

Open Access, Unauthorized Sharing, and Libraries

Tina Baich
VIVA ILL Forum
July 13, 2018

Reference List

- Badke W (2017) Sci-Hub and the researcher. *Online Searcher* 41: 56–58.
- Baich T (2017) Diminishing the perceived need for black open access. Paper presented at Interlending & Document Supply Conference, Paris, France, October 4-6, 2017. Available at: <http://hdl.handle.net/1805/14178> (accessed 23 April 2018).
- Björk B-C (2017) Gold, green, and black open access. *Learned Publishing*. epub ahead of print 13 January 2017: 1-3. DOI: 10.1002/leap.1096.
- Bodó B (2016) Pirates in the library – an inquiry into the guerilla open access movement. In: *8th Annual Workshop of the International Society for the History and Theory of Intellectual Property, CREATE*, University of Glasgow, UK, July 6-8, 2016, available at SSRN. DOI: 10.2139/ssrn.2816925.
- Bohannon J (2016a April 28) The frustrated science student behind Sci-Hub. *Science Magazine*. DOI: 10.1126/science.aaf5675.
- Bohannon J (2016b April 28) Who's downloading pirated papers? Everyone. *Science Magazine*. DOI: 10.1126/science.aaf5664.
- Bond A (2013) How #icanhazpdf can hurt our academic libraries. In: The Lab and Field. Available at: <https://labandfield.wordpress.com/2013/10/05/how-icanhazpdf-can-hurt-our-academic-libraries/> (accessed 30 August 2017).
- BTAA Discovery to Delivery Project Action Committee (2016, November 17) A vision for next generation resource delivery. Report. Available at: <https://www.btaa.org/docs/default-source/library/attachment-8-btaad2dactioncommitteereportdraft.pdf?sfvrsn=0> (accessed 30 August 2017).
- BTAA Discovery to Delivery Project Action Committee (2017, August 21) Next generation resource delivery: management system and UX functional requirements. Report. Available at: <https://www.btaa.org/docs/default-source/library/next-generation-resource-delivery--functional-requirements.pdf?sfvrsn=4> (accessed 30 August 2017).
- CIBER Research (2016 August) Early career researchers: the harbingers of change? Report. Available at: http://ciber-research.eu/download/20161120-ECR_Year_1_final_report_071116.pdf (accessed 21 June 2017).
- Connaway LS, Dickey TJ and Radford ML (2011) 'If it is too inconvenient, I'm not going after it:' convenience as a critical factor in information-seeking behaviors. *Library and Information Science Research* 33: 179-190. Pre-print available at: <http://www.oclc.org/research/publications/library/2011/connaway-lisr.pdf> (accessed 12 May 2014).
- Crissinger S (2017) Access to research and Sci-Hub: creating opportunities for campus conversations on open access and ethics. *College & Research Libraries News* 78: 86–95. DOI: 10.5860/crln.78.2.9621.
- De Rosa C, Cantrell J, Carlson M, Gallagher P, Hawk J and Sturtz C (2010) Perceptions of Libraries, 2010: Context and Community. Report. OCLC, Dublin, OH. Available at: <https://www.oclc.org/en-US/reports/2010perceptions.html> (accessed 12 May 2014).
- Gardner C and Gardner G (2015) Bypassing interlibrary loan via Twitter: an exploration of #icanhazpdf requests. In: *ACRL Conference Proceedings*. Available at: <http://eprints.rclis.org/24847/> (Accessed 31 May 2016).

- Gardner CC and Gardner GJ (2017) Fast and furious (at publishers): the motivations behind crowdsourced research sharing. *College & Research Libraries* 78: 131–149. DOI: 10.5860/crl.78.2.131.
- Herron J (2017) Predatory journals: What you need to know. In: *Academic Libraries of Indiana Annual Meeting*, 5 May 2017.
- Himmelstein DS, Romero AR, McLaughlin SR, Tzovaras BG and Greene CS (2017) Sci-Hub provides access to nearly all scholarly literature (No. e3100v1). *PeerJ Preprints*. DOI: 10.7287/peerj.preprints.3100v1.
- Kroll S and Forsman R (2010) A slice of research life: information support for research in the United States. Report, OCLC, Dublin, OH. Available at: <http://www.oclc.org/content/dam/research/publications/library/2010/2010-15.pdf> (accessed 12 May 2014).
- Lawson S (2017) Access, ethics and piracy. *Insights* 30: 25-30.
- Lewis DW (2016a) *Reimagining the Academic Library*. Lanham, MD: Rowman & Littlefield.
- McArthur J and Baich T (2018) Putting Open Access into Interlibrary Loan. American Library Association Midwinter Meeting, STARS Hot Topics Discussion Group, Denver, CO, February 9-13, 2018. Available at: <http://hdl.handle.net/1805/15224> (accessed 23 April 2018).
- Novo LAB and Onishi VC (2017) Could Sci-Hub become a quicksand for authors? *Information Development* 33: 324–325. DOI: 10.1177/0266666917703638.
- Procter R, Williams R, Stewart J, Poschen M, Snee H, Voss A and Asgari-Targhi M (2010) Adoption and use of Web 2.0 in scholarly communications. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences* 368(1926): 4039-4056. DOI: 10.1098/rsta.2010.0155.
- Rowlands I, Nicholas D, Russell B, Canty N and Watkinson A (2011) Social media use in the research workflow. *Learned Publishing* 24(3): 183-195. DOI: 10.1087/20110306.
- Russell C and Sanchez E (2016) Sci-Hub unmasked: piracy, information policy, and your library. *College & Research Libraries News* 77(3): 122-125. Available at: <http://crln.acrl.org/index.php/crlnews/article/view/9457/10702> (accessed 21 June 2017).
- Swab M and Romme K (2015) icanhazpdf? user requests for medical literature on Twitter. *Journal of the Canadian Health Libraries Association* 36(2): 91. DOI: 10.5596/c15-017.
- Swab M and Romme K (2016) Scholarly sharing via Twitter: #icanhazpdf requests for health sciences literature. *Journal of the Canadian Health Libraries Association* 37(1): 6-11. DOI: 10.5596/c16-009.
- Swartz A (2014) Guerilla open access manifesto. Available at: <https://archive.org/details/GuerillaOpenAccessManifesto> (accessed 30 August 2017).
- Tenopir C, Volentine R and King DW (2013) Social media and scholarly reading. *Online Information Review*: 37(2), 193-216. DOI: 10.1108/OIR-04-2012-0062.
- Zepheira (n.d.) Available at: <https://zepheira.com/> (accessed 30 August 2017).