COGNITIVE REHABILITATION: 2009 - 2014

<u>Title:</u> Evidence-Based Cognitive Rehabilitation: Systematic Review of the Literature From 2009 Through 2014.

<u>Authors:</u> Keith D. Cicerone, PhD^{1,2}, Yelena Goldin, PhD^{1,2}, Keith Ganci, PhD³, Amy Rosenbaum, PhD⁴, Jennifer V. Wethe⁵, PhD., Donna M. Langenbahn, PhD^{6,7}, James F. Malec, PhD^{5,9}, Thomas F. Bergquist, PhD⁵, Kristine Kingsley, PsyD^{6,7}, Drew Nagele, PsyD^{10,11}, Lance Trexler, PhD^{8,9}, Michael Fraas, PhD¹², Yelena Bogdanova, PhD^{13,14}, J. Preston Harley, PhD¹⁵. <u>Affiliations:</u>

- 1. JFK Johnson Rehabilitation Institute, Hackensack Meridian Health System
- 2. Rutgers Robert Wood Johnson Medical School
- 3. Charlotte Center for Neuropsychological Services
- 4. Park Terrace Care Center
- 5. Mayo Clinic College of Medicine and Science
- 6. Rusk Rehabilitation at NYU Langone Health
- 7. New York University School of Medicine
- 8. Rehabilitation Hospital of Indiana
- 9. Indiana University School of Medicine
- 10. Beechwood NeuroRehab
- 11. Philadelphia College of Osteopathic Medicine
- 12. Western Washington University
- 13. Boston University School of Medicine
- 14. VA Boston Healthcare System

15. Advocate Christ Medical Center

<u>Disclosures:</u> None

<u>Corresponding Author:</u> Keith D. Cicerone, Ph.D.

JFK Johnson Rehabilitation Institute

2048 Oak Tree Road

Edison, NJ 08820

(732) 906-2640

Keith.Cicerone@hackensackmeridian.org

Acknowledgement of CRTF members who contributed as article Reviewers: Quratulain Khan, PhD, Summer Ibarra, PhD, Devan Parrott, PhD, Brenda Swartz, PsyD, Teresa Ashman, PhD, Joshua Cantor, PhD., Christopher Carter, PhD

Acknowledgement of assistance with the preparation of manuscript: Jaclyn Danyo, Alyssa Ettore

COGNITIVE REHABILITATION: 2009 - 2014

1	TIT	$\mathbf{L}\mathbf{F}$

1	
,	
_	

- 3 Evidence-based cognitive rehabilitation: Systematic review of the literature from 2009 through
- 4 2014.

5

6 ABSTRACT

- 8 **Objective:** To conduct an updated, systematic review of the clinical literature, classify studies
- 9 based on the strength of research design, and derive consensual, evidence-based clinical
- 10 recommendations for cognitive rehabilitation of people with TBI or stroke.
- 11 **Data Sources**: Online Pubmed and print journal searches identified citations for 250 articles
- published from 2009 through 2014.
- 13 **Study Selection**: 186 articles were selected for inclusion after initial screening. 50 articles were
- initially excluded (24 healthy, pediatric or other neurologic diagnoses, 10 non-cognitive
- interventions, 13 descriptive protocols or studies, 3 non-treatment studies). 15 articles were
- excluded after complete review (1 other neurologic diagnosis, 2 non-treatment studies, 1
- qualitative study, 4 descriptive papers, 7 secondary analyses). 121 studies were fully reviewed.
- 18 **Data Extraction**: Articles were reviewed by CRTF members according to specific criteria for
- 19 study design and quality, and classified as providing Class I, Class II, or Class III evidence.
- 20 Articles were assigned to 1 of 6 possible categories (based on interventions for attention, vision
- and neglect, language and communication skills, memory, executive function, or comprehensive-
- 22 integrated interventions).

COGNITIVE REHABILITATION: 2009 - 2014 2

23	Data Synthesis: Of 121 studies, 41 were rated as Class I, 3 as Class Ia, 14 as Class II, and 63 as
24	Class III. Recommendations were derived by CRTF consensus from the relative strengths of the
25	evidence, based on the decision rules applied in prior reviews.
26	Conclusions: CRTF has now evaluated 491 papers (109 Class I or Ia, 68 Class II, and 314 Class
27	III) and makes 29 recommendations for evidence-based practice of cognitive rehabilitation (9
28	Practice Standards, 9 Practice Guidelines and 11 Practice Options). Evidence supports Practice
29	Standards for attention deficits after TBI or stroke; visual scanning for neglect after right
30	hemisphere stroke; compensatory strategies for mild memory deficits; language deficits after left
31	hemisphere stroke; social communication deficits after TBI; metacognitive strategy training for
32	deficits in executive functioning; and comprehensive-holistic neuropsychological rehabilitation
33	to reduce cognitive and functional disability after TBI or stroke.
34	Key Words: Brain injuries; Stroke; Practice guidelines as topic; Rehabilitation.
35	
36	
37	
38	
39	
40	
41	
42	
43	
44	
45	

46 **LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS**

47	ABI	acquired brain injury
48	APT	Attention Process Training
49	BHW	Behavioral Health Workshop
50	CO-OP	Cognitive Orientation to Occupational Performance
51	CRTF	Cognitive Rehabilitation Task Force
52	CVA	cerebrovascular accident
53	DTI	Diffusion Tensor Imaging
54	FA	fractional anisotropy
55	FIM	Functional Independence measure
56	GMT	Goal Management Training
57	IOM	Institute of Medicine
58	MRI	magnetic resonance imaging
59	MST	metacognitive strategy training
60	NFT	neurofunctional training
61	PDA	personal data assistant
62	PCS	post-concussion symptoms
63	PM	prospective memory
64	PST	problem solving therapy
65	PTSD	post-traumatic stress disorder
66	RCT	randomized controlled trial
67	SE	supported employment
68	SOT	standard occupational therapy

69 TBI traumatic brain injury

70 tDCS transcranial direct current stimulation

71 TPM Time Pressure Management

72 VR virtual reality

73 WM working memory

74

The Cognitive Rehabilitation Task Force (CRTF) of the American Congress of
Rehabilitation Medicine, Brain Injury Special Interest Group, has previously published three
systematic reviews of cognitive rehabilitation after TBI or stroke ¹⁻³ Our intent has been to
summarize the existing literature in order to provide evidence-based recommendations for the
clinical practice of cognitive rehabilitation. We have consistently attempted to base our
recommendations on the best available scientific evidence, to be applied in conjunction with
clinical judgment and patients' preferences and values. Since our initial efforts there has been a
proliferation of reviews of the literature regarding the effectiveness of cognitive rehabilitation.
Some of these reviews have maintained a pragmatic, clinical focus while others have emphasized
the methodologic rigor of studies and often reached the conclusion that there is insufficient
evidence to guide clinical practice. This represents a form of therapeutic nihilism that ignores a
basic tenet of evidence-based practice: to utilize the best available scientific evidence to support
clinical practice. While we support the goals of conducting research of high methodologic
quality ⁴ , we continue to believe that the extant evidence allows for the extrapolation of useful
clinical recommendations from the scientific literature. The CRTF therefore conducted the
current review in order to identify the best available scientific evidence to inform the clinical
practice of cognitive rehabilitation. This effort is distinct from most other reviews in its emphasis
on the development of practical, evidence-based guidelines, to be used in conjunction with
clinical judgment and patient preferences.

The current paper is an updated systematic review of the literature published from 2009 through 2014 addressing cognitive rehabilitation for people with TBI or stroke. We included

studies where at least the majority of participants had sustained either traumatic brain injury (mild, moderate or severe) or stroke. Our emphasis on these conditions is based on their clinical prevalence of acquired cognitive deficits and participation in neurorehabilitation, and is consistent with our prior reviews (while other CRTF reviews have addressed other medical conditions). We reviewed and analyzed studies that allowed us to evaluate the effectiveness of behavioral interventions for cognitive limitations. Whenever possible we analyzed studies based on comparisons with alternative non-treatment or alternative treatment conditions. We included a range of outcomes representing physiologic function, subjective report or objective measures of neurocognitive impairments, activity limitations or social participation among participants examined during either acute or post-acute stages of recovery. We integrated these findings in our current practice recommendations.

METHODS

The development of evidence-based recommendations followed our prior methodology for identification of the relevant literature, review and classification of studies, and development of recommendations. These methods are described in more detail in our initial publication. For the current review, online literature searches using PubMed were conducted weekly using the terms cognitive rehabilitation brain injury and cognitive rehabilitation stroke. For our previous reviews we utilized a larger and more diverse set of search terms, and we initially included these terms in our current search strategy. However, early in this process we observed that the broader search terms appeared to have equivalent sensitivity and greater specificity for the identification of relevant citations. We also screened 7 rehabilitation and neuropsychology journals through

monthly subscriptions. The references from relevant identified articles were also screened. The use of multiple search methods should assure that a comprehensive search was conducted with little if any systematic bias. Articles were assigned to 1 of 6 possible categories (based on interventions for attention, vision and neglect, language and communication skills, memory, executive function, or comprehensive-integrated interventions) that specifically address the rehabilitation of cognitive disability. For this review we did not include studies of aphasia rehabilitation after stroke, but concentrated on functional communication deficits. We based this decision on the large number of studies addressing aphasia rehabilitation, most of which concerned highly specific linguistic deficits and interventions and were felt to be of limited direct relevance to our current objectives.

Articles were reviewed by 2 CRTF members who completed a Study Review form and abstracted according to specific criteria: subject characteristics (age, education, gender, nature and severity of injury, time postinjury, inclusion/exclusion criteria); treatment characteristics (treatment setting, target behavior or function, nature of treatment, sole treatment or concomitant treatments); methods of monitoring and analyzing change (e.g. change on dependent variable over course of treatment; pretreatment and posttreatment tests on measures related to target behavior; patient, other, or clinician ratings related to target behaviors; change on functional measures; global outcome status); maintenance of treatment effects; statistical analyses performed; and evidence of treatment effectiveness (e.g. improvement on cognitive function being assessed, evidence for generalized improvement on functional outcomes). Each study was classified as providing Class I, Class II, or Class III evidence, as described below. Seven CRTF reviewers were experienced in the process of conducting a systematic review of cognitive rehabilitation studies. An additional 14 reviewers were trained to review and classify articles for

the purpose of this systematic review. These reviewers attended at least one in-person training session through the CRTF and achieved consensus with experienced reviewers on at least 4 articles before serving as independent reviewers. In addition to completing the Study Review form, each reviewer also completed a rating of Quality Criteria ⁴ for each study. This material will be submitted for separate publication.

The CRTF initially identified citations for 250 published articles. We included articles published between 2009 and 2014 inclusive (including articles published electronically through this period); we stopped identifying potential articles on December 15, 2015. The abstracts or complete articles were reviewed in order to eliminate articles according to the following exclusion criteria: (1) nonintervention articles, including nonclinical experimental manipulation, (2) theoretical articles or descriptions of treatment approaches, (3) review articles, (4) articles without adequate specification of interventions, (5) articles that did not include participants primarily with a diagnosis of TBI or stroke, (6) studies of pediatric subjects, (7) single case reports without empirical data, (8) non-peer reviewed articles and book chapters, (9) articles describing pharmacologic interventions, and (10) non-English language articles.

Based upon initial review of abstracts or full articles we eliminated 64 reviews published between 2009 and 2014. We eliminated an additional 50 articles based on other exclusion criteria (17 studies of participants with other neurologic diagnoses, 10 non-cognitive interventions, 8 descriptive studies, 3 non-treatment studies, 5 experimental manipulations with healthy subjects, 5 treatment protocols, 2 pediatric subjects). An additional 8 articles were excluded after complete review (1 with other neurologic diagnosis, 2 non-treatment studies, 1 qualitative study, 2 treatment protocols and 2 descriptive papers). We also identified 7 papers representing secondary analyses (2 imaging findings, 2 analyses of patient characteristics, and 3 follow-up studies of

prior RCTs); these 7 papers were not classified based on level of evidence but were used to inform our findings and recommendations.

We fully reviewed and evaluated 121 studies. For these 121 studies, the level of evidence was determined based on criteria used in our prior reviews. ¹⁻³ Well-designed, prospective, RCTs were considered class I evidence; studies using a prospective design with quasi-randomized assignment to treatment conditions were designated as class Ia studies. Given the inherent difficulty in blinding rehabilitation interventions, we did not consider this as criterion for class I or Ia studies, consistent with our prior reviews. Class II studies consisted of prospective, nonrandomized cohort studies; retrospective, nonrandomized case-control studies; or multiple-baseline studies that per- mitted a direct comparison of treatment conditions. Clinical series without concurrent controls, or single-subject designs with adequate quantification and analysis were considered class III evidence. Studies that were designed as comparative effectiveness studies but did not include a direct statistical comparison of treatment conditions were considered class III. Disagreements between the 2 primary reviewers (as occurred for 14 articles) were first addressed by discussion between reviewers to correct minor sources of disagreement, and then by obtaining a third review.

Of the 121 studies included for analysis in the current review, 41 were rated as class I, 3 as class Ia, 14 as class II, and 63 as class III. The overall evidence within each predefined area of intervention was synthesized and recommendations were derived from the relative strengths of the evidence. The level of evidence required to determine Practice Standards, Practice Guidelines, or Practice Options was based on the decision rules applied in our initial review (Table 1). All recommendations were reviewed for consensus by the CRTF through face-to-face discussion.

10

INSERT TABLE 1 ABOUT HERE 191

192

193

RESULTS

194

Rehabilitation of Attention

196

197

198

199

200

201

202

203

204

205

206

207

208

209

210

211

212

195

We reviewed 13 studies (5 Class I ⁵⁻⁹, 1 Class II ¹⁰ and 7 Class III ¹¹⁻¹⁷) addressing the remediation of attention. Four studies (1 Class II ⁵, 1 Class II ¹⁰, and 2 Class III ^{11,14}) evaluating direct attention training using APT provide additional evidence that APT can improve performance on training tasks and direct measures of global attention. A Class I study ⁵ compared APT and standard care for hospitalized stroke patients an average of 18 days after a stroke. Participants who received APT demonstrated greater improvement on a composite measure of attention although broader functional outcomes did not differ. This finding is consistent with existent evidence suggesting limited benefits of APT compared with standard brain injury rehabilitation during acute recovery.

Two studies (one Class III ⁶, one Class III ¹¹) utilized single subject designs to investigate the functional benefits of APT as a component of treatment for language deficits. The Class II study used APT-3, which incorporates direct attention training and metacognitive strategy training, to improve reading comprehension in 4 chronic ischemic stroke patients with mild to moderate aphasia ⁶. All 4 participants demonstrated improvement on select standardized measures of attention, while modest gains in reading comprehension were obtained by 2 participants. The authors suggest that improvements in allocation of attention and self-

213	monitoring may underlie improvements in reading comprehension although there is limited
214	evidence for transfer of attention training to functional cognition.
215	Computer-based working memory training. Two Class I studies evaluated whether computer-
216	based working memory training (Cogmed QM) can increase WM performance, and lead to
217	generalized improvements. ^{7,8} The samples in both studies included individuals with mixed
218	acquired brain injuries, a majority with a diagnosis of stroke. In one study, participants
219	demonstrated significant improvement on the trained working memory tasks, untrained working
220	memory tasks, and self-reported cognitive difficulties in everyday living situations, and WM-
221	related occupational performance. ⁷ The second Class I study investigated WM training in
222	conjunction with standard outpatient rehabilitation, compared with standard rehabilitation alone.
223	Despite isolated benefits on screening measures of attention and higher cognitive functioning for
224	the WM intervention group, there was no difference between groups on an aggregate WM
225	measure or self-rated executive problems after treatment, making it difficult to attribute specific
226	benefits to the WM intervention. There is Class III evidence (including follow-up 18 to a Class I
227	study 8) suggest generalized improvements in self-reported cognitive problems in daily
228	functioning, fatigue, and occupational performance after WM training with Cogmed. ^{17, 18}
229	A Class I study evaluated computer-based WM training (a component of RehaCom)
230	combined with training in semantic structuring and word fluency, compared with "standard
231	memory therapy" focused on learning strategies. WM training resulted in significant
232	improvements on working memory and word fluency, as well as on PM performance, indicating
233	both a direct benefit and generalization of training effects.
234	Specificity of direct attention training. Vallat-Azouvi and colleagues 15, 16 conducted a number
235	of single-subject studies that addressed the specificity of training for discrete components of

258

236	working memory impairment (verbal maintenance, visuospatial maintenance, central executive)
237	after TBI or stroke. The results suggest greater efficacy of "modular" training for each
238	component, with less specificity of benefits on self-reported generalization to everyday working
239	memory difficulties. These findings are consistent with the fundamental assumptions of process-
240	specific cognitive training.
241	Neuroplasticity and direct attention training. Two Class III studies 12, 13 incorporated
242	neuroimaging to investigate whether computer-based attention training (combined with strategy
243	training 12) can contribute to functional restoration and reintegration of neural networks
244	following brain injury. These studies demonstrated training-induced changes in
245	neuropsychological performance that corresponded with white matter microstructural changes as
246	measured by DTI-derived FA, 12 and redistribution of the cerebral attention network marked by
247	decreased activation of the frontal lobe and increased activation of the anterior cingulate cortices
248	and precuneus. ¹³
249	Metacognitive strategy training. One Class I study of metacognitive strategy training extends
250	findings from an earlier review supporting the effectiveness of TPM, a cognitive strategy used to
251	compensate for mental slowness/slow information processing. ⁶ The study used a multicenter,
252	randomized, single-blind control trail to investigate the effects of 10 hours of TPM training
253	compared with usual care in a sample of stroke patients at least 3 months post stroke.
254	Participants in both groups showed an improvement in their use of strategies and reported
255	significantly fewer complaints following treatment. However, the TPM group showed
256	significantly greater use of strategies, and at 3-month follow-up, significantly faster task
257	completion indicating greater efficiency in performing everyday tasks.

INSERT TABLE 2 ABOUT HERE

Recommendations. The CRTF has previously recommended that treatment of attention deficits should incorporate both direct attention training and metacognitive strategy training to increase task performance and promote generalization to daily functioning after TBI (*Practice Standard*). The present results support extending the recommendation to individuals with stroke during the post-acute stages of recovery (Table 2).

Improvements in working memory are evident after training on specific, "modular" components of working memory, whether this is achieved through the use of either computer-based or therapist-administered interventions. The evidence also suggests improvement on patient-reported outcomes of everyday activities after working memory training. ^{3,15,18} Based on this recent evidence, we recommend that direct attention training for specific "modular" impairments in WM, including the use of computer-based interventions, be considered to enhance both cognitive and functional outcomes during post-acute rehabilitation for acquired brain injury (Practice Guideline) (Table 2). This Guideline refines and replaces our previous option for the treatment of global attention impairments through computer based interventions. The CRTF continues to emphasize the importance of therapist involvement and intervention to promote awareness and generalization (e.g., metacognitive strategy training) over the stand-alone use of computer-based tasks.

There continues to be insufficient evidence to indicate differential benefits of direct attention training compared with standard (in-patient) brain injury rehabilitation on functional outcomes during acute recovery from TBI or stroke, although this training may improve specific aspects of attention and there is no indication that the incorporation of direct attention training during acute rehabilitation has negative or adverse effects.

Rehabilitation of Visuospatial Functioning

We reviewed 7 Class I studies ¹⁹⁻²⁵ and 6 Class III ²⁶⁻³¹ studies in the area of visual functioning, with 10 of these studies addressing the remediation of visual neglect after right hemisphere stroke, consistent with the emphasis of the previous CRTF review. Rehabilitation of neglect through practice in visual scanning after right hemisphere stroke has been a recommended as a Practice Standard, and this receives continued support in the current review. ^{19,20,22} More recent research has focused on enhancements of scanning procedures and on alternative procedures. Polanowska and colleagues ¹⁹ provided Class I evidence that left hand stimulation improved outcomes of scanning training for left-sided neglect compared to scanning training alone. A Class I study by Pandian and colleagues ²³ reported that limb activation with mirror therapy (attempting to move the paretic upper extremity to mimic movements of the nonparetic limb reflected in a mirror on the side of the paretic limb) reduced left neglect compared to a sham treatment in an RCT. This study, and an additional Class III study using contralateral limb activation and arm vibration, ²⁸ support prior evidence suggesting the benefits of forced activation of the affected limb in conjunction with visual scanning training for left neglect. ³²

One study that supports the efficacy of visual scanning failed to show a benefit of adding a divided attention task to single-task visuospatial training for neglect. ²⁰ In a class III study, motor imagery failed to improve performance on most neglect measures. ²⁷

Although a physical rather than a cognitive intervention, right hemi-field eye patching was found to reduce left visuospatial neglect compared to standard care in an RCT ²¹ and at an equivalent level to visual scanning training in another RCT. ²² Class III evidence was reported

for improving neglect through a pointing exercise, ³⁰ tDCS in addition to scanning training, ²⁹ and a series of interventions that included optokinetic stimulation, prismatic adaptation, and transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation. ²⁶ The CRTF elects not to provide recommendations regarding these physiological interventions. Two systematic reviews ^{33, 34} provide additional evidence regarding non-cognitive interventions (e.g. prism adaptation, tDCS, drugs) in the rehabilitation of neglect.

Several studies addressed the application of visuospatial interventions to functional limitations ¹⁹, ²⁰ and were unable to document generalization of neglect rehabilitation to functional activities. However, it is very likely that neither study was adequately powered to find an effect on functional measures that are affected by factors other than the direct effect of the treatment studied. One Class III study suggests that cognitive interventions that incorporate skill remediation and metacognitive strategies may facilitate return to driving after TBI or stroke.

³¹ Two follow-up studies ^{35, 36} described long term maintenance of the positive effects of driving simulator training on return to driving originally reported in a RCT. ²⁵

Computerized interventions to expand the visual field in cases of hemianopsia was offered as a Practice Option in the previous EBR based on a single RCT, pending replication. However, Modden and colleagues ²⁴ were unable to demonstrate an effect for two computerized interventions to remediate hemianopsia compared to standard occupational therapy. Although this RCT may have been underpowered, results challenge the previous recommendation and are more consistent with clinical wisdom regarding the irreversibility of visual field loss secondary to stroke.

INSERT TABLE 3 ABOUT HERE

Recommendations. There is continued support for the use of visual scanning to improve left visual neglect after right hemisphere stroke as a Practice Standard (Table 3). The inclusion of left hand stimulation or limb activation in visual scanning training should be considered to increase efficacy of rehabilitation for neglect after right hemisphere stroke (Practice Guideline). Based on current evidence, as well as prior research suggesting that functional improvements are associated with compensation, the CRTF does not now recommend the use of computer-based training to extend visual fields.

Rehabilitation of Memory Deficits

The CRTF reviewed 7 Class I studies,³⁷⁻⁴³ 7 Class II studies⁴⁴⁻⁵⁰ and 6 Class III studies⁵⁰⁻⁵⁶ addressing remediation of memory. Many of these studies focused on specific types of memory impairments rather than global memory functioning. Consequently, the CRTF has organized the more recent studies by the type of memory functioning to be improved. The studies fall into three major categories of functional memory problems 1) prospective remembering; 2) recall of information for the purpose of performing everyday tasks; and 3) memory for routes and navigation. All of the studies utilized a variety of memory strategies previously discussed by the CRTF.

Prospective memory. PM is defined as the ability to recall and execute at a future time an intention. There is strong evidence from Class I studies to support assistive technology training as a way to improve the likelihood of future intentions being carried out. ³⁸⁻⁴¹ Lemoncello and colleagues ⁴⁰ demonstrated the use of a novel assistive technology device which prompts participants with audiovisual reminders at scheduled prospective times on a person's home

television screen. Results showed significant advantage of PM prompting compared to a no prompting condition. Two Class I studies ^{38, 39} suggest that use of a PDA compared with non-electronic memory compensations may lead to fewer functional memory failures and *less use* of internal memory compensations, with no differences in general memory performance. The majority of participants in these studies had sustained a TBI, although several studies also included participants who had sustained a stroke. ^{39, 40} These results are supported by Class II ⁵⁰ and Class III ⁵² evidence demonstrating improved task completion with the use of a PDA.

Shum and colleagues ⁴³ examined compensatory PM training to maximize use of a diary or organizational device for writing reminders, appointments, and note-taking to minimize PM failure, with or without self-awareness training. Training in compensatory strategies was found to increase note-taking independently of self-awareness training. Bergquist and colleagues ³⁷ compared two internet-based interventions on memory performance and use of compensations to carry out meaningful activities in daily life: active calendar acquisition training, compared with use of a diary-only to log day-to-day events. There were no differences on compensation use; the authors suggested that both conditions may have had a therapeutic effect by focusing on recall of future events and historical information. Results of these interventions are notable in light of evidence that the use of external memory compensations (e.g. checking things off on a calendar) is a stronger predictor of activity limitations after TBI than the degree of cognitive impairment ⁵⁷ and may not require changes in awareness.

One Class I study ⁴² used visual imagery as the main ingredient in the PM training, based on the idea that visual imagery can strengthen the cue-action association, compared with a control condition of brief education. Individuals with moderate to severe TBI's were trained to make associations between prospective cues and an intended action. Visual imagery training

appeared to improve PM functioning by strengthening the "memory trace" and "automatic
recall" of intentions. Generalization was demonstrated by participants making fewer PM failures
in their daily lives. Two Class II studies ^{45, 46} investigated self-imagination as a mnemonic
strategy to enhance episodic memory, with respect to a PM task. Participants who were trained
on a self-imagination technique demonstrated a 66% advantage in prospective remembering,
compared with just using rote rehearsal.
Improving memory for everyday tasks. Two Class II studies evaluated group-based memory
training techniques to improve recall of information for the purpose of performing everyday
tasks, compared with no intervention, after a TBI ⁴⁹ or single stroke. ⁴⁴ O'Neill and colleagues
⁴⁹ used a group training intervention focused on internal memory strategy training and found
improvement on everyday memory measures, with greater effect for mild and moderately
impaired participants. Miller and colleagues ⁴⁴ studied the use of a group memory training
program patients during the chronic stage of recovery after a single stroke. The intervention
included education about memory and the use of both internal/mental strategies and external
compensatory aides. Results included significant improvement on measures of delayed recall and
assessments of PM, with more marked gains for individuals with higher education or higher
measured intelligence. Shorter time post stroke was associated with less improvement of PM.
Memory for routes and navigation. Limited evidence was available to support the use of
memory training strategies to improve memory for routes and navigation. One Class II study ⁴⁸
suggests that the benefits of errorless learning extend to practical route memorization. One Class
III study ⁵¹ suggests that intensive training in virtual navigational tasks may result in an
enhancement of memory function for adults with acquired brain injury.

Recommendations. In prior reviews, the CRTF has consistently recommended a Practice Standard of compensatory memory strategy training for mild memory impairments after TBI, including the use of internalized strategies and external compensations. Current evidence supports the use of visual imagery, association techniques, and the use of assistive technology for the treatment of prospective remembering difficulties in persons with mild memory impairment (Practice Standard) (Table 4). These recommendations are consistent with a recent systematic review of neuropsychological rehabilitation for PM deficits. ⁵⁸ Memory strategy training is also recommended for the improvement of recall in the performance of everyday tasks in people with mild memory impairments after TBI (Practice Standard). Current evidence supports the use of group-based memory strategy training for the purpose of improving PM and recall in the performance of everyday tasks after TBI, and extends this recommendation to the treatment of people with mild to moderate memory impairments after stroke (Practice Option). Current findings are consistent with prior evidence suggesting that internal strategies are more effective for participants with less severe memory impairments and greater cognitive reserve.

In previous reviews, the CRTF focused its recommendations on particular techniques for improving memory function, such as the use of errorless learning techniques and externally-directed assistive devices for patients with moderate to severe memory impairments. Current literature suggests increased emphasis on use of assistive technology and remote treatment delivery using the Internet, but no new evidence to support changing prior recommendations.

Rehabilitation of Communication and Social Cognition

We reviewed 2 Class I 59,60 studies, 1 Class II 61 study, and 5 Class III $^{62-66}$ studies in the area of

419	communication, predominantly after TBI. One Class III investigation included 5 participants
420	with right-hemisphere CVA. ⁶⁴
421	Remediation for specific language impairments. One Class II study ⁶¹ examined the
422	effectiveness of a structured cognitive-based approach to improving reading comprehension
423	compared to a no-strategy control condition, after TBI or stroke. The treatment condition
424	consisted of learning a reading strategy implemented at three different phases in the reading
425	process: pre-reading, during reading, and post-reading. The results indicate that the treatment
426	strategy was associated with greater immediate and delayed recall of information, greater
427	efficiency of delayed recall (as measured by the time taken to recall units of information), and
428	increased accuracy of sentence verification. The authors emphasize the need to match reading
429	comprehension strategies to patient-specific needs and abilities as a more clinically effective
430	approach.
431	Lundgren and colleagues 64 and Brownell and colleagues 65 provide Class III evidence to
432	support the treatment of metaphor interpretation following right-hemisphere CVA and TBI,
433	respectfully. Lundgren and colleagues ⁶⁴ examined whether a structured intervention focused on
434	improving use of semantic associations could improve oral interpretations of metaphors in 5
435	participants with right hemisphere CVA. Significant improvement on oral metaphor
436	interpretation was noted though little improvement was demonstrated on an untrained line
437	orientation task. In the second investigation, Brownell and colleagues 65 investigated the
438	effectiveness of the same metaphor interpretation task with a group of 8 subjects 3-20 years
439	following moderate to severe TBI. Six of the 8 participants demonstrated significant
440	improvements in oral metaphor interpretation with 3 out of the 6 demonstrating maintenance
441	effects at 3-4-month follow-up.

Specific treatments for remediation of emotional perception deficits. Two Class I studies 59,60
and 1 Class III study 66 provide support for the remediation of emotional perception deficits
following ABI. McDonald and colleagues ⁶⁰ randomized 20 participants to either an intervention
group or a wait-list group. Treatment involved a manualized program to improve the ability to
perceive and distinguish between prosodic emotional cues. Group differences in test performance
favored the treatment group; however, only 6 of the subjects allocated to the treatment group
demonstrated measurable improvements on test scores. None of the participants demonstrated a
treatment effect at one-month follow-up.
Neumann and colleagues ⁵⁹ randomized a group of 71 participants with TBI to either one

Neumann and colleagues ⁵⁹ randomized a group of 71 participants with TBI to either one of two treatment groups or a cognitive-training control group. All treatments were provided through one-on-one computer-assisted interventions facilitated by a therapist The first treatment taught participants to recognize emotions from facial expressions (Faces). The second treatment taught participants to infer emotions from contextual cues presented in a story format (Stories). Participants in the control condition played a variety of online, publicly available computer games that targeted cognitive skills but did not provide any type of emotion-related training. On tests of facial emotion recognition, there was a significant main effect reported between the Faces group and the control group, but not between the Stories group and the control group. There were no significant main or interaction effects between Faces, Stories and control conditions on the ability to infer emotions from stories, and no generalization to measures of empathy or neuropsychiatric behaviors. These findings replicate a previous Class III investigation. ⁶⁶ The authors indicate that facial emotion recognition training is effective for individuals with TBI and that benefits of treatment can be maintained up to 6 months following intervention. However, they indicate that the training failed to show a generalization effect to

emotion perception based on contextual cues. The authors suggest that group treatment may
provide an opportunity to practice emotion recognition in a functional setting and subsequently
promote generalization of performance.
Group treatment for social communication deficits. Braden and colleagues 63 conducted a
Class III feasibility investigation with pre-post and six-month follow-up assessments to
determine the effectiveness of a group interactive structured treatment approach combined with
individual treatments for improving social skills following TBI. This study extends the findings
of a previous RCT study by the same researchers ⁶⁷ to 30 participants with post-acute TBI with
identified social communication deficits plus a history of psychiatric/psychological disorder or
substance abuse or those with additional neurological complications, such as stroke, hypoxia,
multiple sclerosis or others (TBI-Plus). Results demonstrated that, following a 13-week group
social communication skills intervention, the TBI-plus participants made statistically significant
gains on subjective social communication skills and quality of life measures, which were
maintained at 6-month follow-up. Additional Class III 62 evidence provides support for the
effectiveness of group treatment for remediation of social communication deficits following TBI.
INSERT TABLE 5 ABOUT HERE
Recommendations. The CRTF previously recommended cognitive interventions for specific
language impairments such as reading comprehension and language formulation after left
hemisphere stroke or TBI (<i>Practice Guideline</i>). A well-designed Class II study ⁶¹ provides
additional evidence to support this recommendation (Table 5).
The CRTF previously recommended as a Practice Standard specific interventions for
functional communication deficits, including pragmatic conversational skills following TBI.
Two Class III studies reporting the effectiveness of metaphor interpretation training following

right hemisphere stroke ⁶⁴ and TBI ⁶⁵ provide support for this recommendation. One Class I ⁵⁹ and one Class III study ⁶⁶ suggest that specific intervention to improve the recognition of emotions from facial expressions may be effectively incorporated as component of the *Practice Standard* for treating functional communication deficits after TBI (Table 5). However, the CRTF notes that this effect may be specific to this training and does not generalize to training emotional perception based on prosodic or semantic-contextual cues, nor to empathy or neuropsychiatric behaviors.

Two Class III studies ^{62, 63} support the recommendation (*Practice Option*) for group-based interventions for the remediation of language deficits after left hemisphere stroke and for social-communication deficits after TBI.

Rehabilitation of Executive Functioning

The CRTF reviewed 15 Class I ⁶⁸⁻⁸² or Class Ia ⁸³⁻⁸⁵ studies, 3 Class II ⁸⁶⁻⁸⁸ studies, and 19 Class III ⁸⁹⁻¹⁰⁷ studies of interventions for executive functioning. The central aspect of most of these interventions is the facilitation of *metacognitive knowledge* (awareness) and metacognitive self-regulation (e.g., goal setting, planning, initiation, execution, self-monitoring, and error management). Many of these interventions addressed multiple aspects of executive dysfunction within an integrated treatment approach. *Goal Management Training.* We reviewed 2 Class I studies, ^{69,70} 1 Class II study, ⁸⁶ and 1 Class III study ⁹³ addressing the remediation of executive functioning using GMT.

A Class I study ⁶⁹ investigated the effectiveness of GMT compared to BHW control group in a mixed population. GMT produced significant benefits on sustained attention and

511

512

513

514

515

516

517

518

519

520

521

522

523

524

525

526

527

528

529

530

531

532

533

behavioral regulation, while no differences were seen in the BHW group for any of the tasks. Unfortunately, neither group demonstrated significant improvements on self-reported problems in everyday functioning. However, a Class II study⁸⁶ showed GMT to be effective in improving the skills needed for every day financial management on participants' self-selected functional goals that were a focus of treatment.

Novakovic-Agopian and colleagues conducted a Class I study⁷⁰ to determine the feasibility of an intervention directed at "goal-oriented attentional self-regulation skills" with individuals with chronic brain injury and mild to moderate difficulties in executive functioning. The group-based intervention focused on attention regulation (including mindfulness exercises) and use of a metacognitive strategy ("stop-relax-refocus") as well as the application of training to individual goals. The executive intervention was compared with didactic brain injury education. Participants exhibited a decrease in task failures on a complex functional task following goaloriented attention training, related to protection of working memory from distractions. These gains were maintained at 5-week follow-up. A subset of participants was administered functional MRI during a visual selective attention task, pre and post treatment, to examine changes in neural processing. ¹⁰⁸ Modulation of neural processing in extrastriate cortex was enhanced by attention training. Neural changes in prefrontal cortex, a proposed mediator for attention regulation, were inversely related to baseline state. These results suggested that enhanced modulatory control over visual processing and a rebalancing of prefrontal functioning may underlie improvements in attention and executive control. A subsequent modularity analysis 109 demonstrated that the modularity of brain network organization at baseline predicted improvement in attention and executive function after cognitive training, with higher baseline modularity related to greater adaptation in response to goal training.

534

535

536

537

538

539

540

541

542

543

544

545

546

547

548

549

550

551

552

553

554

555

556

A systematic review of GMT noted that for most studies that demonstrated effectiveness of GMT, it was part of an intervention that incorporated PST focused on personal goals, and included application of GMT to daily life tasks. 110 The CRTF reviewed additional Class I 68 and Class Ia 83 studies that reflect these

treatment components. Spikman and colleagues ⁶⁸ conducted a multicenter study to evaluate the effects of treatment for dysexecutive problems on daily life functioning after acquired brain injury. The multi-faceted intervention incorporated aspects of GMT⁶⁹ and PST¹¹¹ in a general planning approach in three stages (information and awareness; goal setting and planning; initiation, execution and regulation). The experimental intervention was compared with an individually administered, computerized cognitive training package consisting of several repetitive cognitive tasks aimed at improvement of general cognitive functioning, with no therapist-directed strategic approaches to the tasks. Improvements in executive functions and resumption of social roles (based on structured interview) were observed after both treatments; participants in the multi-faceted treatment demonstrated larger benefits, and maintained gains, in their ability to set and accomplish real-life goals, regulate a series of real-life tasks, and resume effective social roles. The reliance on therapists' ratings and lack of blind outcome assessments limits the interpretation of these results. Cantor and colleagues⁸³ also evaluated a multi-faceted intervention that incorporated metacognitive skills that could be applied across a range of reallife activities through PST, attention training, and emotional regulation. In comparison with a wait-list control group, the experimental intervention produced significant benefits on selfreported executive functioning and problem solving, but not on other measures of neuropsychological functioning, attention, awareness, self-efficacy, emotional regulation, participation or quality of life.

Metacognitive strategy training. One Class I, ⁸¹ 1 Class II ⁸⁵ and 3 Class III studies ^{89, 90, 92} addressed the remediation of executive functioning using specific aspects of metacognitive strategy training. The Class III single-case studies evaluated the effectiveness of metacognitive strategy training for improving on-line awareness and self-management of errors during functional activities. ^{89, 90, 92} For example, Ownsworth and colleagues ⁹⁰ examined the use of MST to improve performance on a cooking task through therapist-guided evaluation and feedback using the "pause, prompt, praise" technique. ¹¹² Individuals receiving MST demonstrated a significant reduction in error frequency, a significant decrease in therapist checks, and a significant increase in self-corrected errors on the cooking task; participants who only received behavioral practice demonstrated no difference in self-corrected errors and greater reliance on therapist checks.

A Class I study by Schmidt and colleagues⁸¹ also utilized the "pause, prompt, praise" technique during a meal preparation task to investigate the effects of video-and-verbal feedback, verbal feedback alone, or experiential feedback on error management in participants with TBI with impaired self-awareness. Participants were typically seen during postacute rehabilitation, several years after sustaining moderate to severe TBI, and exhibited deficits in intellectual and emergent (online) awareness. Participants in the video-and-verbal feedback group showed significantly improved online awareness, measured by the number of errors during task completion, than either of the comparison interventions. Further, the video-and-verbal feedback group demonstrated greater intellectual awareness after treatment, with no increase in emotional distress or changes in their perceptions of recovery or rehabilitation.

Cognitive Orientation to Occupational Performance. A number of the studies cited above were directed at the application of MST to functional task performance. ^{81,86,90} Along this line,

there was a notable emergence of research on the effectiveness of an approach integrating functional skills training and metacognitive strategy training through CO-OP. This procedure includes client centered goal setting, particularly in relation to performance of functional activities, and the use of a global metacognitive strategy of Goal-Plan-Do-Review. The remediation of specific cognitive components or impairments is avoided in favor of interventions directly at the level of relevant client-centered functional activities.

We reviewed 11 studies investigating the effectiveness of CO-OP after TBI or stroke, involving 3 Class I $^{71-73}$, 1 Class Ia 84 studies, 1 Class II 87 , and 6 Class III $^{94-99}$ studies.

Dawson and colleagues adapted an occupation-based strategy training based on the CO-OP for patients with executive dysfunction after TBI. A Class Ia pilot RCT was conducted for patients with chronic TBI, all of whom were at least 1-year post injury and an average of 10 years post injury. A The experimental intervention included the identification of meaningful problems in each participant's everyday life, translated into functional goals (e.g., keep papers organized; schedule activities to avoid fatigue), and application of guided discovery and the metacognitive problem-solving strategy to the goals being trained. Participants who received the intervention demonstrated improved performance and satisfaction on trained goals compared with the comparison group. In addition, the intervention resulted in improvement on untrained goals, suggesting near transfer of training, as well as participants reporting increased levels of participation, suggesting generalization of the training to participants daily functioning.

Two Class I studies^{71,72} evaluated the CO-OP intervention compared with SOT to improve performance on functional goals and transfer to untrained activities for people living in the community after a single stroke. Participants were either less than three months post-stroke ⁷² or more than six months post-stroke. ⁷¹ Participants in both conditions chose their own treatment

goals; however, in the SOT condition treatment plans were completely therapist driven with an emphasis on impairment-based training whereas in CO-OP therapists helped participants create their own performance plans (guided discovery), taught participants a global metacognitive strategy (goal-plan-do-review) to create and evaluate those plans, and focused entirely on activity-level interventions. In both studies, significant benefits of CO-OP over SOT were apparent on participant and therapist ratings of performance of self-selected activities, as well as greater transfer to untrained activities. An additional Class I study⁷³ compared CO-OP with an attention control condition (reflective listening) among patients after acute stroke who were receiving inpatient rehabilitation. Participants who received CO-OP showed significant improvements on executive cognitive measures as well as reduced disability in activities of daily living (FIM Scores) at 3 and 6 months after admission, with increasing differences between groups over the 6-month study period.

These studies suggest that a combination of functional skills training at the activity level, and incorporation of metacognitive strategies is related to improved performance on trained tasks, and greater transfer of training to untrained tasks, although the specific effective ingredients of the CO-OP procedure have not been isolated. Rotenberg-Shpigelman and colleagues⁸² conducted a Class I study of NFT that incorporated errorless learning (as opposed to trial-and-error learning or error management training) and repeated practice and "overlearning" of task performance. This approach is consistent with the evidence that even people with severe memory and executive impairments can be trained on new routines using errorless learning ⁵⁵ and that, once learned, these routines can be carried out in novel contexts. The NFT approach places little demands on the cognitive, emotional and physical resources of participants with severe neurologic disabilities, in contrast to the cognitively-demanding use of metacognitive

626

627

628

629

630

631

632

633

634

635

636

637

638

639

640

641

642

643

644

645

646

647

648

strategies inherent in the CO-OP intervention. A sample of community dwelling chronic stroke survivors attending day rehabilitation (at least one-year post-stroke) received either NFT or treatment as usual (a combination of traditional outpatient therapies). Participants who received NFT showed greater improvements on trained tasks, while neither condition demonstrated improvements on untrained tasks, an outcome that was expected to occur in accordance with the principles of NFT. The investigators suggested that NFT may have more specific effects than CO-OP and be less limited in its applicability to patients with more severe cognitive impairment. These studies also suggest that the effects of intervention on untrained functional tasks requires the incorporation of deliberate efforts to promote transfer and generalization, including the use of a general metacognitive strategy for planning, implementing and self-monitoring performance of functional activities. Reasoning, problem solving, and executive regulation of attention. One Class I study 74 examined a top-down strategy (remembering general concepts without emphasizing details) to improve gist-reasoning in participants with chronic TBI. The intervention group improved on gist-reasoning, executive control and verbal working memory, and endorsed significant functional changes in community functioning 6 months-post training., Fong and Howie 85 evaluated an intervention combining multiple components of problems solving, compared with a conventional treatment (including repetitive practice of functional skills or cognitive tasks). The problem-solving intervention produced marginal benefits on paper-and-pencil reasoning tasks but these benefits did not transfer to real-life situations. Several Class I ^{76,77} and Class III ¹⁰¹ studies have examined the effects of treatment on participants with acquired brain injury ability to manage multiple, simultaneous task demands as

an aspect of executive functioning. These studies demonstrated highly specific effects on

performing trained dual tasks (particularly simultaneous cognitive and motor tasks), with little
generalization to broader executive abilities or everyday functioning. An additional Class I study
noted above ²⁰ failed to show a benefit of divided attention training on visuospatial treatment for
neglect.
Computer-assisted treatment. The CRTF reviewed three Class I ⁷⁸⁻⁸⁰ studies and 1 Class III
study 100 addressing computer-based cognitive rehabilitation of executive functioning, including
the use of virtual reality (VR) environments. One study reported benefits of computer-based
cognitive exercises when combined with standard inpatient stroke rehabilitation. ⁷⁸ Spikman and
colleagues found similar effects of computer-based treatment with metacognitive strategy
training on discrete measures of executive functioning. ⁶⁸ The use of VR was more effective than
psychoeducation in enhancing problem solving skills ⁷⁹ but not significantly better than SOT in
improving everyday executive function performance. ⁸⁰ The use of VR represents a potentially
fruitful area for further study. ^{78-80, 100} At present, there is insufficient evidence to support a
recommendation for computer-based cognitive rehabilitation specifically for deficits in executive
functioning.
Emotional regulation. There is increasing recognition of the association between
metacognitive and emotional regulation, including a specific relationship of alexithymia
(difficulty identifying emotions) and multiple aspects of executive functioning. 113-115 Spikman
and colleagues ¹¹⁶ conducted a secondary analysis of their RCT for dysexecutive problems ⁶⁸ to
examine patient characteristics related to treatment outcomes. Pre-treatment emotion recognition
performance predicted post-treatment resumption of roles and everyday executive functioning. In
addition, worse pre-treatment emotion recognition skills negatively affected treatment-induced
learning of compensatory strategies for executive dysfunction, whereas pre-treatment

dysexecutive deficits did not. These findings suggest that deficits in emotional regulation may play a critical role in patients' ability to apply a strategy for the planning and regulation of complex tasks, and may require specific interventions. ^{59,60}

Although treatment for difficulties in emotional regulation has been incorporated into some multi-faceted interventions for executive dysfunction ^{68, 70, 83, 117-119} this requires additional research. Several Class III studies ¹⁰³⁻¹⁰⁵ evaluated group-based interventions for emotional regulation, specifically directed at self-management of anger and aggression. The interventions included techniques to increase awareness of emotion, manage the expression of anger, problem solving and cognitive restructuring. Treatment effects were limited to the experience and control of anger and aggressiveness with no effect on other aspects of behavioral regulation or emotional symptoms.

A systematic review suggested some benefit of external compensations for milder forms of apathy (diminished initiation, sustained activity and goal-directed behavior) after traumatic brain injury. A single-case study incorporating external compensation and motivational interviewing demonstrated a strong and specific effect on sustained activity and subjective apathy. Description

INSERT TABLE 6 ABOUT HERE

Recommendations. The CRTF has previously recommended MST (self-monitoring and self-regulation) as a Practice Standard for treating deficits in executive functioning after TBI, including impairments of emotional self-regulation, and as a component of interventions for deficits in attention, neglect, and memory. Current evidence suggests that the incorporation of formal protocols for PST and GMT, and their application to everyday situations and functional activities, should be considered as components of MST during post-acute rehabilitation after TBI

(Table 6). ^{68-70, 83, 85, 86} Emerging Class I evidence^{71-73, 84} supports the incorporation of MST into occupation-based treatment for practical goals and functional skills to promote both acquisition and transfer of functional skills during post-acute rehabilitation after TBI and stroke. Additional Class I evidence ⁸¹ suggests that explicit (verbal-and-video) performance feedback should be considered to facilitate the positive effects of metacognitive strategy training (Practice Guideline) (Table 6).

Indirect evidence from Class I studies^{70, 83} supports the existing Practice Option indicating that group-based interventions may be considered for remediation of executive and problem solving deficits after TBI.

For patients with severe cognitive (executive) deficits, including limitations of emergent awareness and use of compensatory strategies, the use of direct, skill-specific training including errorless learning may be considered to promote performance of specifically trained functional tasks, with no expectation of transfer to untrained activities. While the direct evidence for NFT is limited to participants with chronic stroke, the CRTF considered that there is a sound clinical rationale and indirect evidence for applying this recommendation to the treatment of people with severe cognitive impairments after TBI (Practice Option). There is preliminary evidence suggesting that MST as a component of training on functional activities may increase the effectiveness of acute rehabilitation for patients with cognitive impairment after stroke (Practice Option) (Table 6).

Comprehensive Rehabilitation Programs

In our initial review we included a discussion of both multi-modal interventions and
comprehensive-holistic programs. In the current review, all of the multi-modal interventions
were computerized, which is a noteworthy shift in current treatment trends. Modular approaches
to cognitive remediation are typically aimed at a single cognitive impairment; patients with
multiple impairments may receive a mix of modular treatments that target several cognitive
impairments. ¹²¹ Comprehensive-holistic programs typically target specific cognitive
impairments but also provide individual and group therapies that address self-awareness of the
impact of cognitive deficits, interpersonal and emotional functioning, and psychological coping
through an organized and integrated therapeutic environment. ¹²¹ The CRTF reviewed 5 Class I
¹²²⁻¹²⁶ , 2 Class II and 20 Class III ¹²⁹⁻¹⁴⁸ studies of comprehensive rehabilitation through either
multi-modal or comprehensive-holistic programs.
Multi-modal, computer-based interventions. In this section we include discussion of 3 Class I
$^{122\text{-}126}$ and 4 Class III $^{145\text{-}148}$ studies of multi-modal computer-based programs for the remediation
of cognitive skills. Some utilized computer-based retraining packages that are meant to be
administered or directed by a rehabilitation professional. ^{124, 126, 146} Others utilized commercially
available computer-based brain training programs that patients could potentially initiate or direct
with little, if any, therapist involvement. 145, 147, 148
Two of the most encouraging and rigorous studies utilized the RehaCom Software
package. Lin and colleagues 126 conducted a Class I study that demonstrated not only the
effectiveness of computerized cognitive rehabilitation for deficits in memory and executive
functioning, but also the changes in cerebral functional connectivity that may underlie post-
training improvements during the post-acute period of recovery (6-10 months after a first stroke).
Participants were randomized to receive 60 hours of computerized cognitive retraining with

740	RehaCom or no treatment. Treatment recipients showed improvements in attention, memory and
741	increased functional connectivity of the hippocampus with frontal and parietal cortical areas,
742	while the control group demonstrated decreased hippocampal-cortical connectivity. Moreover,
743	improvements in neuropsychological performance correlated with increased functional
744	connectivity. This finding is supported by a Class III study ¹⁴⁶ demonstrating improvements in
745	attention/working memory and new learning and memory after treatment through RehaCom. An
746	additional Class I study ¹²⁴ demonstrated benefits on cognitive and daily functioning from broadly
747	defined, therapist-directed computer-based treatments as an adjunct to "standard
748	neurorehabilitation" for participants with TBI or stroke during post-acute recovery. It is notable
749	that the RehaCom package incorporates components that have contributed to the efficacy of
750	other rehabilitation techniques, including: repeated stimulation, intensity of training, adjusting
751	task difficulty to the patient's performance, feedback, therapist involvement, and simulated
752	functional tasks.
753	Comprehensive-Holistic Neuropsychological Programs. The CRTF reviewed 2 Class I ^{122, 123} ,
754	2 Class II ^{127, 128} and 16 Class III ¹²⁹⁻¹⁴⁴ studies of comprehensive-holistic rehabilitation. A pilot
755	RCT investigated CogSMART, a didactic approach toward development of compensatory
756	strategies for management of PCS, PM, attention and vigilance, learning and memory, and
757	problem solving. 122 This investigation was conducted with Veterans with chronic PCS an average
758	of 4 to 5 years after primarily mild TBIs. All participants were seeking employment and received
759	one year of SE. For the first 3 months, some participants were randomly assigned to receive
760	CogSMART for 1 hour per week in addition to the 2 SE weekly visits; the control group
761	received enhanced SE of 2 additional visits per week to control for nonspecific effects.
762	CogSMART was effective in reducing PCS and improving PM at the end of treatment, ¹²² and

these benefits were maintained at completion of the 12 month SE program. ¹⁴⁹ Improvement in
PCS was seen primarily in affective symptoms, to less extent in cognitive symptoms, with no
effect on somatic symptoms. CogSMART participants also reported greater subjective quality of
life after SE although there were no differences between conditions on competitive work
attainment. Co-morbid PTSD was evident in 74 percent of Veterans in this study. Veterans with
greater PTSD and depression severity reported greater PCS at all assessment points, however
CogSMART-related improvements in PCS did not vary as a result of psychiatric
symptomatology. 150 Results from these studies are consistent with an earlier Class I study 151 and
suggest that psychoeducation and strategy training 122,133,149,150 may be an effective adjunct or
stand-alone program for reducing PCS after mild TBI. In addition, the presence of co-morbid
PTSD or depressive symptoms should not preclude participation in cognitive rehabilitation
interventions in this population. 150
Current findings from 1 Class II ¹²⁸ and 2 Class III ^{138,139} studies support and extend
existing evidence showing that individualized comprehensive multidisciplinary
neurorehabilitation programs may lead to significantly improved short and long term functional,
cognitive and psychosocial outcomes in the areas of independent living, societal participation
(including occupational functioning), and self-reports of emotional well-being and quality of life.
Findings from several Class III studies suggest these programs may also lead to reduced
caregiver burden (both in terms of emotional burden and psychological health) ¹²⁹ and a
significant reduction of societal costs. 130 These findings apply to in individuals with both
traumatic and non-traumatic brain injuries, regardless of severity or time post injury. 139-141
However, findings from several Class III studies suggests starting rehabilitation earlier post

injury is associated with greater improvements in mood, cognitive functioning, quality of life ^{138,142} and better functional outcomes ^{140, 141} than treatment that begins late post-injury.

The Class II study by Vestri and colleagues¹²⁷ compared patients with acquired brain injury, primarily TBI and stroke, who received either multidisciplinary individual treatments only or combined individual and group treatments, Participants in both conditions improved, with less functional impairment after treatment for those receiving combined individual and group interventions. Additional Class III evidence ⁹¹indicates that structured group treatment, within an outpatient rehabilitation setting, improves self-awareness and the effective use of metacognitive strategies for people one or more years after an acquired brain injury. These results are consistent with existing evidence that group intervention improves psychological well-being following acquired brain injury^{67,117,152} Evidence from several Class III studies suggests that rehabilitation programs incorporating goal directed treatments with an emphasis on individualized client centered goal setting may significantly improve goal attainment ^{131,132,135} and translate to greater levels of residential independence and occupational functioning. ^{135, 136}

INSERT TABLE 7 ABOUT HERE

Recommendations. The current evidence is consistent with our existing recommendation that post-acute, comprehensive-holistic neuropsychological rehabilitation should be provided to reduce functional, cognitive and psychosocial disability after TBI (Practice Standard). Whereas the previous research focused on individuals with TBI, the present results support extending the recommendation to individuals with both traumatic and non-traumatic brain injuries, regardless of severity or time post injury. ^{128,138-141} Comprehensive neuropsychological programs should integrate individualized interventions to address cognitive and interpersonal functioning after acquired brain injury. Such interventions should be goal directed and emphasize individualized

client centered goal setting to promote enhanced residential independence and occupational functioning ^{135,136} (Practice Option) (Table 7). Group interventions may be considered as part of comprehensive-holistic neuropsychological rehabilitation to address the functional application of specific interventions and improve psychological well-being ^{67, 91, 117, 127, 152} (Practice Option). While not a formal recommendation, the CRTF recognizes that the presence of PCS and comorbid psychiatric symptomatology should not preclude participation in cognitive rehabilitation that includes psychoeducational and cognitive strategy training after mild to moderate TBI. ^{122,150}

Based on 2 Class I ^{124,126} and one Class III ¹⁴⁶ study, multi-modal, computer-assisted cognitive retraining with the active involvement and direction of a rehabilitation therapist is recommended as a component of neurorehabilitation for the remediation of attention, memory, and executive function deficits following stroke or TBI. Computer-assisted cognitive retraining programs should stimulate the cognitive domains of interest, adapt task difficulty to the patient's level of performance, and provide feedback and objective performance data (Practice Guideline) (Table 7).

DISCUSSION

Together with our prior reviews, the CRTF has now evaluated 491 interventions (109 Class I or Ia, 68 Class II, and 314 Class III) that address the effectiveness of cognitive rehabilitation after TBI or stroke. Based on these cumulative reviews, the CRTF makes 29 recommendations for evidence-based, clinical practice of cognitive rehabilitation (9 Practice Standards, 9 Practice Guidelines and 11 Practice Options). Several trends are apparent in the current review of the literature, which are reflected in the current recommendations. There is a

trend toward increased specificity of interventions within the broad domains of functioning, which is consistent with efforts to specify the active ingredients of rehabilitation treatments. For example, several studies examined treatment of working memory, or specific aspects of working memory, within the broader domain of rehabilitation for attention. Several new recommendations are made based on specific aspects of metacognitive strategy training such as prompting for error recognition and providing specific forms of feedback as active components of occupational therapy interventions, and specific training in facial emotion recognition as an active component of pragmatic communication treatment.

There is a trend toward the incorporation of interventions for emotional regulation within cognitive rehabilitation. This is consistent with a central tenet of holistic neuropsychological rehabilitation as an integral aspect of cerebral organization. While difficulties with emotional regulation may mediate the effectiveness of cognitive rehabilitation, psychiatric co-morbidities may not. 63,150, 154

Computer-based cognitive interventions represent a larger number of studies in the current review than in prior reviews, directed at both specific cognitive impairments as well as incorporating interventions across multiple cognitive domains. Computer-based cognitive training can improve traditional rehabilitation of cognitive functions by enhancing the consistency and precision through more immediate feedback, systematized delivery, and difficulty level adjustments. The continuous, adaptive adjustment of task difficulty based on a patient's performance is critical for promoting neuroplasticity. The use of tasks with equivalent content that do not include adaptive adjustment of task difficulty produce less improvement and transfer of cognitive functioning. Computer-based cognitive

854

855

856

857

858

859

860

861

862

863

864

865

866

867

868

869

870

871

872

873

874

875

876

interventions also have the potential to bridge some common gaps in treatment access for individuals with brain injury, including restrictions imposed by disability-related limitations, geographical barriers, funding restrictions, and time constraints of complex contemporary lifestyle. ^{162,163} Unfortunately, proper scientific examination and evidence of efficacy has traditionally lagged behind the rapid expansion of computerized brain training programs with claims to change brain structure and function. 164-166 The CRTF found evidence that computerbased direct attention training for modular impairments in working memory can improve specific cognitive functions and generalize to improved subjective complaints. ^{7, 18} The use of direct attention training for specific "modular" impairments in working memory, including the use of computer-based interventions, as a component of post-acute rehabilitation of individuals with acquired brain injury has therefore been upgraded to a Practice Guideline. The current Practice Standard continues to emphasize that treatment of attention deficits should incorporate both direct attention training and metacognitive strategy training, to increase task performance and promote generalization to daily functioning after TBI or stroke during the post-acute stages of recovery. New evidence on multi-modal computerized training of attention, memory, and executive functions indicates that this type of intervention is effective (Practice Guideline) for individuals with stroke and TBI when managed by a rehabilitation clinician and when the program adheres to the principles of neuroplasticity (direct stimulation of a cognitive domain, ongoing adaptive adjustment of task difficulty, and immediate objective feedback on task performance). 157

There continues to be evidence to support the use of group-based interventions across cognitive domains, although the direct evidence to distinguish the specific effects or comparative effectiveness of group-based and individual interventions remains limited. ^{127,152} The existing

877

878

879

880

881

882

883

884

885

886

887

888

889

890

891

892

893

894

895

896

897

898

899

evidence suggests that a combination of individual and group-based treatment may increase effectiveness. Group-based interventions appear to provide increased contextualization and support for social interaction, psychological adaptation and maintenance of goals. ^{67, 91, 144} Our current review found sufficient evidence for group interventions that target impairments of memory, language and social communication deficits, as well as for increasing awareness, 91 goal management ^{70, 136} and emotional regulation ⁶⁸ aspects of executive functions. With respect to memory, like the studies on individual cognitive rehabilitation, the evidence on group interventions also suggests that internal memory strategies are more effective in people with either TBI or stroke who have mild to moderate impairment of memory.⁴⁴ Improvement in goal management was demonstrated not only on performance of a complex functional task, but also on fMRI following group treatment incorporating regulation of attention through mindfulness training and metacognitive strategies. ^{70,108, 109} These new findings provided the basis for a Practice Option for group treatment for aspects executive function impairment following TBI. More generally, the CRTF recognizes that group interventions provide the opportunity for the person to interact with others with similar deficits, ^{91, 144} which may be therapeutic in ways beyond just cognitive functioning, as suggested by the research on the efficacy and effectiveness of holistic comprehensive neuropsychological rehabilitation programs. 83,117

Evidence regarding patient characteristics that influence treatment effectiveness remains limited. Compared to prior reviews, the current review includes a greater percentage of studies assessing stroke and mixed acquired brain injury populations. As such, there are several instances in which prior recommendations have now been extended for utilization for people who sustained a stroke. In terms of time post injury, this and previous reviews include studies spanning the full spectrum of recovery from acute to chronic populations, and has found

evidence that cognitive rehabilitation can lead to clinically significant improvements even years after the initial injury. 117, 140,141, 144 As noted above, cognitive rehabilitation can be effective for people with physical and psychological co-morbidities in addition to TBI. 63,150, 154 Finally, this review provides evidence that various cognitive rehabilitation interventions can be effectively tailored to individuals across levels of injury severity and across levels of neurocognitive impairment. 55,56,82

The bulk of studies included in this review compare the effectiveness of cognitive rehabilitation interventions to either no treatment or standard treatment alone. While this helps elucidate the utility of cognitive rehabilitation and offers treatment recommendations based on observed cognitive impairments, it does not speak to the specific patient characteristics or modes of treatment delivery that likely play a role in mediating intervention success. Further, it does not allow for a comparative assessment of different cognitive interventions across and within patient impairment profiles. The CRTF recommends that future research be directed towards identifying those specific patient characteristics (i.e., psychological insight; residual cognitive reserve; psychiatric comorbidity) and treatment delivery variables (i.e., frequency and intensity) that might influence one's response to particular treatments.

Limitations

There are several significant limitations to the current systematic review. The review covers only the literature published (print or electronic) through 2014 and identified by December 15, 2015. This results in a significant gap in the published literature that may inform our clinical recommendations. This largely reflects the time and labor required by members of the CRTF, and our attempts to maintain an acceptable level of rigor and quality to recommendations. It is our hope that readers of these reviews will adopt a similar process of

clinical and scientific inquiry to examine the current literature. Second, different methodologies for conducting systematic reviews have occurred since our initial publication almost 20 years ago. However, the CRTF has elected to use our extant procedures in order to maintain the consistency of methods and recommendations among our reviews. More specifically, despite our attempts to maintain a level of rigor, we did not include any formal assessment of risk of bias in our evaluation of studies for this review. We recognize that the failure to include formal assessment of study quality in this systematic review may influence the precision, applicability and confidence in our results and recommendations. ¹⁶⁷ It is worth noting that a prior review addressing methodological study quality ⁴, including the formal assessment of risk of bias, supported the clinical recommendations from our prior systematic reviews. ¹⁻³

Conclusions

In our initial review, we concluded that "cognitive rehabilitation should always be directed toward improving everyday functioning, and should include active attempts to promote generalization or directly apply compensatory strategies to functional contexts." Evaluation of rehabilitation effectiveness typically occurs at the impairment level, with the expectation that this will translate into changes in daily functioning. However, this expectation is a limiting factor in evaluation of rehabilitation effectiveness. For example, the IOM report on cognitive rehabilitation therapy for TBI¹²¹ noted that "there is evidence from controlled trials that internal memory strategies are useful for improving recall on decontextualized, standard tests of memory, [but] there is limited evidence that these benefits translate into meaningful changes in patients' everyday memory either for specific tasks/activities or for avoiding memory failures. Therefore, an increased emphasis on functional patient-centered outcomes would allow for a more meaningful translation from cognitive domain to patient functioning" (pg. 13). This will require

ongoing development of interventions and outcome measures that address the application of cognitive abilities to performance of activities in everyday functioning. The use of subjective patient-reported outcomes should provide a direct measure of "meaningful changes" in patients everyday functioning, including symptoms, functional status, and health-related quality of life. 168 Unfortunately, reliance on subjective outcomes is typically "downgraded" from a methodological perspective on the basis of risk of "bias" and threats to external validity. This is an issue that extends beyond cognitive rehabilitation to the nature and measurement of meaningful rehabilitation outcomes, and the question of which outcomes we (and the patients we serve) value. Outcomes should also be "meaningful" in relation to the designated targets of an intervention, presumed mechanisms of change, and anticipated effects of the intervention. ¹⁵³ For example, research that is intended to demonstrate that a cognitive intervention promotes neuroplasticity will necessarily assess changes in functional cerebral connectivity (for example), but should not be required to demonstrate changes at the participation level as an indication of a valid treatment effect. In clinical practice, it is the responsibility of the clinician to make overt the targets of the intervention and to make sure that any evidence-based intervention is relevant to the person's everyday functioning. We believe that the current review and recommendations continue to move the field forward and will contribute toward the evidence-based practice of cognitive rehabilitation.

964

946

947

948

949

950

951

952

953

954

955

956

957

958

959

960

961

962

963

986

1173-83.

965		REFERENCES
966		
967	1.	Cicerone, KD, Dahlberg C, Kalmar K, Langenbahn DM, Malec JF, Bergquist TF et al:
968		Evidence-Based Cognitive Rehabilitation: Recommendations for Clinical Practice. Arch
969		Phys Med Rehabil 2000, 81: 1596-615.
970	2.	Cicerone, KD, Dahlberg C, Malec JF, Langenbahn DM, Felicetti T, Kneipp S, et al:
971		Evidence-Based Cognitive Rehabilitation: Updated Review of the Literature 1998 through
972		2002. Arch Phys Med Rehabil 2005; 86: 1681-92.
973	3.	Cicerone KD, Langenbahn DM, Braden C, Malec JF, Kalmar K et al: Evidence-Based
974		Cognitive Rehabilitation: Updated Review of the Literature from 2003 through 2008. Arch
975		Phys Med and Rehabil 2011; 92: 519-30.
976	4.	Cicerone KD, Azulay J, Trott C. Methodological quality of research on cognitive
977		rehabilitation after traumatic brain injury. Arch Phys Med Rehabil 2009; 90: S52-9.
978	5.	Barker-Collo SL, Feigin VL, Lawes CM, Parag V, Senior H, Rodgers A. Reducing attention
979		deficits after stroke using attention process training: A randomized controlled trial. Stroke
980		2009; 40: 3293-8.
981	6.	Winkens I, Van Heugten CM, Wade DT, Habets EJ, Fasotti L. Efficacy of time pressure
982		management in stroke patients with slowed information processing: a randomized controlled
983		trial. Arch Phys Med & Rehabil 2009; 90: 1672-9
984	7.	Lundqvist A, Grundstro K, Samuelsson K, Ronnberg J. Computerized training of working
985		memory in a group of patients suffering from acquired brain injury. Brain Inj 2010; 24:

- 987 8. Akerlund E., et al., Can computerized working memory training improve impaired working memory, cognition and psychological health? *Brain Inj* 2013; 27: 1649-57.
- 989 9. Richter KM, Modden C, Eling P, Hildebrandt H. Working memory training and semantic
- 990 structuring improves remembering future events, not past events. Neurorehab Neural Repair
- 991 2015; 29: 33-40.
- 992 10. Lee JB, Sohlberg MM. Evaluation of attention training and metacognitive facilitation to
- improve reading comprehension in aphasia. *Am J Sp Lang Path* 2013; 22: S318-33.
- 994 11. Youse KM, Coelho CA. Treating underlying attention deficits as a means for improving
- onversational discourse in individuals with closed head injury. *NeuroRehab* 2009; 24: 355-
- 996 364
- 997 12. Nordvik, JE, Schanke, A-K, Walhovd K, Fjell, A, Grydeland, H, and Landrø, NI. Exploring
- the relationship between white matter microstructure and working memory functioning
- following stroke: A single case study of computerized cognitive training. *Neurocase* 2012;
- 1000 18: 139-15.
- 13. Kim YH, Yoo WK, Ko MH, Park CH, Kim ST, Na DL. Plasticity of the attentional network
- after brain injury and cognitive rehabilitation. *Neurorehab Neural Repair* 2009; 23: 468-77
- 1003 14. Zickefoose, S., Hux, K., Brown, J., and Wulf, K. Let the games begin: A preliminary study
- using Attention Process Training-3 and Lumosity brain games to remediate attention deficits
- following traumatic brain injury. *Brain Inj* 2013; 27(6): 707-716.
- 1006 15. Vallat-Azouvi C, Pradat-Diehl P, Azouvi P. Rehabilitation of the central executive of
- working memory after severe traumatic brain injury: two single-case studies. *Brain Inj* 2009;
- 1008 23: 585-94.

- 1009 16. Vallat-Azouvi C, Pradat-Diehl P, Azouvi P. Modularity in rehabilitation of working
- memory: A single-case study. *Neuropsychol rehabil* 2014; 24: 220-37.
- 1011 17. Johansson B, Tornmalm M. Working memory training for patients with acquired brain
- injury: effects in daily life. Scand J Occup Ther 2012; 19: 176-83
- 1013 18. Bjorkdahl, A., Akerlund, E., Svensson, S., and Esbjornsson, E. A randomized study of
- computerized working memory training and effects on functioning in everyday life for
- patients with brain injury. *Brain Inj* 2013; 27: 1658-65.
- 1016 19. Polanowska K, Seniow J, Paprot E, Lesniak M, Czlonkowska A. Left-hand somatosensory
- stimulation combined with visual scanning training in rehabilitation for post stroke
- hemineglect: a randomized, double blind study. *Neuropsychol Rehabil* 2009; 19: 364-82.
- 20. van Kessel, ME, Geurts, AC, Brouwer, WH, and Fasotti, L. Visual scanning training for
- neglect after stroke with and without a computerized lane tracking dual task. Front Hum
- 1021 *Neurosci* 2013; 7: 1-11.
- 1022 21. Tsang MHM, Sze KH, Fong KNK. Occupational therapy treatment with right half-field eye-
- patching for patients with subacute stroke and unilateral neglect: a randomised controlled
- trial. Disabil Rehabil 2009; 31: 630-7.
- 22. Ianes P, Varalta V, Gandolfi M, Picelli A, Corno M, Di Matteo A, Fiaschi A, Smania N.
- Stimulating visual exploration of the neglected space in the early stage of stroke by hemifield
- eye-patching: a randomized controlled trial in patients with right brain damage. Eur J Phys
- 1028 Rehabil Med 2012; 48: 189-96.
- 23. Pandian. Mirror therapy in unilateral neglect after stroke (MUST trial): A randomized
- 1030 controlled trial. *Neurology* 2014; 83: 1012-7.

- 1031 24. Modden C, Behrens M, Damke I, Eilers N, Kastrup A, Hildebrandt H. A randomized
- controlled trial comparing 2 interventions for visual field loss with standard occupational
- therapy during inpatient stroke rehabilitation. *Neurorehabil Neural Repair* 2012; 26: 463-9.
- 25. Akinwuntan AE, DeWeerdt W, Feys H, Pauwels J, Baten G, Arno P, Kiekens C. Effect of
- simulator training on driving after stroke: a randomized controlled trial. *Neurology* 2005; 65:
- 1036 843-50.
- 26. Beschin N, Cocchini G, Allen R, Sala SD. Anosognosia and neglect respond differently to
- the same treatments. *Neuropsychol Rehabil* 2012; 22: 550-62.
- 27. Leifert-Fiebach G, Welfringer A, Babinsky R, Brandt T. Motor imagery training in patients
- with chronic neglect: a pilot study. *Neurorehabilitation* 2013; 32: 43-58.
- 28. Pitteri, M., Arcara, G., Passarini, L., Meneghello, F., and Priftis, K. Is two better than one?
- Limb activation treatment combined with contralesional arm vibration to ameliorate signs of
- left neglect. Front Hum Neurosci 2013; 7: 1-10.
- 29. Brem AK, Unterburger E, Speight I, Lutz J. Treatment of neglect with tCDS and cognitive
- training: a single-case study. Front Syst Neurosci 2014; 8: 180 doi:
- 1046 10.3389/fnsys.2014.00180
- 30. Mancuso M, Pacini M, Gemignani P, Bartalini B, Agostini B, Ferroni L, Caputo M, Capitani
- D, Mondin E, Cantagallo A. Clinical application of prismatic lenses in the rehabilitation of
- neglect patients. A randomized controlled trial. Eur J Phys Rehabil Med 2012; 48: 197-208.
- 31. Klonoff, P.S., et al. The relationship of cognitive retraining to neurological patients' driving
- status: the role of process variables and compensation training. *Brain Inj* 2010; 24: 63–73.

- 32. Bailey MJ, Riddoch MJ, Crome P. Treatment of visual neglect in elderly patients with stroke:
- a single-subject series using either a scan and cueing strategy or a left-limb activation
- strategy. *Phys Ther* 2002; 82: 782-97.
- 33. Kerkhoff G, Schenk T. Rehabilitation of neglect: an update. *Neuropsychologia* 2012; 50:
- 1056 1072-9.
- 34. Fasotti L, van Kessel M. Novel insights in the rehabilitation of neglect. Front Hum Neurosci
- 1058 2013; 7: 780.
- 35. Devos H, Akinwuntan AE, Nieuwboer A, Ringoot I, Van Berghen K, Tant M, Kiekens C, De
- Weerdt W. Effect of simulator training on fitness-to-drive after stroke: a 5-year follow-up of
- a randomized controlled trial. *Neurorehabil Neural Repair* 2010; 24: 843-50.
- 36. Devos H., Akinwuntan AE, Nieuwboer A Tant M, Truijen S, DeWit L et al. Comparison of
- the effect of two driving retraining programs on on-road performance after stroke.
- 1064 Neurorehab Neural Repair 2009 23: 699-705.
- 37. Bergquist T, Gehl C, Mandrekar J, Lepore S, Hanna S, Osten A, Beaulieu W. The effect of
- internet-based cognitive rehabilitation in persons with memory impairments after severe
- traumatic brain injury. *Brain Inj* 2009; 23: 790-9
- 38. Lannin N, Carr B, Allaous J, Mackenzie B, Falcon A, Tate R. A randomized controlled trial
- of the effectiveness of handheld computers for improving everyday memory functioning in
- patients with memory impairments after acquired brain injury. Clin Rehabil 2014; 28: 470.
- 39. De Joode EA, Van Heugten CM, Verhey FRJ, Van Boxtel MPJ. Effectiveness of an
- electronic cognitive aid in patients with acquired brain injury: a multicentre randomised
- parallel-group study. *Neuropsychol Rehabil* 2013; 23: 133-56.

- 40. Lemoncello R, Sohlberg MM, Fickas S, Prideaux J. A randomized controlled crossover trial
- evaluating Television Assisted Prompting (TAP) for adults with acquired brain injury.
- 1076 *Neuropsychol Rehabil* 2011; 21: 825-46.
- 41. Yip BCB, Man DWK. Virtual reality-based prospective memory training program for people
- with acquired brain injury. *Neurorehabilitation* 2013; 32: 103-15.
- 42. Potvin MJ, Rouleau I, Senechal G, Giguere JF. Prospective memory rehabilitation based on
- visual imagery techniques. *Neuropsychol Rehabil* 2011; 21: 899-924
- 43. Shum D, Fleming J, Gill H, Gullo MJ, Strong J. A randomized controlled trial of prospective
- memory rehabilitation in adults with traumatic brain injury. *J Rehabil Med* 2011;43:216-23
- 44. Miller LA, Radford K. Testing the effectiveness of group-based memory rehabilitation in
- chronic stroke patients. *Neuropsych Rehabil* 2014. 24: 721-37.
- 45. Grilli MD, McFarland CP. Imagine that: self-imagination improves prospective memory in
- memory-impaired individuals with neurological damage. *Neuropsychol Rehabil* 2011; 21:
- 1087 847-59.
- 46. Grilli MD, Glisky EL. The self-imagination effect: benefits of a self-referential encoding
- strategy on cued recall in memory-impaired individuals with neurological damage. J Int
- 1090 *Neuropyschol Soc* 2011; 17: 929-33.
- 47. McDonald A, Haslam C, Yates P, Gurr B, Leeder G, Sayers A. Google calendar: a new
- memory aid to compensate for prospective memory deficits following acquired brain injury.
- 1093 *Neuropsychol Rehabil* 2011; 21: 784-807.
- 48. Lloyd J, Riley GA, Powell TE. Errorless learning of novel routes through a virtual town in
- people with acquired brain injury. *Neuropsychol Rehabil* 2009; 19: 98-109.

- 49. O'Neil-Pirozzi TM, Strangman GE, Goldstein R, Katz DI, Savage CR, Kelkar K, Supelana
- 1097 C, Burke D, Rauch SL, Glenn MB. A controlled treatment study of internal memory
- strategies (I-MEMS) following traumatic brain injury. J Head Trauma Rehabil 2010; 25: 43-
- 1099 51.
- 50. Dowds, MM, Lee PH, Sheer JB, O'Neil-Pirozzi TM, Xenopoulos-Oddsson A, Goldstein R,
- Zainea KL, Glenn MB. Electronic reminding technology following traumatic brain injury:
- effects on timely task completion. *J Head Trauma Rehabil* 2011; 26: 339-47.
- 51. Culley C, Evans JJ. SMS text messaging as a means of increasing recall of therapy goals in
- brain injury rehabilitation: a single-blind within-subjects trial. Neuropsychol Rehabil 2009;
- 1105 20:103-19
- 52. Svoboda E, Richards B, Leach L, Mertens V. PDA and smartphone use by individuals with
- moderate-to-severe memory impairment: application of a theory-driven training programme.
- 1108 Neuropsychol Rehabil 2012; 22: 408-27
- 53. Caglio M, Latini-Corazzini L, D'Agata F, Cauda F, Sacco K, Monteverdi S, Zettin M, Duca
- S, Geminiani G. Virtual navigation for memory rehabilitation in a traumatic brain injured
- patient. Neurocase 2012; 18: 123-31.
- 54. Brindley R, Bateman A, Gracey F. Exploration of use of SenseCam to support
- autobiographical memory retrireval within a cognitive-behavioral therapeutic intervention
- following acquired brain injury. *Memory* 2011; 19:745-757
- 55. Ferland, M.B., Larente, J., Rowland, J., and Davidson P. Errorless (re)learning of daily living
- routines by a woman with impaired memory and initiation: Transferrable to a new home?
- 1117 Brain Inj 2013; 27: 1461–1469, 2013.

- 1118 56. Stringer AY. Ecologically-oriented neurorehabilitation of memory: robustness of outcome
- across diagnosis and severity. Brain Injury. 2011; 25:169–178.
- 57. Yutsis M, Bergquist T, Micklewright J, Gehl C, Smigielski J, Brown AW. Pre-treatment
- compensation use is a stronger correlate of measures of activity limitations than cognitive
- impairment. *Brain Inj* 2012; 26: 1297-306.
- 58. Mahan S, Rous R, Adlam A. Systematic review of neuropsychological rehabilitation for
- prospective memory deficits as a consequence of acquired brain injury. J Int Neuropsychol
- 1125 *Soc* 2017; 23: 254-65.
- 59. Neumann D, Babbage DR, Zupan B, Willer B. A randomized controlled trial of emotion
- recognition training after traumatic brain injury. *J Head Trauma Rehabil* 2015; 30: E12-23.
- 60. McDonald S, Togher L, Tate R, Randall R, English T, Gowland A. A randomised controlled
- trial evaluating a brief intervention for deficits in recognizing emotional prosody following
- severe ABI. Neuropsychol Rehabil 2012; 23: 267-86
- 61. Griffiths GG, Sohlberg MM, Kirk C, Fickas S, Biancarosa G. Evaluation of use of reading
- comprehension strategies to improve reading comprehension of adult college students with
- acquired brain injury. *Neuropsychol Rehabil* 2016; 26:161-90
- 62. Gabbatore I, Sacco K, Angeleri R, Zettin M, Bara BG, Bosco FM. Cognitive pragmatic
- treatment: A rehabilitative program for traumatic brain injury individuals. J Head Trauma
- 1136 Rehabil 2014; 30: E14-28.
- 63. Braden C, Hawley L, Newman J, Morey C, Gerber D, Harrison-Felix C. Social
- communication skills group treatment: a feasibility study for persons with traumatic brain
- injury and comorbid conditions. *Brain Inj* 2010; 24: 1298-310.

1161

1162

64. Lundgren K, Brownell H, Cayer-Meade C, Milione J, Kearns K. Treating metaphor 1140 1141 interpretation deficits subsequent to right hemisphere brain damage: preliminary results. Aphasiology 2011; 25: 456-74. 1142 65. Brownell H, Lundgren K, Cayer-Meade C, Milione J, Katz DI, Kearns K. Treatment of 1143 metaphor interpretation deficits subsequent to traumatic brain injury. J Head Trauma Rehabil 1144 1145 2012; 28: 446-52. 1146 66. Radice-Neumann D, Zupan B, Tomita M, Willer B. Training emotional processing in persons with brain injury. J Head Trauma Rehabil 2009; 24: 313-23. 1147 67. Dalhberg CA, Cusick CP, Hawley LA, Newman JK, Harrison-Felix CL, Whiteneck GG: 1148 Treatment efficacy of social communication skills training after traumatic brain injury: a 1149 randomized treatment and deferred treatment controlled trial. Arch Phys Med Rehabil 2007; 1150 88: 1561-73. 1151 1152 68. Spikman JM, Boelen DHE, Lamberts KF, Brouwer WH, Fasotti L. Effects of a multifaceted treatment program for executive dysfunction after acquired brain injury on indications of 1153 executive functioning in daily life. J Int Neuropyschol Soc 2010; 16: 118-29. 1154 69. Levine B, Schweizer TA, O'Connor C, Turner G, Gillingham S, Stuss DT, Manly T, 1155 Robertson IH. Rehabilitation of executive functioning in patients with frontal lobe brain 1156 1157 damage with goal management training. Front Hum Neurosci 2011; 5:9. doi: 1158 10.3389/fnhum.2011.00009 70. Novakovic-Agopian T, Chen AJW, Rome S, Abrams G, Castelli H, Rossi A, McKim R, Hills 1159 N, D'Esposito M. Rehabilitation of executive functioning with training in attention 1160

regulation applied to individually defined goals: a pilot study bridging theory, assessment,

and treatment. J Head Trauma Rehabil 201; 26:325-38.

- 1163 71. Polatajko HJ, McEwen SE, Ryan JD, Baum CM. Pilot randomized controlled trial
- investigating cognitive strategy use to improve goal performance after stroke. *Am J Occup*
- 1165 Ther 2012; 66: 104-9.
- 1166 72. McEwen S, Polatajko H, Baum C, Rios J, Cirone D, Doherty M, Wolf T. Combined
- cognitive- strategy and task-specific training improve transfer to untrained activities in
- subacute stroke: An exploratory randomized controlled trial. *Neurorehab Neural Rep* 2014;
- 1169 29: 526-36.
- 1170 73. Skidmore ER, Dawson DR, Butters MA, Grattan ES, Juengst SB, Whyte EM, Begley A,
- Holm MB, Becker JT. Strategy Training Shows Promise for Addressing Disability in the
- First 6 Months After Stroke. *Neurorehab Neural Rep* 2014; 28: 378-387.
- 1173 74. Vas AK, Chapman SB, Cook LG, Elliott AC, Keebler M. Higher-order reasoning training
- years after traumatic brain injury in adults. *J Head Trauma Rehabil* 2011; 26:224-39
- 1175 75. Vas A, Chapman S, Aslan S, Spence J, Keebler M, Rodriguez-Larrain G, Rodgers B, Jantz T,
- Martinez D, Rakic J, Krawczyk D. Reasoning training in veteran and civilian traumatic brain
- injury with persistent mild impairment. *Neuropsych Rehabil* 2016; 26:502-31
- 1178 76. Evans JJ, Greenfield E, Wilson BA, Bateman A. Walking and talking therapy: improving
- 1179 cognitive-motor dual-tasking in neurological illness. *J Int Neuropyschol Soc* 2009; 15: 112-
- 1180 20.
- 1181 77. Couillet J, Soury S, Lebornec G, Asloun S, Joseph PA, Mazaux JM, Azouvi P. Rehabilitation
- of divided attention after severe traumatic brain injury: a randomised trial. *Neuropsychol*
- 1183 *Rehabil* 2010; 20:321-39.

- 78. Prokopenko SV, Mozheyko EY, Petrova MM, Koryagina TD, Kaskaeva DS, Chernykh TV,
- Shvetzova IN, Bezdenezhnih AF. Correction of post-stroke cognitive impairments using
- 1186 computer programs. *J Neurol Sci* 2013; 325:148-53.
- 79. Man, D.W.K, Poon, W.S., and Lam, C. The effectiveness of artificial intelligent 3-D virtual
- reality vocational problem-solving training in enhancing employment opportunities for
- people with traumatic brain injury. *Brain Inj* 2013; 27: 1016-25.
- 80. Jacoby M, Averbuch S, Sacher Y, Katx N, Weiss PL, Kizony R. Effectiveness of executive
- functions training within a virtual supermarket for brain injury; a pilot study. *IEEE Trans*
- 1192 *Neural Syst Rehabil Eng* 2013; 21: 182-90
- 81. Schmidt J, Fleming J, Ownsworth T, Lannin NA. Video feedback on functional task
- performance improves self-awareness after traumatic brain injury: A randomized controlled
- trial. Neurorehabil Neural Repair 2013; 27: 316-24.
- 82. Rotenberg-Shpigelman S, Bar-Haim Erez A, Nahaloni I, Maeir A. Neurofunctional treatment
- targeting participation among chronic stroke survivors: a pilot randomised controlled study.
- 1198 *Neuropsychol Rehabil* 2012; 22: 532-49.
- 83. Cantor, J., Ashman, T., Dams-O'Connor, K., Dijkers, M.P., Gordon, W., et al. Evaluation of
- the STEP intervention for executive dysfunction after traumatic brain injury: a randomized
- 1201 controlled trial with minimization. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 2014; 95: 1-9.
- 1202 84. Dawson, D.R., Binns, M.A., Hunt, A., Lemsky, C., and Polatajko, H.J. Occupation-Based
- Strategy Training for Adults With Traumatic Brain Injury: A Pilot Study. *Arch Phys Med*
- 1204 Rehab 2013; 94: 1959-63.
- 1205 85. Fong, Howie Effect of explicit problem solving using a mutlticomponential approach after
- 1206 ABI. *Am J Occ Ther* 2009; 63: 525-53.

- 86. Grant M, Ponsford J, Bennett PC. The application of Goal Management Training to aspects
- of financial management in individuals with traumatic brain injury. Neuropsychol Rehabil
- 1209 2012; 22:852-73.
- 87. Skidmore Developing complex interventions: Lessons learned from a pilot study examining
- strategy training in acute stroke. *Clin Rehabil* 2014; 28: 378-87.
- 1212 88. Taylor WJ, Brown M, William L, McPherson KM, Reed K, Dean SG, Weatherall M. A pilot
- cluster randomized controlled trial of structured goal-setting following stroke. Clin Rehabil
- **1214** 2011; 26:327-38.
- 1215 89. Toglia J, Johnstone MV, Goverover Y, Dain B. A multicontext approach to promoting
- transfer of strategy use and self-regulation after brain injury: An exploratory study. Brain Inj
- 1217 2010; 24: 664-77.
- 90. Ownsworth T, Quinn H, Fleming J, Kendall M, Shum D. Error self-regulation following
- traumatic brain injury: a single case study evaluation of metacognitive skills training and
- behavioural practice interventions. *Neuropsychol Rehabil* 2010; 20: 59-80.
- 91. Lundqvist A, Linnros H, Orlenius H, Samuelsson K. Improved self-awareness and coping
- strategies for patients with acquired brain injury—a group therapy programme. *Brain Inj*
- 1223 2010: 24:823-32.
- 92. McPherson KM, Kayes N, Weatherall M. A pilot study of self-regulation informed goal
- setting in people with traumatic brain injury. *Clin Rehabil* 2009; 23: 296-309.
- 93. Waid-Ebbs JK, Daly J, Wu SS, Berg WK, Bauer RM, PerlsteinWM, Crosson B. Response to
- goal management training in veterans with blast-related mild traumatic brain injury. *J Rehab*
- 1228 Res Dev; 51: 1555-66.

- 94. Dawson DR, Gaya A, Hunt A, Levine B, Lemsky C, Potlajko H. Using the Cognitive
- Orientation to occupational performance (CO-OP) with adults with executive dysfunction
- following traumatic brain injury. Can J Occup Ther 2009; 76: 115-27.
- 95. McEwen SE, Polatajko HJ, Davis JA, Huijbregts M, Ryan JD. There's a real plan here, and I
- am responsible for that plan: participant experiences with a novel cognitive-based treatment
- approach for adults living with chronic stroke. *Disabil Rehabil* 2010; 32: 540-50.
- 96. McEwen SE, Polatajko HJ, Huijbregts MPJ, Ryan JD. Inter-task transfer of meaningful,
- functional skills following a cognitive-based treatment: results of three multiple baseline
- design experiments in adults with chronic stroke. *Neuropsychol Rehabil* 2010; 20:541-61.
- 1238 97. Henshaw E, Polatajko H, McEwen S, Ryan JD, Baum CM. Cognitive approach to improving
- participation after stroke: two case studies. *Am J Occup Ther* 2011; 65:55-63.
- 98. Skidmore ER, Holm MB, Whyte EM, Dew MA, Dawson D, Becker JT. The feasibility of
- meta-cognitive strategy training in acute inpatient stroke rehabilitation: case report.
- 1242 Neuropsychol Rehabil 2011; 21: 208-23.
- 99. Ng EMW, Polatajko HJ, Marziali E, Hunt A, Dawson DR. Telerehabilitation for addressing
- executive dysfunction after traumatic brain injury. *Brain Inj* 2013; 27:548-64.
- 1245 100. Rand D, Weiss PL, Katz N. Training multitasking in a virtual supermarket: a novel
- intervention after stroke. *Am J Occup Ther* 2009; 63: 535-42.
- 1247 101. Kim GY, Han MR, Lee HG. Effect of Dual task rehabilitative training on cognitive and
- motor function of stroke patients. *J Phys Ther Sci* 2014; 26: 1-6.
- 1249 102. Lane-Brown A, Tate R. Evaluation of an intervention for apathy after traumatic brain
- injury: a multiple-baseline, single-case experimental design. *J Head Trauma Rehabil* 2010;
- 1251 25: 459-69.

- 1252 103. Aboulafia-Brakha T, Greber Buschbeck C, Rochat L, Annoni JM. Feasibility and initial
- efficacy of a cognitive-behavioural group programme for managing anger and aggressiveness
- after traumatic brain injury. *Neuropsychol Rehabil* 2013; 23: 216-33.
- 1255 104. Walker, A., Nott, M., Doyle, M., Onus, M., McCarthy, K., and, Baguley, I.J.
- Effectiveness of a group anger management programme after severe traumatic brain injury.
- 1257 *Brain Inj* 2013; 24: 517–24.
- 1258 105. Hart, T., Vaccaro, M. J., Hays, C., & Maiuro, R. D. Anger self-management training for
- people with traumatic brain injury: A preliminary investigation. J Head Trauma Rehabil
- 1260 2012; 27: 113–22.
- 1261 106. Tsaousides T, D'Antonio E, Varbanova V, Spielman L. Delivering group treatment via
- videoconference to individuals with traumatic brain injury: A feasibility study. *Neuropsychol*
- 1263 Rehabil 2014; 24: 784-803.
- 1264 107. Sweeney, S., Kersell, D., Morris, R.G., Manly, T., and Evans, J.J. The sensitivity of a
- virtual reality task to planning and prospective memory impairments: Group differences and
- the efficacy of periodic alerts on performance. *Neuropsychol Rehabil* 2010: 20: 239–63.
- 1267 108. Chen AJW, Novakovic-Agopian T, Nycum TJ, Song S, Turner GR, Hills NK, Rome S,
- Abrams GM, D'Esposito M. Training of goal-directed attention regulation enhances control
- over neural processing for individuals with brain injury. *Brain* 2011; 134: 1541-54.
- 1270 109. Arnemann KL, Chen AJW, Novakovic-Agopian T, Gratton C, Nomura EM, D'Esposito
- M. Functional brain network modularity predicts response to cognitive training after brain
- injury. Neurology 2015; 84: 1568-74.

- 1273 110. Krasny-Pacini A, Chevignard M, Evans J. Goal Management Training for rehabilitation
- of executive functions: as systemic review of effectiveness in patients with acquired brain
- injury. *Disabil Rehabil* 2014; 36: 105-16.
- 1276 111. von Cramen DY, Mathes-von Cramen, Mai N. Problem solving deficits in brain injured
- patients. A therapeutic approach. *Neuropsychol Rehabil* 1991; 1: 45-64.
- 1278 112. Ownsworth T, Fleming J, Desbois J, Strong J, Kuipers P. A metacognitive contextual
- intervention to enhance error aware- ness and functional outcome following traumatic brain
- injury: a single case experimental design. *J Int Neuropsychol Soc* 2006; 12: 54-63.
- 1281 113. Koven NS, Thomas W. Mapping facets of alexithymia to executive dusfunction in daily
- 1282 live. Personal Ind Diff 2010; 49: 24-8.
- 1283 114. Henry JD, Phillips LH, Crawford JR, Theodorou G. Cognitive and psychosocial
- 1284 correlates of alexithymic following traumatic brain injury. *Neuropsychologia* 2006; 44: 62-
- 1285 72.
- 1286 115. Neumann D, Zupan B, Malec JF, Hammond F. Relationships between alexithymia, affect
- recognition and empathy after traumatic brain injury. J Jead Trauma Rehabil 2014; 29: E18-
- 1288 27.
- 1289 116. Spikman JM, Boelen D, Pijnenborg G, Timmerman ME, van der Naalt J, Fasotti, L. Who
- benefits from treatment for executive dysfunction after brain injury? Negative effects of
- emotion recognition deficits. *Neuropsychol Rehabil* 2013; 23:1-22, 2013
- 1292 117. Cicerone KD, Mott T, Azulay J, Sharlow-Galella M, Ellmo WJ, Pariadise S, Friel JC. A
- randomized controlled trial of holistic neuropsychological rehabilitation after traumatic brain
- injury. Arch Phys Med Rehabil 2008; 89: 2239-49.

- 1295 118. Dams-O'Conner K, Gordon W. Integrating Interventions after traumatic brain injury: A
- synergistic approach to neurorehabilitation. *Brain Impair* 2013; 14: 51–62.
- 1297 119. Rath JF, Simon D, Langenbahn DM, Sherr RL, Diller L. Group treatment of problem-
- solving deficits in outpatients with traumatic brain injury: a randomized outcome study.
- 1299 *Neuropsychol Rehabil* 2003; 13: 341-488.
- 1300 120. Lane-Brown A, Tate R. Apathy after acquired brain impairment: a systematic review of
- non-pharmalogical interventions. *Neuropsychol Rehabil* 2009; 19: 481-516.
- 1302 121. Koehler R, Wilhelm E, Shoulson I (Eds) Committee on Cognitive Rehabilitation Therapy
- for Traumatic Brain Injury, Institute of Medicine. Cognitive Rehabilitation Therapy for
- 1304 Traumatic Brain Injury: Evaluating the Evidence. 2011; Washington, DC: The National
- 1305 Academies Press
- 1306 122. Twamley 2014a Cognitive symptom management and rehabilitation therapy
- (CogSMART) for veterans with traumatic brain injury: pilot randomized controlled trial. J
- 1308 Rehabil Res Dev 2014; 5: 59-70.
- 1309 123. Powell LE, Glang A, Ettel D, Todis B, Sohlberg MM, Albin R. Systematic instruction for
- individuals with acquired brain injury: results of a randomized controlled trial. *Neuropsychol*
- 1311 Rehabil 2012; 22:85-112.
- 1312 124. DeLuca RD, Calabro RS, Gervbasi G, De Salvo S, Bonnano L, Corallo F, De Cola MC,
- Bramanti P. Is computer-assisted training effective in improving rehabilitative outcomes after
- brain injury? A case-control hospital-based study. *Disabil Health J* 2014; 7: 356-60.
- 1315 125. Kim BR, Chun MH, Kim LS, Park JY. Effect of virtual reality on cognition in stroke
- patients. Ann Rehabil Med 2011; 35: 450-459

- 1317 126. Lin A, Tao J, Gao Y, Yin D, Chen A, Chen L. Analysis of central mechanism of
- cognitive training on cognitive impairment after stroke: Resting state functional magnetic
- resonance imaging study. *J Int Med Res* 2014; 42: 659-68.
- 1320 127. Vestri A, Peruch F, Marchi S, Frare M, Guerra P, Pizzighello S, Meneghetti S,
- Nuttbrown A, Marinuzzi A. Individual and group treatment for patients with acquired brain
- injury in comprehensive rehabilitation. *Brain Inj* 2014; 28: 1102-8.
- 1323 128. Geurtsen GJ, Van Heugten CM, Martina JD, Rietveld AC, Meijer R, Geurts AC. A
- prospective study to evaluate a residential community reintegration program for patients with
- chronic acquired brain injury. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 2011; 92: 696-704.
- 1326 129. Geurtsen GJ, Van Heugten CM, Meijer R, Martina JD, Geurts ACH. Prospective study of
- a community reintegration programme for patients with acquired chronic brain injury: effects
- on caregivers' emotional burden and family functioning. *Brain Inj* 2011; 25: 691-7.
- 1329 130. Van Heugten CM, Geurtsen GJ, Derksen RE, Martina JD, Geurts ACH, Evers SMAA.
- 1330 Intervention and societal costs of residential community reintegration for patients with
- acquired brain injury: a cost analysis of the brain integration programme. J Rehabil Med
- 1332 2011; 43: 647–52.
- 1333 131. Rasquin SMC, Bouwens SFM, Dijcks B, Winkens I, Bakx WGM, Van Heugten CM.
- Effectiveness of a low intensity outpatient cognitive rehabilitation programme for patients in
- the chronic phase after acquired brain injury. *Neuropsychol Rehabil* 2010; 20: 760-77.
- 1336 132. Brands IMH, Bouwens SFM, Wolters Gregorio G, Stapert SZ, Van Heugten CM.
- Effectiveness of a process-oriented patient-tailored outpatient neuropsychological
- rehabilitation programme for patients in the chronic phase after ABI. *Neuropsychol Rehabil*
- 1339 2012; 23: 202-15.

analysis. Neurorehabil 2012; 30: 43-53.

1360

133. Huckans M, Pavawalla S, Demadura T, Kolessar M, Seelye A, Roost N, Twamley EW, 1340 Storzbach D. A pilot study examining effects of group-based Cognitive Strategy Training 1341 treatment on self-reported cognitive problems, psychiatric symptoms, functioning, and 1342 compensatory strategy use in OIF/OEF combat veterans with persistent mild cognitive 1343 disorder and history of traumatic brain injury. J Rehabil Res Dev 2010; 47: 43-60. 1344 134. Rand D, Eng JJ, Liu-Ambrose T, Tawashy AE. Feasibility of a 6-month exercise and 1345 1346 recreation program to improve executive functioning and memory of individuals with chronic stroke. Neurorehab Neur Rep 2010; 24:722-29. 1347 135. Doig E, Fleming J, Kuipers P, Cornwell P, Khan A. Goal-directed outpatient 1348 rehabilitation following TBI: a pilot study of programme effectiveness and comparison of 1349 outcomes in home and day hospital settings. Brain Inj 2011; 25: 1114-25. 1350 Bergquist TF, Micklewright JL, Yutsis M, Smigielski JS, Gehl C, Brown AW. 1351 136. Achievement of client-centered goals by persons with acquired brain injury in 1352 comprehensive day treatment is associated with improved functional outcomes. Brain Inj 1353 2012; 26: 1307-14. 1354 Kendrick D, Silverberg ND, Barlow S, Miller WC, Moffat J. Acquired brain injury self-1355 management programme: a pilot study. Brain Inj 2012; 26:1243-9. 1356 1357 138. Caracuel A, Cuberos-Urbano G, Santiago-Ramajo S, Vilar-Lopez R, Coin-Megias MA, Verdejo-Garcia A, Perez-Garcia M. Effectiveness of holistic neuropsychological 1358 rehabilitation for Spanish population with acquired brain injury measured using Rasch 1359

- 1361 139. Leon-Carrion J, Dominguez-Morales MR, Martin JMB, Leon-Dominguez U. Recovery
- of cognitive function during comprehensive rehabilitation after severe traumatic brain injury.
- 1363 *J Rehabil Med* 2012; 44: 505-11.
- 1364 140. Leon-Carrion J, Machuca-Murga F, Solic-Marcos I, Leno-Domingues U, Domingues-
- Morales MR. The sooner patients begin neurorehabilitation, the better their functional
- outcome. *Brain Inj* 2013; 27: 1119-23.
- 1367 141. Hayden ME, Plenger P, Bison K, Kowalske K, Masel B, Qualls D. Treatment effect
- versus pretreatment recovery in persons with traumatic brain injury: a study regarding the
- effectiveness of postacute rehabilitation. *PMR* 2013; 5:319-27
- 1370 142. Saux G, Derney I, Rojas G, Feldberg Cognitive rehabilitation therapy after ABI in
- Argentina: Psychosocial outcomes in connection with the time elapsed before treatment
- initiation. *Brain Inj* 2014; 28: 1447-54.
- 1373 143. Pouliquen U, Etcharry-Bouyx F, Pinon K, Patureau F, Petit A, Lambert A, Richard I.
- Post-acute assessment programme for patients with traumatic brain injury: Measuring the gap
- between patients' expectations on entering and end of programme recommendations. *Brain*
- 1376 *Inj* 2013; 27:789–92.
- 1377 144. Lexell EM, Alkhed AK, Olsson K. The group rehabilitation helped me adjust to a new
- life: experiences shared by persons with an acquired brain injury. *Brain Inj* 2013; 27: 529-37.
- 1379 145. Sullivan KW, Quinn JE, Pramuka M, Sharkey LA, French LM. Outcomes from a pilot
- study using computer-based rehabilitative tools in a military population. *Ann Rev Cyberther*
- 1381 *Telemed* 2012; 181:71-77.

- 1382 146. Fernandez E, Bringas ML, Salazar S, Rodriguez D, Garcia ME, Torres M. Clinical
- impact of RehaCom Software for cognitive rehabilitation of patients with acquired brain
- injury. *MEDICC Rev* 2012; 14: 32-5.
- 1385 147. Lebowitz MS, Dams-O'Connor K, Cantor JB. Feasibility of computerized brain
- plasticity-based cognitive training after traumatic brain injury. 2012;49:1547-56.
- 1387 148. Li K, Robertson J, Ramos J, Gella S. Computer-based cognitive retraining for adults with
- chronic acquired brain injury: a pilot study. *Occup Ther Health Care* 2013; 27:333-44.
- 1389 149. Twamley, E. CogSMART Compensatory Cognitive Training for TBI: Effects over 1
- 1390 year. *J Head Trauma Rehabil* 2014; 30: 391-401.
- 1391 150. Walker KH, Jak AJ, Twamley EW. Psychiatric comborbidity effects on compensatory
- cognitive training outcomes for veterans with traumatic brain injuries. *Rehabil Psychol* 2015;
- 1393 60: 303-8.
- 1394 151. Tiersky LA, Anselmi, Johnston MV, Kurtyka J, Roosen E, Schwartz T, DeLuca J. A trial
- of neuropsychologic rehabilitation in mild-spectrum traumatic brain injury. *Arch Phys Med*
- 1396 Rehab 2005; 86: 1565-74.
- 1397 152. Ownsworth T, Fleming J, Shum D, Kuipers P, Strong J. Comparison of individual, group
- and combined intervention formats in a randomized controlled trial for facilitating goal
- attainment and improving psychosocial function following acquired brain injury. J Rehabil
- 1400 *Med* 2008; 40: 81-8.
- 1401 153. Hart T, Tsaousides T, Zanca JM, Whyte J, Packel A, Ferraro M, Dijkers MP. Toward a
- theory-driven classification of rehabilitation treatments. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 2014; 95:
- 1403 533-44.

- 1404 154. Ben-Yishay Y, Daniles-Zide E. Examined lives: outcomes after holistic rehabilitation.
- 1405 Rehab Psychol 2000; 45: 112-29.
- 1406 155. Dolcos F, Iordan AD, Dolcos S. Neural correlates of emotion-cognition interactions: A
- review of evidence from brain imaging investigations. *J Cog Psychol* 2011; 23: 669-94.
- 1408 156. Pagulayan KF, O; Neil M, Williams RM, Turner AP, Golsham S, Roost MS et al. Mental
- health does not moderate compensatory cognitive training efficacy for veterans with a history
- of mild traumatic brain injury. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 2017; 98: 1893-6.
- 1411 157. Cramer SC, Sur M, Dobkin BH, O'Brien C, Sanger TD, Trojanowski, JQ et al. Harnessing
- neuroplasticity for clinical applications. *Brain.* 2011; 134: 1591–1609.
- 1413 158. Dahlin E, Backman L, Neely AS, Nyberg L. Training of executive component of working
- memory: subcortical areas mediate transfer effects. *Restor Neurol Neurosci* 2009; 27: 405-19
- 1415 159. Westerberg H, Klingberg Y. Changes in cortical activity after training of working
- memory—a single subject analysis. *Physiol Behav* 2007; 92: 186-92.
- 1417 160. Persson J, Reuter-Lorenz PA. Gaining control: training executive functions and far
- transfer of the ability to resolve interference. *Psychol Sci* 2008; 19: 881-8.
- 1419 161. Takeuchi H, Taki Y, Kawashima R. Effects of working memory training on cognitive
- functions and neural systems. Rev Neurosci. 2010; 21: 427-49.
- 1421 162. Powell JM, Machamer JE, Temkin NR, Dikmen SS. Self-Report of extent of recovery
- and barriers to recovery after traumatic brain injury: a longitudinal study. *Arch Phys Med*
- 1423 Rehabil 2001; 82: 1025-30.

- 1424 163. O'Callaghan AM, McAllister L, Wilson L. Experiences of care reported by adults with
- traumatic brain injury. *International Journal of Speech-Language Pathology*. 2010; 12:
- 1426 107-123.
- 164. Fernandez A. The business and ethics of the brain fitness boom. *J Amer Soc Aging*. 2011;
- 1428 35: 63-69.
- 1429 165. Hurley D. Can you make yourself smarter? New York Times Magazine. 2012 April 18.
- 1430 166. Owen AM, Hampshire A, Grahn JA, Stenton R, Dajani S, Burns AS, Howard RJ, Ballard
- 1431 CG. Putting brain training to the test. *UKPMC Funders Group* 2010; 465: 775-8.
- 1432 167. Viswanathon M, Patnode C, Berkman ND, Bass EG, Chang S, Hartling L et al. Assessing
- the risk of bias in systematic reviews of health care interventions. AHRQ Publication No. 17
- 1434 (18)-EHCO36-EF. Rockville, MD. Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality: December
- 1435 2017.
- 1436 168. Ahmed S, Berzon RA, Revicke DA, Lenderking WR, Moinour CM, Basch E, Reeve BB,
- Wu AW. The use of patient-reported outcomes (PRO) within comparative effectiveness
- research: Implications for clinical practice and health care policy. *Med Care* 2012; 50: 1060-
- 1439 70.

Table 1. Definition of Levels of Recommendations

Practice Standards:

Based on at least one, well-designed Class I study with an adequate sample, with support from Class III or Class III evidence, that directly addresses the effectiveness of the treatment in question, providing substantive evidence of effectiveness to support a recommendation that the treatment be specifically considered for people with acquired neurocognitive impairments and disability.

Practice Guidelines:

Based on one or more Class I studies with methodological limitations, or well-designed Class II studies with adequate samples, that directly address the effectiveness of the treatment in question, providing evidence of probable effectiveness to support a recommendation that the treatment be specifically considered for people with acquired neurocognitive impairments and disability.

Practice Options:

Based upon Class II or Class III studies, , that

directly address the effectiveness of the treatment in question, providing evidence of possible effectiveness to support a recommendation that the treatment be specifically considered for people with acquired neurocognitive impairments and disability.

Table 2: Recommendations for treatment of attention deficits

Intervention	Level of
	Recommendation
Treatment of attention deficits should incorporate both direct attention	Practice Standard
training and metacognitive strategy training to increase task performance	
and promote generalization to daily functioning after TBI or stroke during	
the post-acute stages of recovery.	
Direct attention training for specific "modular" impairments in working	Practice
memory, including the use of computer-based interventions, should be	Guideline
considered to enhance both cognitive and functional outcomes during	
post-acute rehabilitation for acquired brain injury.	

Table 3: Recommendations for treatment of visuoperceptual deficits

Intervention	Level of
	Recommendation
Visuospatial rehabilitation that includes visual scanning training is	Practice Standard
recommended for left visual neglect after right hemisphere stroke	8
The use of isolated microcomputer exercises to treat left neglect after	Practice Guideline
stroke does not appear effective and is not recommended	
Left hand stimulation or forced limb activation may be combined with	Practice Guideline
visual scanning training to increase the efficacy of treatment for	
neglect after right hemisphere stroke	
Electronic technologies for visual scanning training may be included in	Practice Option
the treatment of neglect after right hemisphere stroke	
Systematic training of visuospatial deficits and visual organization	Practice Option
skills may be considered for persons with visual perceptual deficits,	
without visual neglect, after right hemisphere stroke as part of acute	
rehabilitation	
Specific gestural or strategy training is recommended for apraxia	Practice Standard
during acute rehabilitation for left hemisphere stroke	

Table 4: Recommendations for treatment of memory deficits

Intervention	Level of
	Recommendation
Memory strategy training if recommended for the improvement of	Practice Standard
prospective memory in people with mild memory impairments after	R
TBI or stroke, including the use of internalized strategies (e.g., visual	Q
imagery, association techniques) and external memory	
compensations (e.g. notebooks, electronic technologies)	
Memory strategy training if recommended for the improvement of	Practice Standard
recall in the performance of everyday tasks in people with mild	
memory impairments after TBI, including the use of internalized	
strategies (e.g., visual imagery, association techniques) and external	
memory compensations (e.g. notebooks)	
Use of external compensations with direct application to functional	Practice Guideline
activities is recommended for people with severe memory deficits	
after TBI or stroke.	
For people with severe memory impairments after TBI, errorless	Practice Option
learning techniques may be effective for learning specific skills or	
knowledge, with limited transfer to novel tasks or reduction in	
overall functional memory problems.	
Group-based interventions may be considered for remediation of	Practice Option
mild to memory deficits after TBI or stroke, including the	
improvement of prospective memory and recall of information used	

in the performance of everyday tasks.	



Table 5: Recommendations for Remediation of Communication and Social Cognition

Intervention	Level of
	Recommendation
Cognitive-linguistic therapies are recommended during acute and post-	Practice Standard
acute rehabilitation for language deficits secondary to left hemisphere	
stroke.	2
Specific interventions for functional communication deficits, including	Practice Standard
pragmatic conversational skills and recognition of emotions from facial	
expressions, are recommended for social communication skills after TBI.	
Cognitive interventions for specific language impairments such as reading	Practice
comprehension and language formulation are recommended after left	Guideline
hemisphere stroke or TBI.	
Treatment intensity should be considered a key factor in the rehabilitation	Practice
of language skills after left hemisphere stroke.	Guideline
Group based interventions may be considered for remediation of language	Practice Option
deficits after left hemisphere stroke and for social-communication deficits	
after TBI.	
Computer-based interventions as an adjunct to clinician-guided treatment	Practice Option
may be considered in the remediation of cognitive-linguistic deficits after	
left hemisphere stroke or TBI. Sole reliance on repeated exposure and	
practice on computer-based tasks without some involvement and	
intervention by a therapist is not recommended.	

Table 6: Recommendations for treatment of executive function deficits

Intervention	Level of
	Recommendation
Metacognitive strategy training (self-monitoring and self-regulation) is	Practice Standard
recommended for the treatment of mild-moderate deficits in executive	R
functioning, including impairments of emotional self-regulation, during	D
post-acute rehabilitation after TBI. Metacognitive strategy training may	
incorporate formal protocols for problem solving and goal management,	
and their application to everyday situations and functional activities,	
during postacute rehabilitation after TBI.	
Metacognitive strategy training should be incorporated into occupation-	Practice
based treatment for practical goals and functional skills for patients with	Guideline
mild-moderate deficits in executive functioning after TBI and stroke.	
Explicit (verbal-and-video) performance feedback should be considered to	Practice
as a formal component of Metacognitive strategy training during	Guideline
postacute rehabilitation for individuals with impaired self-awareness after	
TBI.	
Group-based interventions may be considered for remediation of mild-	Practice Option
moderate deficits in executive functioning (including deficits in	
awareness, problem solving, goal management and emotional regulation)	
during post-acute rehabilitation after TBI.	
For patients with severe cognitive (executive) deficits after stroke or TBI,	Practice Option

including limitations of emergent awareness and independent use of	
compensatory strategies, the use of skill-specific training including	
errorless learning may be considered to promote performance of	
specifically trained functional tasks, with no expectation of transfer to	
untrained activities	R
Metacognitive strategy training may be considered as a component of	Practice Option
occupation-based treatment during acute rehabilitation to reduce	
functional disability for patients with cognitive impairment after stroke.	

Table 7. Recommendations for comprehensive-holistic neuropsychological rehabilitation

Intervention	Level of
	Recommendation
Comprehensive-holistic neuropsychological rehabilitation is	Practice Standard
recommended during postacute rehabilitation to reduce cognitive and	R
functional disability for persons with TBI or stroke, regardless of severity)
or time post injury	
Multi-modal, computer-assisted cognitive retraining with the involvement	Practice
and direction of a rehabilitation therapist is recommended as a	Guideline
component of neurorehabilitation for the remediation of attention,	
memory, and executive function deficits following stroke or TBI.	
Computer-assisted cognitive retraining programs should stimulate the	
cognitive domains of interest, adjust task difficulty based on patient's	
level of performance, and provide feedback and objective performance	
data	
Integrated treatment of individualized cognitive and interpersonal	Practice Option
therapies is recommended to improve functioning within the context of a	
comprehensive neuropsychological rehabilitation program, and facilitate	
the effectiveness of specific interventions. Such interventions should be	
goal directed and emphasize individualized client centered goal setting to	
promote enhanced residential independence and occupational functioning	
Group-based interventions may be considered as part of comprehensive-	Practice Option
holistic neuropsychological rehabilitation to improve functional	

awareness, strategy use, functional independence and psychological well	
being after TBI or stroke	