

Will the real slim shady please stand up?

DuyKhanh P Ceppa, MD

Central message:

We are increasingly identifying subcentimeter pulmonary nodules. The jury is still out on the best method of localizing these nodules intraoperatively.

Corresponding author:

DuyKhanh P Ceppa, MD

Associate Professor of Surgery

Division of Cardiothoracic Surgery, Department of Surgery

Indiana University School of Medicine

545 Barnhill Drive, EH 215

Indianapolis, IN 46202

317 944 1121 (o)

317 274 2940 (f)

email: dpceppa@iupui.edu ut permission. Copyright ©2018, Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

This is the author's manuscript of the article published in final edited form as:

Ceppa, D. P. (2018). Will the real slim shady please stand up? *Seminars in Thoracic and Cardiovascular Surgery*. <https://doi.org/10.1053/j.semtcvs.2018.10.008>

In the current era of defensive medicine, it is nearly impossible to leave the emergency room without some form of CT-imaging. If you then add to that CT-imaging for surveillance of resected or treated malignancies and CT-imaging for prevention (lung screening), and the end result is the increasing identification of subcentimeter pulmonary nodules of unknown significance. The data on the benefits of thoroscopic resection are clear.¹ However, we also all are aware that the intraoperative identification of small pulmonary nodules that are not on or close to the surface of the lung parenchyma can be challenging when performed thoroscopically.²

In their article, "Microcoil-guided video assisted thoroscopic excision of nodules suspicious for metastasis in patients with extra-thoracic malignancies," Almousa and colleagues address this diagnostic challenge. Using microcoils placed under CT-guidance, the authors were successful in determining a diagnosis thoroscopically (one patient was converted to thoracotomy due to extensive adhesions) in 98% of patients with nodules of a mean size of 12mm and a mean depth of 22mm from the visceral pleura. Moreover, the technique resulted in the earlier diagnosis of metastatic extrathoracic malignancies or primary pulmonary malignancies in nodules that may have otherwise been followed with continued surveillance. Finally, in 50% of the patients (who prior to the procedure) carried a presumed diagnosis of metastatic disease, there was a change in diagnosis to either a primary pulmonary malignancy (30%) or benign nodule (20%).

The authors also describe an almost dream-like, smooth collaborative effort between the disciplines of Radiology and Thoracic Surgery. The logistics of scheduling the microcoil placement as a first case for Radiology followed by a transfer to the operating room as a second operative case with no OR delay is no small feat. I shudder to think how that would work at my own institution as inevitably the first Radiology case is at least one hour (but more likely 2 or 3 hours) after the first OR case start time, and with room turnover or unexpected delays in the first OR case who knows how long! Other issues involve the coordination of scheduling the microcoil placement as our Interventional Radiology service is booked out far longer than our OR availability, resulting in scheduling delays for the patient. It goes without saying that in order for this technique to be truly successful, a multi-disciplinary program would need to be developed with complete buy-in from Radiology and Surgery so that the logistics and timing could be as smooth as described by the authors.

The diagnostic dilemma of subcentimeter pulmonary nodules is real, and is unlikely to disappear. CT characterization alone is unreliable; percutaneous biopsy is often not technically feasible (particularly for nodules <20mm more than 20mm from the pleural surface); and intraoperative localization and palpation of the nodule is challenging.²⁻⁴ While microcoil-guided resection does seem promising, it is no more promising than fiducial or radio-frequency markers, standard needle-localization, methylene blue or technetium injection (the list goes on and on).⁵⁻⁹ And so, will the real slim shady please stand up, as we

thoracic surgeons certainly would appreciate assistance in efficiently and effectively solving this technical and diagnostic challenge.

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

References

1. Paul S, Altorki NK, Sheng SB, et al. Thoracoscopic lobectomy is associated with lower morbidity than open lobectomy: A propensity-matched analysis from the STS database. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 2010;139(2):366-378.
2. Daniel TM. A proposed diagnostic approach to the patient with the subcentimeter pulmonary nodule: techniques that facilitate video-assisted thoracic surgery excision. *Semin Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 2005;17(2):115-122.
3. McWilliams A, Tammemagi MC, Mayo JR, et al. Probability of cancer in pulmonary nodules detected on first screening CT. *N Engl J Med.* 2013;369(10):910-919.
4. Li Y, Du Y, Yang HF, Yu JH, Xu XX. CT-guided percutaneous core needle biopsy for small (≤ 20 mm) pulmonary lesions. *Clin Radiol.* 2013;68(1):e43-48.
5. Sharma A, McDermott S, Mathisen DJ, Shepard JO. Preoperative Localization of Lung Nodules With Fiducial Markers: Feasibility and Technical Considerations. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 2017;103(4):1114-1120.
6. Yutaka Y, Sato T, Matsushita K, et al. Three-dimensional Navigation for Thoracoscopic Sublobar Resection Using a Novel Wireless Marking System. *Semin Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 2018;30(2):230-237.
7. Thistlethwaite PA, Gower JR, Hernandez M, Zhang Y, Picel AC, Roberts AC. Needle localization of small pulmonary nodules: Lessons learned. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 2018;155(5):2140-2147.
8. Brady JJ, Hirsch Reilly C, Guay R, Dasika U. Combined Hookwire and Methylene Blue Localization of Pulmonary Nodules: Analysis of 74 Patients. *Innovations (Phila).* 2018;13(3):184-189.
9. Stiles BM, Altes TA, Jones DR, et al. Clinical experience with radiotracer-guided thoracoscopic biopsy of small, indeterminate lung nodules. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 2006;82(4):1191-1196; discussion 1196-1197.