 5×10⁵ cells/60 mm dish with 2.5 μg of peroxisome proliferator response element (ARE)-tk-luciferase reporter plasmid (containing 3 copies of the PPRE from the adipocyte lipid-binding protein promoter upstream of a luciferase gene) [32,33], and 5 μg of pSV-CAT (vector containing SV40 early promoter and enhancer sequences that drives a chimeric chloramphenicol acetyl transferase [CAT] gene) as an internal control by calcium phosphate precipitation. Total amount of DNA transfected was normalized with a carrier DNA (pcDNA3.1; Invitrogen Corporation, Carlsbad, CA). Four hours later the cells were exposed to PBS containing 15% glycerol for 3 min. The cells were rinsed twice with PBS and fresh serum supplemented with 5% dialyzed FBS was added. Twenty four hours later, the cells were harvested, washed twice with PBS, and lysed in 150 μL of buffer containing 25 mmol/L Tris, pH 7.8, 2 mmol/L ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid, 20 mmol/L dithiothreitol, 10% glycerol, and 1% Triton X-100. Fifty microliters of cell extract were incubated with luciferase assay reagent based on the original protocol of de Wet et al. [32]. The number of relative light units with a 3-s delay and 30-s incubation were measured by Sirius1 luminometer (Berthold Detection System, Pforzheim/Germany). CAT activity was measured as described previously [33]. The conversion of chloramphenicol to its acetylated products was quantified on Ambis beta scanner (Ambis System, San Diego, CA).

**Generation of the PPARγ-expressing cell line**

Human PPARγ cDNA was cloned into pLNCX, a retroviral vector driving expression of the cloned cDNA from the cytomegarolavirus promoter and conferring resistance to G418. Retrovirus plasmid was transfected into a packaging cell line, PA317, and used to transduce HPAC cells as previously described [34,35]. In brief, PA317 were transfected with 10 μg of the cloned construct (PPARγ-pLNCX) or the empty retroviral vector, using calcium phosphate precipitation followed by glycerol stock as described above. Twenty four hours after transfection the virus-containing supernatant was removed, filtered through a 45-μm filter, and stored at -70°C. A total of 5×10⁴ HPAC cells were infected with 10⁴ cfu/mL virus in the presence of 6 μg/mL polybrene. Twenty four hours later the cells were replated in duplicate and selected with 600 μg/mL of the antibiotic G418. After selection of G418-resistant cells, the clones were expanded and screened for PPARγ expression by Western blotting.

**Apoptosis assay**

Following the indicated treatments, apoptosis was measured by a DNA fragmentation assay (Apo-Direct) as recommended by the manufacturer (PharMingen, San Diego, CA). Briefly, cells (adherent and floating) were fixed in 1% formaldehyde in PBS overnight. After washing, 10⁶ fixed cells were incubated with terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase enzyme (TdT) and FITC-dUTP for 90 min at 37°C to label DNA breaks. Cells were rinsed, incubated in RNaseA/prodipid iodide in the dark for 30 min at room temperature to stain total DNA, and then analyzed by flow cytometry. Cells doublets and clumps were eliminated from the analysis by gating.

**Statistical analysis**

Data was expressed as mean±SD. Statistical correlation of data was checked for significance by ANOVA and paired Student’s t test. The corresponding probability (P) is given.

**RESULTS**

**TZD inhibited anchorage-independent growth of human pancreatic cancer cells with a PPARγ-dependent mechanism**

We initially investigated the effect of TZD on pancreatic cancer cell growth. The effect of TZD on anchorage-independent growth was assessed by cloning cancer cells in soft agarose. Treatment with both TZD at the concentration of 10 μmol/L resulted in a significant inhibition of colony formation by Panc-1 and Capan-2 cell lines, whereas drug treatment was ineffective in the PPARγ non-expressing HPAC cells (Figure 1A, B). Clofibrate acid was used as a negative control. The dose dependency for the anchorage-independent growth inhibition was further characterized in Panc-1 cells. PGZ inhibited colony formation in a dose-dependent manner in Panc-1 cell line (Figure 1C). Moreover, the size of the colonies was significantly smaller in the RGZ-treated cells compared to cells treated with vehicle alone.

To confirm the role of PPARγ in TZD-induced growth arrest, we generated a PPARγ-expressing HPAC cells using transducing retroviruses. Four isolated HPAC clones showed selective overexpression of PPARγ protein compared to parental wild type and mock-transfected controls (Figure 2A). Of the selected clones, number 3 (P3-HPAC) was used for further studies. In these cells, PGZ induced the activity of the ARE-7-kb-luc reporter in a dose-dependent manner (Figure 2B) and this effect was correlated to a significant inhibition of anchorage-independent growth (Figure 2C).

**PPARγ activation by TZD alters cell cycle progression but does not induce apoptosis in pancreatic cancer cells**

Preliminary experiments evaluating trypan blue exclusion and lactate dehydrogenase leakage from pancreatic cancer cells into the culture medium showed that both TZD induced growth inhibition rather than cytotoxicity, because the number of vitally stained cells was higher than 90% in all experiments at any given time point. Based on this observation,
we assessed the effect of RGZ and PGZ on cell cycle progression. Little change in cell distribution was observed at 12 h with 20 μmol/L of TZD in all cell lines (not shown). In PPARγ-expressing cells both RGZ and PGZ increased the proportion of cells in G₀/G₁ phase at 24 h and the arrest persisted at later time points (Figure 3). The increased number of cells in G₀/G₁ phase was mirrored by a proportional decrease of cells in S phase. No effect was
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**Figure 1** Effect of TZD on anchorage-independent growth in human pancreatic adenocarcinoma cells. A: PPARγ expression in human pancreatic adenocarcinoma. One microgram of total RNA extracted from three pancreatic cancer cell lines (Capan-2, Panc-1, and HPAC) was reverse transcribed using random hexamers and amplified by polymerase chain reaction using specific primers for PPARγ and for β2-microglobulin (β2-M) as described in Methods. The reverse-transcription polymerase chain reaction products were electrophoresed on ethidium bromide-containing agarose gel; B: TZD inhibit anchorage-independent growth. 2x10⁴ cells were plated into media containing 0.3% agarose, supplemented with either 10 μmol/L of TZD (RGZ or PGZ), or 1 mmol/L clofibrate (CA). After 14 d the number of colonies was determined and then expressed as the percentage of control cells treated with vehicle (DMSO) alone. The mean±SD of six independent experiments performed for each cell line in triplicate are shown. *P<0.05 (or higher degree of significance) vs control; C: Dose-dependent inhibition of anchorage-independent growth by TZD in Panc-1 cells. Clonogenic assay of Panc-1 cells treated with the indicated concentrations of RGZ was performed as described in Methods. The number of colonies was then given as the percentage of control cells treated with vehicle alone. The mean±SD of five independent experiments performed for each in triplicate are shown. *P<0.05 (or higher degree of significance) vs control.

![Image of Figure 2](Image 115x512 to 249x626)

**Figure 2** PPARγ expression and transcriptional activity in HPAC cells transduced with PPARγ-expressing retrovirus. A: HPAC cells were stable transduced by retrovirus driving expression of human PPARγ (hPPARγ-pLNCX) as described in methods. After selection with G418 four resistant clones were expanded and screened for hPPARγ expression. The cell extracts were fractionated by sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis and blotted to nitrocellulose. The proteins (40 μg) were detected with antibody raised against human PPARγ. P1-P4 represent nuclear protein extracted from G418-resistant HPAC cell clones; M represents nuclear proteins from mock (pLNCX) transduced HPAC cells; W (wild type) represents nuclear proteins from untransduced, parental HPAC cells; B: After overnight attachment cells were transfected with ARE-7tk-luciferase reporter plasmid and p53CAT as internal control for transfection efficiency. Twenty-four hours after transfection cells were treated with RGZ at the indicated concentration. Twenty-four hours after treatment the cells were harvested for luciferase and CAT assay as described in Methods. The luciferase activity is expressed as mean±SD for 4 replicate experiments performed in triplicate; *P<0.05 vs control; C: Effect of TZD treatment on anchorage-independent growth of HPAC cells transduced with PPARγ-expressing retrovirus. Clonogenic assay of P3-HPAC cells treated with the indicated concentration of RGZ was performed as described in materials and methods. The number of colonies was then given as the percentage of control cells treated with vehicle alone. The mean±SD of five independent experiments performed for each in triplicate are shown. *P<0.05 vs Mock transduced cells.
documented in the PPARγ-deficient HPAC line, whereas the inhibition of cell cycle progression by TZD was restored in P3-HPAC cells. To determine whether the inhibitory effect of TZD was in part mediated by inducing apoptosis, cells were treated with RGZ or PGZ for 72 h before the analysis. The extent of apoptosis was measured by incorporation of FITC dUTP in the presence of TdT enzyme to detect DNA fragmentation. Both compounds had negligible effect on the extent of apoptosis at the higher concentration used (Table 1). In addition, the expression of the bcl-2 family members involved in regulating apoptosis was also determined in PANC-1, Capan-2 and P3-HPAC cells following treatment with RGZ or PGZ. After 24-h incubation with TZD, no change in the expression of the inducers of apoptosis, bax or bak, or inhibitors of apoptosis such as bcl-2 and its close homologue bcl-xL was detected (Figure 4). These results suggest that pancreatic tumor cells are relatively resistant to apoptosis induced by TZD.

Table 1  Effect of TZD on apoptosis in pancreatic tumor cells. Extent of apoptosis following treatment of pancreatic cancer cell lines with 20 μmol/L of TZD (RGZ or PGZ) for three days was measured by the incorporation of FITC-dUTP in the presence of TdT enzyme to detect DNA fragmentation as described in Methods. Cells were stained with RNase A/PI and analyzed by flow cytometry. The mean±SD of five independent experiments each performed in triplicate are shown.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Panc-1</th>
<th>Capan-2</th>
<th>HPAC</th>
<th>P3-HPAC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control (DMSO)</td>
<td>1.16±0.15</td>
<td>0.88±0.14</td>
<td>0.46±0.19</td>
<td>0.66±0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RGZ</td>
<td>1.20±0.17</td>
<td>0.90±0.22</td>
<td>0.38±0.17</td>
<td>0.58±0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PGZ</td>
<td>1.19±0.14</td>
<td>0.93±0.17</td>
<td>0.40±0.18</td>
<td>0.57±0.13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TZD promoted differentiation and reversal of the transformed phenotype in PPARγ-expressing cells
In the PPARγ-expressing cell line, PANC-1, 72-h incubation with RGZ resulted in morphological changes with more abundant, flattened cytoplasm, and increased cytoplasmic/nuclear ratio, as is consistent with a more mature phenotype (Figure 5B). To determine whether morphological changes and growth arrest were accompanied by differentiation, analysis of markers of the differentiated state was performed.

Treatment with 20 μmol/L of RGZ resulted in a time-dependent increased expression of ductal specific markers such as carbonic anhydrase II (CA II)[3] and cytokeratin 7[3] as well as “general” differentiation markers such as the cell cycle inhibitors p21 and p27 (Figure 5A). Expression of
β-actin, used as an internal control, did not change under any experimental condition. Treatment with PGZ had similar effect (not shown). In contrast, HPAC cells did not show any induction of differentiation markers (Figure 6A). TZD induced p21 and CA II expression in the P3-HPAC clone (Figure 6B), thus supporting the role of PPARγ in the acquisition of a more differentiated state. Because PPARγ activation can enhance adipocyte differentiation[11], we examined the lipid accumulation in PANC-1 and in Capan-2 cells. Oil Red O staining revealed no lipid accumulation after PGZ or RGZ treatment for 4 d (not shown).

**DISCUSSION**

Here we studied the effects of two TZD, pioglitazone (PGZ) and rosiglitazone (RGZ), on pancreatic cancer cell growth, and we characterized the relationship between PPARγ expression and their anticancer properties. The two TZD similarly induced a strong inhibition of anchorage-independent growth on PPARγ-expressing cell lines but they had no effect on colony formation of the PPARγ-deficient cells, HPAC (Figure 1B). The role of PPARγ in the antiproliferative effect of TZD in pancreatic cancer cells was confirmed by overexpression of the receptor in HPAC cells. Expression of PPARγ in HPAC cells, obtained by transducing retrovirus, restored the growth inhibitory effect of TZD in parallel with a significant induction of PPRE reporter activity (Figure 2B, C). We therefore, conclude that PPARγ expression determines TZD sensitivity in pancreatic carcinoma cells. Confirming our result, the reduction of DNA accumulation by RGZ in glioma cells is strictly dependent on PPARγ expression[36]. In addition RGZ exclusively inhibits anchorage-independent growth in human colorectal cancer cells that express a transcriptionally active PPARγ[18]. This data is, however, partially in contrast with the observation that the anticancer effects of TZD are independent of PPARγ and mediated by inhibition of translation initiation[37]. In fact, in PPARγ ES cells troglitazone induced cell cycle arrest by partial depletion of intracellular Ca²⁺ stores, activation of the double-stranded RNA-dependent protein kinase (PKR), and phosphorylation of the eukaryotic initiation factor 2α (eIF2α). Furthermore, Abe et al have indicated that troglitazone suppressed cell growth and histamine secretion by a PPARγ-independent mechanism in the human basophilic leukemia cell line KU812[38]. A possible explanation of this controversy may be due to the different chemical structure of the various members of TZD family. Only troglitazone, for instance, has the chroman structure of vitamin E, suggesting that this TZD could regulate signal pathways by mimicking the effects of vitamin E and independently of PPARγ transcriptional
activation[49].

To define the mechanisms by which TZD inhibit the growth of pancreatic carcinoma cells, we analyzed the cell cycle profile of cells treated with RGD and PGD. Both TZD increased the population of cells in G1/G0 phase and reduced the population of cells in S phase in PPARγ-expressing cells (Figure 3). These results support recent observations in other growing cells, such as colon cancer cells and myeloid leukemia cells, showing that PPARγ activation induce G1 cell cycle arrest[18,40]. These cell cycle alterations were achieved at TZD concentrations that repressed pancreatic cell growth, indicating that cell-cycle arrest is one of the primary mechanisms responsible for the anti-proliferative action of TZD in pancreatic cancer cell in vitro.

Apoptosis and cell differentiation are tightly linked to cell cycle control mechanisms, particularly those that regulate the transit through G1 phase[41,42]. Thus, the induction of G1 arrest by TZD-activation of PPARγ may be the precipitating molecular events for subsequent cell differentiation or death. We did not find any pro-apoptotic effects in PPARγ-expressing and non-expressing cells after TZD treatment at the highest concentration used (Table 1 and Figure 4), whereas a significant time-dependent induction of both general and ductal-specific differentiation markers was observed after TZD treatment in PANC-1 cells and in the PPARγ overexpressing clone, P3-HPAC. This suggests that the in vitro anti-proliferative effects of TZD in pancreatic tumor cells are not primarily mediated by the induction of apoptotic cell death. Our findings are consistent with the apoptotic-resistant phenotype characteristic of pancreatic tumor cells that are resistant to undergoing apoptosis induced by chemotherapeutic agents, activation of surface receptors such as CD 95 or by serum and growth factor withdrawal[43,44]. Similarly to TZD, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAID) such as indomethacin and sulindac inhibit pancreatic cell growth by cell-cycle arrest without apoptosis via a COX2-independent mechanism[45]. Interestingly, it has been shown that NSAID are PPARγ activators and their growth-inhibitory effect in pancreatic cells could be mediated, at least in part, by this receptor[46]. Furthermore, Wick et al, have recently demonstrated that PPARγ is one of the molecular targets of NSAID mediating COX2-independent inhibition of lung cancer cell growth[47]. In contrast, the natural PPARγ ligand, 15d-PGJ2, induced substantial apoptosis in pancreatic cancer cells[48]. These discrepancies could be explained assuming that the effect of 15d-PGJ2 may be partially PPARγ-independent. Indeed, cyclopentenone prostaglandins have been shown to induce apoptotic cell death of human hepatic myofibroblasts, which do not express PPARγ, by a mechanism involving the production of reactive oxygen species[49]. Furthermore, specific inhibition of PPARγ does not prevent 15d-PGJ2-induced apoptosis in breast cancer cells, suggesting that this esocanoid requires mechanisms others than activation of PPARγ to induce apoptosis[50].

Although inhibition of cloning efficiency is generally considered the hallmark of differentiation, we investigated additional characteristics that would point towards a more differentiated phenotype of ductal carcinoma cells. Whereas much is known about PPARγ and its role in adipocytic differentiation[11], in part because of the identification of well-established markers of the terminally differentiated adipocyte[12], the pancreatic ductal epithelium represents a more complex and challenging system. We found that TZD treatment induced the expression of differentiated ductal markers such as CAII[51] and DCC[52] in parallel with a significant up-regulation of the cell cycle inhibitors p21 and p27 in Panc-1 cells (Figure 5A). By light microscopy, we observed elongation and flattening of cells with extending cellular process after TZD treatment (Figure 5B). These morphological changes are strikingly similar to the ones observed after butyrate and retinoid induced differentiation in the same cell lines[53] and represented a more differentiated and less malignant state. In agreement with the negligible effect of TZD on growth of PPARγ non-expressing cells, these drugs have no effect on the expression of CAII and p21 in HPAC cells (Figure 6A). Ectopic expression of PPARγ completely restored the ability of TZD to induce ductal differentiation in HPAC cells, suggesting that the expression and activation of PPARγ pathway is a key step in pancreatic-specific differentiation (Figure 6B). Chang et al, have recently reported growth inhibition and increased expression of markers of bronchoalveolar progenitor cells in non-small cell lung cancer after treatment with PPARγ agonists[54]. Similarly to our results, they did not document either lipid accumulation or adipocyte-specific gene expression, thus excluding adipocytic transdifferentiation of these cell lines. The signaling events evoked by PPARγ activation in epithelial cancer cells remain unclear. The ability of TZD to establish various lineage-specific differentiated states that differ according to the cellular type would suggest that the PPARγ pathway functions early in the induction of differentiation, before lineage-specific events occur.

Overall, our study demonstrates that TZD inhibits growth of pancreatic cancer cells via a PPARγ-dependent induction of ductal differentiation. Given the favorable toxicity profile of these drugs and the limited treatment options that are currently available for patients with pancreatic malignancies, TZD might be a new effective approach to complement conventional chemotherapeutic regimens for pancreatic cancer therapy.
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